

EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE MEETING Minutes

Tuesday, April 28, 2020

2:00 – 4:00 pm

Zoom link: <https://csulb.zoom.us/j/793146787>

J. Pandya, N. Hultgren, M. Aliasgari, N. Meyer-Adams, C. Cummings, D. Stewart, P. Hung, N. Schürer, K. Janousek, E. Klink, P. Soni, J. Phillips, D. Hamm, K. Bonetati, J. Hamilton, S. Apel, ~~B. Jersky~~, J. Cormack, A. Kinsey

1. Call to Order- 2:02 pm
2. Approval of Agenda- MSA
3. Approval of Minutes: Meetings of April 14 and 21, 2020 – MSA as amended
 4. Announcements and Information- JZP gives CARES spending update; she and the Provost and other campus constituents met to discuss how to disburse funds. SA says 2nd round of CARES has not been applied for yet, when **issue** is resolved will be turned in. More support and training for faculty to teach in this mode will be provided over the summer. NS is worried that this situation may cause a push for online learning. MA says that these trainings can enhance F2F teaching of our faculty whenever we come back. How about training and support for staff to continue working remotely? SA reports that repopulating the campus is currently in the works. Waiting on public health suggestions. Campus will not be open all at once; to be done in part. All filters must be changed on campus before campus can be open. PPE and special cleaning materials are needed. Enough cleaning supplies on backup need to be in place before a full opening takes place. NS is concerned that unintentionally we are moving to an online platform. JC says no decisions have been made at this time; we will in all likelihood not be all in person in fall 20. Size of groups will have to be considered for labs and lectures. DH states that CFA is very concerned about the lack of CFA input in moving forward with instruction. CFA has had zero collaboration and need to be included in planning for instruction and use of CARES monies. NMA says this is not “online” it is alternate mode of instruction and should be referred to as such. JZP asks about the flexibility of CARES funding. Can it be used to make classes smaller for safety? SA says there has been very little guidance and direction on how it should be spent. SA says it must be spent on COVID related expenses so documentation must be worded accordingly. P Soni asked if **RPP** would be consulted for budget issues but S. Apel said RPP is only for normal budget planning.
5. Reminders
 - 5.1. Academic Senate organizational meeting May 7, 2020 - MSA
 - 5.2. Academic Senate meeting May 7, 2020- JZP asks about order of agenda; shall we call a May 14th meeting.

6. Special Orders

6.1. Report: Provost Jersky

7. New Business

7.1. CEPC Annual Report; Danny Paskin, TIME CERTAIN, 2:30-DP has CEPC yearly report; he describes the year as catch up due to the previous year being devoted much to GE and GRs. There were a significant # of new programs; also many policies were reviewed and moved to the Senate. NS puts forth his concerns regarding the CPIE BA in Psychology. There are other issues to the degree rather than curriculum and he feels it would be better not to pass until there is a larger discussion. DP prefers that CEPC pass it to AS for a larger discussion. Discussion and a model for this type of programs would be helpful, states JZP, including rationales for this type of program. NH suggests CEPC pass along a summary of what they discussed at meeting; channel in which the council can provide feedback on this proposal. NS suggests CEPC table the proposal until Fall 20. EK thinks it should be postponed due to curricular and college issues. JC is concerned that EC can decide for CEPC. It is up to CEPC how they want to vote on this proposal which will be in second reading next meeting, but CEPC could be made aware they could table it. DP states CEPC will keep this in the agenda for next week and move forward according to committee decisions.

7.2. IRB members, AVP Simon Kim, TIME CERTAIN, 3:00- SK asks EC for concurrence on the recommendations of new members of the IRB committee, voting members. The slate of members first goes to Provost, then EC, then President. 6 nominations put forth, 2 were Asst. Prof; and must be tenured, so they were eliminated. Out of 4 eligible, the committee selected 3; those are who we will vote on today to concur. SK states that "alternates" never really alternate for someone being absent and don't vote; they are there to learn for a future possible appointment. Vote on slate; Passed unanimously.

7.3. URC Annual Report, Praveen Soni, TIME CERTAIN, 3:30- PS reports that URC approves programs/minors/options with regards to resources, financial, faculty and library, and space resources when necessary. All of these are taken into account before approval. Various VPs also report to URC about how they spend their budgets, what projects are upcoming. Additionally Beach 2030, speak to auxiliaries, funds for research, parking. Tenure density reports also provided to URC.

7.4. Senate meeting May 14, 2020? Moved and seconded.  Vote unanimous: to have additional meeting.

8. Old Business

8.1. Diversity Retreat Follow up May 13, 2 more faculty facilitators needed

8.2. Credit by exam and experience, continued- JZP states we have no current policy on this. There is an Executive order regarding this, shall we refer to CEPC?

9. Adjournment- 3:39 pm