

EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE MEETING

Minutes

Tuesday, August 18, 2020, 2:00 – 4:00 pm

[Zoom link](#)

Zoom password: 841220

Present: J. Pandya, N. Hultgren, P. Hung, M. Aliasgari, C. Kang, N. Meyer-Adams, P. Shankar, N. Schürer, I. Julian, K. Janousek, P. Soni, D. Hamm, B., J. Hamilton, B. Jersky, J. Cormack, A. Kinsey, A Guerrero

Absent: E. Klink, K. Bonetati, S. Apel,

1. Call to Order- 2:03 pm
2. Approval of Agenda- MSA
3. Approval of Minutes: Meetings of August 4, 2020 – MSA as amended
4. Announcements and Information
 - 4.1. Welcome Isaac Julian, new ASI Academic Affairs officer- Introductions from all EC members to Isaac.
5. Reminders
 - 5.1. Academic Senate Meeting 8/27/20 GR Policy disposition-
 - EC discusses agenda for 1st AS meeting on 8-27-20. Consent calendar explained to new members.
 - EC discusses what to do with GR policy moving forward.
 - o AB 1460 approved by Governor yesterday which means we may need to reopen the GE policy.
 - o NS suggests that the current policy is not relevant. Global issues course is the only part of policy to still be decided. BJ suggests removing discussion of the item, can be brought back if needed. EC suggests removing this from the agenda at this time. NH asks if CEPC could look at policy, JC agrees. JZP suggests perhaps discussing this at an upcoming open forum. Agreed to schedule one in Sept-October for the campus.
 - Discuss the agenda as a whole; how many policies to put on agenda. EC discusses the order in which to present the proposals.
 - o NH suggests starting with 3 Policy proposals on the agenda. NS suggests leaving all so that the Senate can see how much work needs to be accomplished this year. Final decision of EC starts with 2 or 3 policies but to communicate to the full senate the number of policies that we have to work on.

6. Special Orders

6.1. Report: Provost Jersky

- BJ reports on John Hamilton becoming Associate Vice President of Student Services, congratulations offered.
- Open forum today (8/18) was very successful, many questions answered that faculty asked via Qualtrics poll.
- University is limiting access to campus due to local health department guidance.
- AB 1640 signed by Governor, next to BOT for implementation, who will propose a change to Title IX. Area F to be considered for change. AS need to consider what to do regarding this change sooner rather than later. Steering committee should be formed for this, BJ states. JZP asks about editing current GE policy, BJ says implementation is unclear. JC confirms that core competencies referenced in AB 1460 are the same as learning outcomes.
- KJ asks about LA County mandate regarding no FTF? BJ says nothing has changed regarding our courses with the majority being AMI not FTF.
- The 20-21 Budget discussion has been positive so far. NS asks about CARES 3 funds and how will they be spent? BJ says CARES 3 funds have been received, meeting to be scheduled regarding disbursement of funds. Waiting on specific parameters on how the funds may be spent. \$3M is the amount received.

7. New Business

7.1. CBA 20.37 application (deadline, chair signature questions)

- JZP shows application so that it may be sent out ASAP.
- Unclear of how many awards will be awarded. All of the assigned time needs to be taken in Spring 21. When AS receives the application, we will notify the relevant Department Chair.

7.2. Student Privacy & Equity Memo

- The memo discussed by EC- equity memo from CO addresses assessment, not the course instruction.
 - o JC says requiring the camera can be a privacy issue for the students.
 - o PS asks how an instructor can be sure the student is actually there if no camera is required.
 - o PFH asks if the syllabus may state they are *strongly suggested* to leave the video on. JC states she does not suggest this language.
 - o NS states that students should be informed that learning will be better if their screen is on.
 - o DH states that student privacy is the most important factor above pedagogy.
 - o Student member, Isaac, stated that he believes it would be better if students showed their faces but not required. Faculty should make themselves available to the student to discuss individual situations.

- JH states that inappropriate comments can be made regarding backgrounds of students. That is the reason that this memo has come about.
- The main issue addressed by this memo is regarding Respondus Monitor or other online proctoring software. Alternative assessment types must be offered. Faculty can use Respondus Monitor, but students have the choice to turn off their camera. Alternate assessment would have to be used for only those students who are opposed to having the camera on.
 - DH is worried about equity issues with different types of assessment to different students, faculty will not be protected.
 - NS states this will cause more work for faculty. BJ noted that Accreditation types of exams will be an exception to these rules.

7.3. Referral of PS 07-01, Student Grievance Policy

- This policy was discussed by CEPC, who advocated rescinding it because it was determined that this policy is being used as a “second” form of student grievance. If students lost their first grievance, some are using it as a second chance grievance.
- Discussion of rescinding policy, FPPC wanted to keep but revise it more narrowly. JC states that Title IX covers many of these issues already. This would be for miscellaneous grievances not covered by other grievance policies currently in place. BJ suggests rescinding policy.
- Suggestion to put on AS agenda as discontinuance in a few weeks. FPPC suggests using it as a “safety valve” policy. JZP will put on 10/10 senate agenda

7.4. Senate Beach 2030 review (COVID-19 version, August)

8. Old Business

8.1. N/A

9. Adjournment- 3:50 pm