

**EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE MEETING**  
**Meeting**

Tuesday, February 11, 2020  
2:00 – 4:00 pm  
Academic Senate Conference Room (AS 125)

J. Pandya, N. Hultgren, M. Aliasgari, N. Meyer-Adams, C. Cummings, D. Stewart, P. Hung, ~~N. Schürer~~, K. Janousek, E. Klink, P. Soni, J. Phillips, D. Hamm, S. Olson for ~~K. Bonetati~~, J. Hamilton, S. Apel, ~~B. Jersky~~, J. Cormack, A. Kinsey

1. Call to Order- 2 pm
2. Approval of Agenda- approve as amended; removed 6.1  
  
Approval of AS Agenda for 2-20-20- approved
3. Approval of Minutes: Meeting of February 4, 2020 – approved as amended
4. Announcements and Information- ASI Lobbycorps meeting was attended by JZP and NH, to present AB 1640 to ASI, Senator Jireh Deng solicited faculty feedback for their upcoming meeting tomorrow at 3:30. NC met with FPPC and UAC to discuss changes to the membership which is in the charge. Why is UAC a sub-committee of FPPC? Other committees with sub-committees have a representative from the parent committee.
5. Reminder
  - 5.1. Academic Senate meeting February 13, 2020 – JC suggested amending the agenda to add GE Learning Outcomes. AS agenda changed to accommodate President Conoley participating in the CP Ethnic Studies Feedback Request. JZP asks EC if they agree with this discussion period on the Ethnic Studies feedback request. CC suggests that colleges/faculty council chairs present their feedback. President Conoley will answer the question with regards to the 3 yes/no questions on the PP. EK states that ASCSU senators state that they do not have enough time to distribute to entire campus community. EK states this is time sensitive and needs to be moved forward. NMA suggests presenting these questions to President Conoley and see what she suggests.
6. Special Orders- none Provost Jersky not present
7. New Business
  - 7.1. NCUR updates and timelines – National Conference on Undergraduate Research Conference is coming to CSULB in 2021. NH and JZP to go to this year's conference in April in Bozeman, MT. There will be 5000 students on campus on spring break week,

Sept. 30 will be the call for student participants. Faculty will be reviewers; feedback must be provided. Faculty will be needed to be discussant at sessions; classrooms will be used from the student union north. Senate will be in charge of creating a conference program; which will be an app. 300 students/100 faculty to be moderators. Campus will receive some funds from NCUR. April 1-3, 2021. A *Save the Date* needs to be sent soon to faculty.

- 7.2. Recertification of UNIV 301- Sharon Olson presents this course which was approved several years ago. To be used only for faculty lead study abroad, it is in category F, a GE Capstone course. With new GE requirements, students in 18-19 catalog, it is problematic. Currently partnering with CSUF on these courses. She suggests creating a 301-B,C,D, to make it clear to students what category they will be in when they select. Does the moratorium on new GE courses apply to this? Can this be put forward due to it being offered now and next semester? Those taking now have to request an exception to policy for it to count as GE. It does not fall under moratorium and can go ahead.
- 7.3. Referral of PS 14-01, Requirements for Master's Degrees- JC reports that there are some issues with the current policy; certain issues include the 3.0 requirement and how we "define" the GPA's. GPA's need to be computed separately, postbac and grad. Substitution by advisors is a loophole in order to make 3.0 GPA. Section 2.4 also involving making academic progress is problematic. Can program have more stringent requirements than the University does? Section 2.7 also at issue; 2.8 thesis and project; advancement to candidacy section needs to be rectified. Advancement to candidacy may be in same semester that they graduate, needs to be changed. Motion to move to CEPC, moved and seconded.
- 7.4. PS 10-12, Policy on Program Review (in re: 8.2) – this policy reviews PARC

## 8. Old Business

- 8.1. GEGC/GEEC revised charges feedback update (2/18)- chairs of GEGC and CEPC to discuss this topic next week at EC.
- 8.2. PARC/IAC revised charges- the PARC charge has been examined and in doing that the policy on Program Review needed to be examined. PIAC is new suggested name Program Institutional Assessment Council. This will speed up the review process for departments. Timeline in transitioning from PARC to PIAC, to begin next year; full implementation the following year. Change the format of department review, do some pilot reviews next year; also will help with wording the new policy. DS states this will smooth out the work flow of the committee. A pilot to begin soon. A task force was suggested as well as making this the topic of the next year's retreat. JZP asks EC if they want a task force. JC suggest no more than 8; others say 5. Suggested members of task force are:
  - Associate Dean
  - Department Chair

- Sharlene Sayegh
- Current PARC representative
- Current EC member
- Jody Cormack
- Current lecturer faculty member with knowledge of assessment

8.3. Beach Community Supper (4/7) update

9. Adjournment- 3:25 pm