

Social and Cultural Analysis of Education Program

Signature Assignment for SCAE 695 Sophisticated Academic Writing Rubric

Student Learning Outcome(s) Assessed:

SLO #5: Produce sophisticated academic writing related to the social and cultural analysis of education.

Description of the Signature Assignment

The Sophisticated Academic Writing Rubric and faculty expectations for sophisticated academic writing are introduced in the program's first core course, SCAE 550. The rubric is used to assess candidates' academic writing on one assignment in SCAE 551 (the second core class) and SCAE 695 (the capstone course)—the Praxis in Critical Pedagogy Project and the Theory into Practice Project, respectively.

In the capstone course (SCAE 695), candidates reflect on the empirical and theoretical knowledge base they have developed throughout the program. In the Theory into Practice Project, candidates use the theories and constructs they have studied to offer an analysis of a specific environment, conflict, dilemma, or phenomenon. The significance of the project is that candidates are expected to demonstrate a deep understanding of the context, create complex strategies relevant to specific sites of educational practice, and consider implications for researchers, practitioners, policymakers, and community stakeholders.

Directions for Students

Candidates will write a 12-15 page paper and conduct an oral presentation on a specific educational environment, conflict, dilemma, or phenomenon. The project includes 1) a thorough description of the context, 2) analysis using theories and constructs studied throughout the program, 3) discussion of complex strategies for effecting social and educational justice, and 4) enumeration of implications for various stakeholders.

Scoring Rubric:

Expectation	1-Unsatisfactory (Limited Proficiency)	2-Developing (Some Proficiency)	3-Satisfactory (Proficiency)	4-Exemplary (High Proficiency)
Thesis/Focus Originality Score:	Thesis/focus is not stated	Thesis/focus displays limited imagination	Thesis/focus displays sufficient imagination	Thesis/focus demonstrates fresh insight that challenges reader's thinking
Thesis/Focus Clarity Score:	Thesis/focus is unclear and/or has no relation to writing task	Thesis/focus is vague and/or only loosely related to writing task	Thesis/focus is clear and aligns with writing task	Thesis/focus is precisely articulated, closely aligned with the writing task, and consistently demonstrated throughout paper
Organization/ Development Score:	Organization/ development is unclear, inappropriate to thesis; no transitions are provided	Organization/ development displays an attempt at coherence; may have ineffective flow of ideas and/or abrupt shifts in argumentation	Organization/ development supports thesis/focus; transitions and sequencing of ideas display appropriate flow of ideas and transitions	Organization/ development displays substantially, logically and concretely developed ideas consistently throughout the paper; transitions are well-developed
Evidence Score:	Evidence is absent, unrelated to the thesis/focus, and/or fails to support the thesis/focus	Evidence is limited in variety or combination of sources; evidence may be used unconvincingly or inappropriately	Evidence convincingly supports the thesis/focus; evidence supports, extends and informs, but does not replace writer's own ideas	Evidence provides compelling support of thesis/focus; evidence displays synthesis of ideas from various sources
Analysis Score:	Analysis is absent and/or the writing is merely descriptive	Analysis displays an attempt at critique; may have limited evidence of synthesis of ideas; may have limited discussion of alternate perspectives	Analysis includes critique, some discussion of alternate perspectives and ample synthesis of ideas	Analysis is incisive and includes substantial critique, integration of alternate perspectives, and complex synthesis of ideas
Documentation Score:	Documentation does not conform to American Psychological Association (APA) formatting in text and in reference list; sources are not cited properly; absence of accurate referencing	Documentation displays inconsistent use of American Psychological Association (APA) formatting in text and in reference list; few sources are fully and properly cited; evidence and assertions may not be accurately referenced	Documentation displays consistent use of American Psychological Association (APA) formatting in text and in reference list; most sources are fully and properly cited; most evidence and assertions are accurately referenced	Documentation displays precise use of American Psychological Association (APA) formatting in text and in reference list; all sources are fully and properly cited; evidence and assertions are accurately referenced
Grammar, Punctuation and Spelling Score:	Little to no evidence of mechanical competency	Inconsistent use of correct grammar, punctuation and spelling; limited evidence of mechanical competency	Consistent use of correct grammar, punctuation and spelling	Precise use of correct grammar, punctuation and spelling

Tota	l Score	
------	---------	--

Legend

Total Points	ts College of Education Assessment Scale Equivalent	
25-28	4 (Exceeds Expectations)	
18-24	3 (Meets Expectations)	
11-17	2 (Meets Some Expectations)	
4-10	1 (Does Not Meet Expectations)	
0-3	0 (Can't Score)	