

EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE MEETING
Minutes

Tuesday, February 4, 2020
2:00 – 4:00 pm
Academic Senate Conference Room (AS 125)

J. Pandya, N. Hultgren, M. Aliasgari, N. Meyer-Adams, C. Cummings, D. Stewart, P. Hung, N. Schürer, K. Janousek, E. Klink, ~~P. Soni~~, J. Phillips, D. Hamm, ~~K. Bonetati~~, ~~J. Hamilton~~, S. Apel, B. Jersky, J. Cormack, A. Kinsey

1. Call to Order- 2 pm
2. Approval of Agenda- remove 7.1 due to no applicants
3. Approval of Minutes: Meeting of January 30, 2020 – MSA 7.1- 149, not 129. Approved as amended
4. Announcements and Information- FPPC work solicited; UAC charge to be amended, UAC charge embedded in policy, UAC, FPPC to come to NC meeting; Dr. Banuett thought this was incorrect procedure as did Dr. Soni. The NC charge and constitution state that the NC does have this duty. Possible changes to GEGC and GEEC membership also to be presented to NC. JZP asked if EC should allow general announcements to be made at AS. SA states that it is not necessary to let announcements be made at AS. EC decided to give them a rotating slide to be shown at the beginning of meeting. AS recently given permission to begin search for a half time Administrative Assistant. Coronavirus, side effect is that some post offices closed in China, problems with sending results of or taking GRE exams for University applications. JC states GRE requirement is department by department for graduate admission.
5. Reminder
 - 5.1. Academic Senate meeting February 13, 2020 – feedback due by 02-28 for the Ethnic Studies resolution. Feedback on learning outcomes, lower/upper div. requirements, evaluation of courses by ethnic studies faculty; other comments. Changes to Title V if GE requirements to be changed due to ASCSU resolution and it'd need to be approved by BOT. EK from ASCSU reports that these recommendations (as part of the campus feedback) can perhaps be decided by EC. JC states that discussion may be what are the University priorities regarding Ethnic Studies at AS. Proposal by Ethnic Studies courses presented by Ethnic studies Task Force, BJ suggests sending to GEGC for approval/recommendation. Who should approve learning outcomes? Current state of Weber bill is that it is on the Senate floor; but perhaps being held back until CO feedback received. CO and BOT want campus feedback before they render a decision. The feedback form - who shall it be presented to? NMA suggests that it should be

presented to entire campus to be inclusive. JZP states this will be on AS agenda at 3:30 for open discussion by AS (later moved to 2:30 to include President Conoloey). DS suggests posting both documents from CO on AS website, linked on Agenda. JZP asks what best method for soliciting feedback is. MA states one document should be presented to CO by CSULB President. Comments and feedback to be shared with President Conoley. JZP asks if GE student learning outcomes if ready should be on AS agenda; JC states yes. Make it a first reading if AS has received from GEGC.

6. Special Orders

6.1. Report: Provost Jersky- BJ reports on the novo-corona virus, no one affected on campus; currently no CSULB students in China which is good news. 2.50 vs. 2.4999 GPA issue, answer is no it remains 2.50. Search for acting AD in College of Engineering concluded with announcement forthcoming soon. If Interim is required, AS will be consulted. Ethnic Studies feedback solicited on campus from ASI, AS, EEC. Question- what is the function of the statewide Academic Senate? DS answers to advise the trustees; NS states it is the shared governance body for the entire CSU. DH says not really, only tenure-line faculty represented at ASCSU.

7. New Business

7.1. CSU Campus feedback on ES Resolution-CO request

7.2. Application Review: Chair, Week of Research and the Arts- no applicants received

7.3. Open Access Policy Resolution (K. Janousek) - Chloe Pascual – library faculty Senate representative reports on open access journals. KJ states that most journals are moving towards open access and away from paper journals. Library faculty would like the AS to consider approving this and making this an Academic Senate Resolution. KJ states that the quality of the journals vary. CP states that librarians will consult with faculty to discuss which journals are high quality. DS states that this may affect RTP as some departments do not accept open access journals for RTP files. JZP suggests presenting to FPPC first for approval before senate approval. KJ states that there will be a depository of CSU faculty open access submissions forthcoming in the library. PFH states there is a wide range of charges for open access journals; and perhaps this creates a barrier to young faculty for example. KJ states that she believes that main-stream journals will perhaps charge for publication in the near future. JZP states EC needs to decide if this should be placed on the AS agenda or not. BJ suggests referring to FPPC first, and then back to AS. RTP, Faculty Councils need to be consulted regarding this resolution. Motion by DS to send to FPPC, seconded by MA (along with a recommendation of what FPPC is to do with it).

7.4. Interpretive memo re PS 19-03 (RSCA) - JZP asks EC if memo makes sense as written. Will be sent to ORSP, Faculty council chairs, Deans. Vote by affirmation to approve by EC.

7.5. Section 2.1 of the RSCA policy (see 1-21 minutes in 1-28 exec file)

7.6. Referral of PS 14-01, Requirements for Master's Degrees

8. Old Business

8.1. GEEC, GEGC, PARC: new and revised charges- NH asks if combined charges are still compliant with GE policy. JC says GEEC would do GE program review/assessment. BJ states this is a good idea and makes more effective use of faculty expertise. NH says perhaps GEGC should look at new GEEC charge and see what they say. PARC changes proposed by JC- this proposal initiates discussion on how to get institutional assessment in the PARC charge; away from program review to annual institutional assessment. Annual assessment would become program review; half of PARC to do each. Assessment group to be Jr. Members to support dept. and college assessments; more Sr. members to do institutional assessment per her recommendation. This also will help University with WSUCUC accreditation coming next year. NS asks if this will create more work for everyone. JZP to edit and re-visit next week at EC.

8.2. Beach Community Supper (4/7) update

9. Adjournment- 4 pm