

School Psychology Program

Signature Assignment for EDP 536 In-Service Training Presentation

### Student Learning Outcome(s) Assessed:

SLO #5: Knowledge of individual differences, abilities, disabilities, and other diverse characteristics; principles and research related to diversity factors for children, families, and schools, including factors related to culture, context, and individual and role differences; and evidence-based strategies to enhance services and address potential influences related to diversity.

### **Description of the Signature Assignment**

Candidates are required to demonstrate the ability to organize and carry-out an in-service presentation as well as ongoing plan of evaluation for staff development in schools, for colleagues at professional conferences, and/or for local community agencies. The topic is to cover issues related to culture, diversity, gender, sexuality, in relation to youth and staff well being, and specifically student achievement.

## **Directions for Students**

This course involves practice and direct instruction on preparing and presenting an in-service training to educators. The selected in-service topic area is "Diversity." Groups of students will choose from among a variety of theory and research based programs and strategies effective for use in schools. Each group (e.g. 2 to 3 students) will give an oral presentation on a particular program or strategy, and provide class members with informational materials that will enable others to present the material. Thus, the entire class will have the knowledge, skills and resources to assist schools in creating a violence prevention program. The cross-cultural competencies, objectives, and overall standards of the American Counseling Association (n.d.) as well as Best Practices for the National Association of School Psychologists (Miranda, 2008) will be adhered to for this assignment. Also, current literature, should guide the delivery of information and examples within the presentation. For example Ingraham's (2000) cross-cultural consultation or Henning-Stout's "white consultant" considerations.

#### References

- American Counseling Association. (n.d.). *Cultural competences and objectives*. Retrieved 09/12/2010 from <u>www.counseling.org</u>
- Henning-Stout, M. (1994). Thoughts on being a white consultant. *Journal of Educational and Psychological Consultation*, *5*, 269-273.
- Ingraham, C. (2000). Consultation through a multicultural lens: Multicultural and cross-cultural consultation in Schools. *School Psychology Review*, *29* (*3*), 320-343.
- Miranda, A. (2008). Best practices in increasing cross-cultural competence. In A. Thomas' and J. Grimes' (Eds.). *Best Practices in School Psychology (5<sup>th</sup> Edition)*. Bethesda, MD: National Association of School Psychologists.

# Scoring Rubric:

	Beginning	Developing	Accomplished	Exemplary
Participation	One main speaker; little	Most group members	All group members have	Well-balanced
(4 points)	participation from other	participate but unequal	significant participation	participation by all group
	group members	contributions		members
Content &	Essential information is	Essential information is	Knowledge of subject and	Knowledge of subject and
Information	lacking; numerous errors	included but errors	program is good. Includes	program is excellent.
(4 points)	present. Lacks details and	present. Few details and	several details and	Includes numerous details
	examples.	examples included.	examples.	and examples. Evidence of
	Evidence of limited or no	Evidence of some research	Evidence of research and	extensive research and
	research or preparation	and preparation	preparation	preparation.
Visuals	No visuals	Weak visuals that do not	Adequate visuals	Interesting, original,
(2 points)		contribute to audience		factual, colorful visuals
		understanding		
Audience	Presenters offered no	Presenters offered an	Presenters offered an	Presenters offered more
Participation	opportunities for audience	opportunity for audience to	opportunity for audience to	than one opportunity for
(2 points)	interaction with one	interact, but the	meaningfully interact with	audience to meaningfully
	another, the presentation	activity(ies) did not	one another, the	interact with one another,
	content, and/or presenters	advance audience learning	presentation content,	presentation content,
		about the content	and/or presenters	and/or presenters
Delivery	Poorly organized; hesitant;	Some organization and	Good organization. Smooth.	Very professional;
(8 points)	shows lack of rehearsal	rehearsal	Obviously rehearsed	polished. Confident.
	No audience eye contact,	Very little eye contact,	Good eye contact, only	Excellent flow
	distracting mannerisms	relies heavily on notes	somewhat dependent on	Excellent eye contact,
			notes	minimal reliance on notes
<b>Total Points:</b>				
/20				

# Legend

Total Points	College of Education Assessment Scale Equivalent	
18-20	4 (Exceeds Expectations)	
15-17	3 (Meets Expectations)	
11-14	2 (Meets Some Expectations)	
08-10	1 (Does Not Meet Expectations)	
<7	0 (Can't Score)	