

Ed.D. in Educational Leadership Program

Signature Assignment for EDLD 754
Principal Interview Case Application Paper

Student Learning Outcome(s) Assessed:

SLO #2: Students demonstrate the knowledge and skills to apply organizational theory, management skills, leadership strategies, and data to transform organizations.

Description of the Signature Assignment

The purpose of this assignment is for students to apply their philosophy of leadership to a case. Students create their own case regarding a challenge at an educational institution and then apply both theories on leadership and organizational change, as well as their personal leadership philosophy, to addressing the case.

Directions for Students

This assignment will consist of the following components:

- This case should identify a significant challenge facing a principal, identified through the principal interview.
- Students will conduct a careful review of course readings.
- Students will analyze their case in light of (a.) the theoretical literature for leadership and organizational change, and (b.) their personal philosophy of leadership.
- Students will write a final paper reviewing their work. This paper should consist of an introduction, a
 concise presentation of the case, a thorough review of the analysis of the problem, and a set of clear,
 theory-driven recommendations that are consistent with the student's philosophy of leadership. The
 paper should not exceed 6 double spaced pages in length.

Summer 2015-Present 1

Scoring Rubric:

| Criteria | Exceed Expectations | Meets Expectations | Meets Some Expectation | Inadequate |
|-----------------|------------------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------------|------------|
| Introduction | Introduction is clear and directly | Introduction is somewhat | Introduction does not | |
| 5 points | related to the importance of the | clear and directly related to | provide clarity for the | |
| | paper. The introduction is clear | the importance of the | importance of the paper. | |
| | and unambiguous, and provides | paper. The introduction | The introduction provides a | |
| | an excellent foundation for | provides a good foundation | fair foundation for | |
| | subsequent discussion of the | for subsequent discussion | subsequent discussion of | |
| | case. | of the case. | the case. | |
| Presentation of | All elements of the case are | All elements of the case are | Most elements of the case | |
| the Case | thoroughly addressed with | addressed and clearly | are addressed but it is | |
| 5 points | clarity and succinctly described. | stated but my not be as | difficult to ascertain the | |
| | , | obvious as in the "Exceeds | larger issues in which the | |
| | | Expectations" category. | case is situated. | |
| Analysis of the | Includes a thorough and | Includes an analysis of the | Includes a fair analysis of | |
| Problem | detailed analysis of the problem | problem facing the | the problem facing the | |
| 10 points | facing the educational | educational institution. The | educational institution. The | |
| | institution. The analysis is | analysis is not articulated | analysis is poorly | |
| | clearly and concisely articulated. | concisely nor clearly. | articulated. Analysis | |
| | Analysis includes significant | Analysis includes some | includes a few citations of | |
| | theoretical literature for | theoretical literature for | theoretical literature for | |
| | leadership and organizational | leadership and | leadership and | |
| | change, and includes the | organizational change, and | organizational change, and | |
| | student's personal philosophy. | includes the student's | includes the student's | |
| | The second personal princes prints | personal philosophy. | personal philosophy. | |
| Recommendation | Includes a set of clear, theory | Includes a set of | Includes a set of | |
| 10 points | driven recommendations that | recommendations that are | recommendations that are | |
| | are consistent with the | somewhat consistent with | not clear nor consistent | |
| | student's philosophy of | the student's philosophy of | with the student's | |
| | leadership. All | leadership. All | philosophy of leadership. | |
| | recommendations are | recommendations are | All recommendations are | |
| | extensively developed. | somewhat developed. | not sufficiently developed. | |
| Writing | Writing is focused, well | Writing is focused, | Writing has three of fewer | |
| - | organized, grammatically | organized, grammatically | grammatical and/or spelling | |
| | correct, and error free. The | correct, with two or fewer | errors. The writing quality | |
| | writing clearly contributes to | errors. The writing adds to | detracts from the overall | |
| | understanding the overall | the overall purpose and | purpose and discussion. | |
| | purpose and discussion. APA | discussion. APA format is | APA format is not followed | |
| | format is followed consistently | generally followed. | throughout. | |
| | throughout. | G, | | |
| | | | | _ |
| Total Points | | | | /30 |

Summer 2015-Present 2

Legend

| Total Points | College of Education Assessment Scale Equivalent | |
|--------------|--|--|
| 29-30 | 4 (Exceeds Expectations) | |
| 27-28 | 3 (Meets Expectations) | |
| 25-26 | 2 (Meets Some Expectations) | |
| 23-24 | 1 (Does Not Meet Expectations) | |
| 22 and lower | 0 (Can't Score) | |

Summer 2015-Present 3