EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE MEETING
Minutes
Tuesday, January 28, 2020
2:00 – 4:00 pm
Academic Senate Conference Room (AS 125)


1. Call to Order- 2 pm

2. Approval of Agenda-MSA

3. Approval of Minutes: Meeting of January 21, 2020 – MSA as amended

4. Announcements and Information- no student assistants currently for AS. Jared Ceja from 49er shops would like to present on commencement regalia; EC says no. JC presenting on final grade policy and WE issue; difficulty with the number of WE’s coming through, the way in which we are offering them is problematic. Currently policy is out of line on multiple points. NH stated CEPC is working on this policy currently. CEPC may want a standalone policy on withdrawals; ask EC is this ok? NS states that they can be worked on concurrently with dating of finalized policies to align with each other. EC will ask CEPC to work on both policies at the same time; make them effective at the same time. Does anyone want to discuss the “fake professor” incident; DH states that perhaps faculty needs to know who should and should not be in a classroom at a specific time. KJ states that library faculty would like to bring the open access resolution to the Senate floor, JZP says bring to EC soon.

5. Reminder
   5.1. Academic Senate meeting January 30, 2020 – new business on AS agenda, when/if to move them. NS suggests that these are “reminders” of all the things that need to be discussed in the future. NS suggests not to move to “first readings” of new business. DS suggests tabling the new business to the next meeting. NS suggests to leave it alone. Regarding changes to the GR policy- ASCSU report ties into the GR discussion. Vice Chancellor Blanchard email about ACSU’s resolution was shared with EC. The resolution is asking for a system wide Ethnic Studies requirements (3 units lower division and an upper division requirement). JP suggests this may be a good time to pause on this policy. BJ states that CO and BOT will need to pass resolution before it moves forward. JC states that due to the specific language in the resolution it would be wise to pause in the AS GR discussions. NH states that some language in the proposal fit well into GR; NS
suggests continuing to move forward on GR discussions. MA believes we should pause in GR discussions, due to our policy is not in line with the resolution ethnic/diversity/global issues. Diversity vs. ethnic studies was contentious at ASCSU meeting KJ states. This resolution was a response in the fear of AB 1460 by Webber. JZP states that all of the revisions being presented by senators is not an effective way to write/edit a policy. JZP states we have not stated what our campus values as a graduation requirement. DS asks if this were any other policy we would send back to council; he states we can table it, or send back to council. He also states that the AS is almost equally split on their views of GR; perhaps a clearer version from a council would help. Perhaps tabling for a month. NH states if we table we should wait for the final resolution presented. PS says sending back to council might be beneficial. Senate needs to decide what other requirements might be included in graduation requirements. Discussion in AS needs to happen. NS states there were open forums and a survey sent forth earlier on this subject but never an open discussion on the Senate floor. NS states that if it is tabled there will be an additional two years of students who will not have a GR requirement. JZP asks how to proceed at the next AS meeting; JP suggests take a vote of EC. EK recommends tabling until more clarity comes from ASCSU and the possible legislators’ bill. EK states that this may be decided quickly. Would it be helpful to have discussions on AS floor on what is important to the campus....PS states he could do a Q&A for GR questions during his ASCSU report to the Senate on Thursday. BJ has point of clarification that PS is reporting on ASCSU not what the AS should do. NH suggests tabling policy and then in 2 weeks discussing learning outcomes. Mascot development meeting; the shark is coming.

6. Special Orders
6.1. Report: Provost Jersky- BJ reports on graduation regalia; new vendor same price fixed for 5-9 years. Students will get to keep gown and it is much more sustainable. Made from recycled plastic, lighter weight. Fake professor update; due to Open University it is difficult to stop people from coming in. in the future do not leave classroom; send a student; perhaps text 911 on cellphone. Improvements can be made to current guidelines. Texting the police moving forward will be the suggestion. BOT is meeting now and tomorrow regarding QR which has been deferred for several months. NY Times journalist pg. 99 CSULB was mentioned in a positive light. Feb 24th Jane to discuss positive things done by Univer sity. Census 2020 very important to campus. Associate Dean for CLA search approved by BJ. New voting Dean not selected yet. Another plea for uniformity of logo put forward. SPOT pilot done by CHHS will be examined by Kirsty Fleming and BJ; sufficient issues arose therefore SPOT online will not be put forward campus wide at this time. 3 week window was too broad; the system was accessing students lists that included those who dropped after census date. Paper evaluations will continue at this time. NMA stated that there were some positive points and some negative. PFH says qualitative and quantitative parts did not align. MA asks what the future for evaluations is. New AVP for ATS will be evaluating new software products when moving forward. Evaluators can take into account any disparity caused by this one time experiments when evaluating a faculty so faculty are not penalized for this
experiment if the low performance can be demonstrated to be related to this
experiment at CHSS in Fall 19.

7. New Business
   7.1. 2020-21 Campus Academic Calendar issues - BJ reports on CO rejected calendar sent
        forth by the CACC. Option 2A - gives the required total days of 149 President Conoley
        signed and that option will put forward. BJ states that moving forward use the CACC
        recommendation.
   7.2. GR Policy: Check revisions requested of senate
   7.3. Referral of PS 80-11, Procedures to avoid unnecessary duplication of courses and/or
        programs. JZP asks JC if this came up due to challenge; yes. Challenge period as a
        whole has not been used as the policy is written; do we need this policy. EC decides to
        leave it alone at this time.
   7.4. Interview with Senate Exec: AVP Cormack Review Committee (Dave Powell & Frank
        Cardinale), TIME CERTAIN 3:30

8. Old Business
   8.1. GEEC and GEGC new and revised charges
   8.2. Trauma-Informed Care proposal - spoke to Bita Ghafoori who is on campus; she is happy
        to talk to EC and has other ideas. Shall EC do it this year or not? Shall we take this up
        next year, JZP asks. Event to take place in 20-21.
   8.3. Beach 2030 University Emerging Goals (PPT) and AS feedback - feedback solicited from
        EC. NS asks about the status of the document, BJ states these ideas are “for
discussion”. NS asks to revise the document to state this. Clarify that these are
discussion points, not decisions that have been made. This feedback to be sent forth to
Vice Provost Sathanathan.

9. Adjournment 3:30