EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE MEETING
Minutes
Tuesday, February 18, 2020
2:00 – 4:00 pm
Academic Senate Conference Room (AS 125)


1. Call to Order- 2:30 pm

2. Approval of Agenda- MSA

3. Approval of Minutes: Meeting of February 11, 2020 – to be approved next week

4. Announcements and Information- RPP is on hold due to CO not distributing on time. Blackboard Ally which makes uploaded materials accessible; they were on campus last week meeting with ATS. JZP passed out flyer about this. Agenda for 27th needs to be approved by EC. Email from CHHS Becky Nash discussed by BJ. They are concerned about anonymity of taking survey. BJ states that JZP and NH should review the data tomorrow with BJ in attendance. Concerns after ASI vote on ES bill, some senators were called racist or xenophobic. MA says such confidentiality and anonymity concerns by faculty are due to trust being lost among faculty. Survey takers can only trust the survey originators and it appears that trust is missing. JZP notes that the survey is not mandatory; those who participate do so at their own will.

5. Reminder
   5.1. Academic Senate meeting February 20, 2020
   5.2. Academic Senate meeting February 27, 2020- agenda approved

6. Special Orders
   6.1. Report: Provost Jersky- BJ reports on Ramadan being observed during final exam week. Accommodations may needed for fasting students. HERI survey received IRB approval and will be administered soon. Acting Associate dean of Engineering will be Antonella Sciortino. New laws aimed at Uber and Lyft forthcoming; will affect the CSU with regards to temporary employment concerns. This will affect honorariums for guest speakers, and how people are hired. The number of TT searches for 2020-2021 are being determined and soon disseminated to Deans. CBA 20.37 is related to collective bargaining, current contract exists but there is no requirement to implement 20.37. Current contract ends 6-1-20 and the assigned time refers to service in the next year, has been extended to 6-30-20. This call may be done in the fall once the contract is
approved. BJ states this might make the most sense. JZP asks if the AVP for ATS is moving forward. BJ states this should be moving forward soon.

7. New Business
   7.1. Review Committee for AVP Sathianathan, TIME CERTAIN 2-2:30
   7.2. GEEC and GEGC, T. Travis & D. Paskin, TIME CERTAIN 2:30- TT and DP here to discuss putting the duties of the GEEC into GEGC. A taskforce on institutional assessment is forthcoming JZP states. TT states that with the new way we are evaluating courses due to the new GE, re-certification previously was just a rubber stamp process. She feels that a GEEC and a GEGC committee are both needed. She also says answering to 2 councils would be problematic. She also suggests a GEGC liaison on PARC. DP states assessment, certification and re-certification are the three tasks associated with GE courses. Re-certification is supposed to be done to the 500 current GE courses, some of which are very old. He is not sure if these have ever been re-certified at all. If broken down into 5-year cycles, it would be 80-100 courses per year. He feels it is not feasible for GEGC to do this in addition to certification. TT states there is currently not currently release time for GEGC chair. Certification and re-certification with learning outcomes would be unmanageable. NH asks how other CSU campuses are dealing with this issue. At this time there is no specific work flow arrangement set up as to how this would happen. Procedures need to be set up for this. Proposed revised charge has GEGC doing certification and re-certification. GEEC would have assessment tasks and report to PARC. A task force is to be assembled to study this and come up with membership of GEEC. MA asks how the assessment of GE courses should be done: based on GE class artifacts or GE course syllabi and SCOs? This to be determined. NS suggests GEGC do certification; (new name) GERC to do re-certification; PARC to continue to do assessment. TT suggest coming up with a schedule to see how long it would take to certify all courses. DS states this could take place over several years, not all right away.
   7.3. CO Feedback discussion
   7.4. Academic Senate Task Force on Institutional and Program Assessment
   7.5. OLLI proposal- NS states that the OLLI students should do the homework; so they can participate in discussions. They do not have to take exams however.

8. Old Business

9. Adjournment-