

## EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE MEETING

### Minutes

Tuesday, July 13, 2021 2:00 – 4:00 pm

<https://csulb.zoom.us/j/84180497879>

Zoom password: 404852

Attended: N. Hultgren, P. Hung, D. Perrone, M. Aliasgari, C. Kang, N. Meyer-Adams, K. Zentgraf, K. Janousek, E. Klink, A. Russo, S. Apel, K. Scissum Gunn, J. Cormack, A. Kinsey, D. Hamm

Absent: I. Julian, J. Hamilton, P. Soni

1. Call to Order- 2:04 pm
2. Approval of Agenda- MSA
3. Approval of Minutes: Meeting of May 11, 2021 – MSA as amended
4. Announcements and Information
  - 4.1. Welcome and Introductions! NH welcomes the 20-21 Exec board to the meeting. All members of Exec introduced themselves and gave a brief background of their role on campus. There will be some Academic Integrity issues guided by <https://www.csulb.edu/academic-senate/policy-academic-integrity-regarding-cheating-and-plagiarism> that the voted EC will review during the summer.
5. Reminders
  - 5.1. Other Academic Senate Executive Committee meetings this summer: July 20, 2021, July 27, 2021, and August 3, 2021- NH reminds EC of remaining summer EC meetings
  - 5.2. First Academic Senate Executive Committee meeting for fall 2021: August 17, 2021- first AS meeting agenda will be approved at this meeting.
  - 5.3. First Academic Senate meeting for fall 2021: August 26, 2021
6. Special Orders
  - 6.1. Report: Provost Scissum Gunn- KSG makes her first report to EC. She stated her pride in being a part of the Beach community and her appreciation of the graciousness with which she has been welcomed. She reported on Beach 2030 and found the report very inviting and engaging as well as the vision for the University. The seven strategic action plans of Beach 2030 was presented to KSG by Vice Provost Sathanathian, action zones are:
    1. Build an Equitable and empowering culture
    2. Be a student ready University

3. Re-imagining the faculty
4. Re-imagining staff
5. Build a Growth strategy
6. Advance Partnerships for the public good
7. Be a future ready University good.

Actions 1, 2, 3, 5, & 6 are the immediate priorities on KSG's agenda. Faculty may want to contribute; KSG will bring to Vice Provost Sathanathian for consideration.

Operational points include what will fall instruction look like, JC will report on this during her repopulation segment. Guidelines developed over 2 weeks ago by Cal OSCHA have not considered the recent outbreaks of COVID due to the new variant.

6.2 Campus Repopulation Committee update- JC reports on differences in COVID recently; Since July 1, the seven-day average of cases has increased 147%, the daily test positivity rate has increased 133% and hospitalizations are up 34%. Because of increased intermingling and summer social activities and the circulation of more variants of concern like the highly transmissible Delta variant, Public Health continues to caution there is an increased risk of COVID-19 infection for people who aren't fully vaccinated. 48.6% of courses are currently scheduled to be on campus in some form. Some online courses have been transitioned to FTF. Events under 500 people indoors are moving forward with appropriate safety pre-checks. Convocation will be in person this year on August 20 at the Carpenter Center. SA reports on new surge of positive cases. With regards to self-identification of vaccination status, this must be approved by the various unions on campus. Keeping everyone on campus safe is the priority. DH asks if LB health is near the same as the county of LA, currently LB has a higher vaccination rate than LA county. CSULB is still doing extra cleaning and plexiglass will be installed where requested on campus even though no longer required.

PFH asks if faculty and students will need to fill out the health questionnaire, JH says yes for employees, no for students at this point. CAL OSHA requires for employees as the reason. Access to faculty offices will not require a request. Modality of instruction, will faculty be able to request changes for fall, JC says no, unless the healthcare situation demands it. Spring 22 will be "back to normal" a procedures memo recently went out with new guidelines moving forward. There is a spreadsheet for this to ease the transition back to FTF. SA reports on on-campus housing for students, we plan to be 95% full to account for quarantine areas, occupancy will require vaccination subject to certain exemptions. DP asks if faculty will have to "police" their students with regards to masks. Will there be a policy? JC says there is currently a policy in place, and there have been zero incidents with regards to masks. There will be student ambassadors to help

with advising students to wear masks. There will be a “COVID caddy” available in all buildings with masks, gloves, and wipes for use.

7. New Business.

7.1. Review of Academic Senate Year-End Report for 2021-22 and policies we are carrying forward. NH projects the report for EC to review, suggestions received. NH and AK will reorganize list to identify priorities and plan for upcoming Senate agendas.

7.2. Feedback related to Chartroom closure for 2021-22, SA reports that 49er shops suffered tremendous losses due to COVID, Starbucks shops will no longer be open on campus. If there is not enough food available on campus, food trucks may be brought in. Events office will be in charge of catering on campus, will have some food trucks “pre-vetted” for use on campus for catering. NH indicated the importance of the chartroom for informal meetings during on-campus visits for job candidates. EC indicated general support for a space like the chartroom on campus during the upcoming academic year.

7.3. Assembly Bill (AB) 928: Student Transfer Achievement Reform Act of 2021- NH reports on a new bill in the State Assembly regarding transfer student requirements. Bill is currently moving through the Assembly; the basic idea is that it would align the GE requirements between the CSU and UC to allow more efficient transfers from community colleges to the CSU and UC. This would be a fairly drastic change due the vast differences currently between the two systems’ GE patterns. ASCSU President not in favor of this bill. It may come to the Senate if it passes the Assembly. KJ reports that it is likely to pass Assembly. This is problematic due to not addressing the recently passed Ethnic Studies requirement. JC states there are many differences between the UC and CSU GE requirements so would require much negotiation. This is another attempt to “legislate” our curriculum. UC GE does not require Oral Communication, Ethnic Studies, Lifelong Learning. They do require LOTE, 9 units LD Social Science, and Writing beyond the first year. KSG states that there are many parts of this proposal which are problematic. She is concerned on many fronts. JC says the timeline is also problematic due to the hurried nature in which they will expect this to be implemented. DH reports that Statewide academic senate AND CFA are working and lobbying in opposition to this bill.

[https://leginfo.legislature.ca.gov/faces/billTextClient.xhtml?bill\\_id=202120220AB928](https://leginfo.legislature.ca.gov/faces/billTextClient.xhtml?bill_id=202120220AB928)

7.4. Preliminary plans for October Academic Senate Retreat- will be discussed at future EC meetings

8. Old Business

9. Adjournment- 3:51 pm