

EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE MEETING MINUTES

Tuesday, March 12, 2019
2:00 – 4:00 pm
Academic Senate Conference Room (AS 125)

N. Schürer, J. Pandya, J. Jarvis, C. Brazier, E. Guzik, N. Hultgren, N. Meyer-Adams, K. Janousek, E. Klink, P. Soni, E. Lopez, D. Domingo-Forasté, K. Bonetati, S. Olson, S. Apel, B. Jersky, J. Cormack

1. Call to Order – called to order at 2:05pm
2. Approval of Agenda – approved by unanimous consent
3. Approval of Minutes: Meetings of March 5, 2019 – approved by unanimous consent
4. Announcements and Information
 - 4.1. Sixty RSVPs for the Benjamin Bowser lecture. NS suggests the Attic or BBC for dinner with guest. President Conoley is attending the lecture and will introduce Dr. Bowser.
 - 4.2. Some campuses are working on a resolution to reject the GE Task Force Report.
 - 4.3. NS asked for guidance about the Hardiman Award; last recipient was four years ago. Past winners and present winner customarily line up in front of the AS. Should we continue this procedure? DDF moved we waive the ceremony and reassess later. Seconded. VOTING: Motion fails.
 - 4.4. NS will be absent for EC and AS next week. JZP will preside over both meetings. NS suggested canceling EC meeting on March 26th. EC agreed.
 - 4.5. JC spoke with ASI Judiciary about grade appeals. ASI asked for colleges to provide forms accessible on the website. JC found that not all the colleges had forms and that the process does not appear to be standardized. Students are encountering some resistance at the department level when they wish to start a grade appeal. Most feel the best policy is to consult with department chairs to see if the appeal has merit, then trying to resolve the issue informally with the faculty member before starting a formal appeal process. JC would like ASI Judiciary to standardize the procedure. EC concurs.
 - 4.6. NS sent a 2nd request to fill out the faculty survey for shared governance committee preferences.
 - 4.7. NS asked SA about the lack of signage about the CSULB coasting policy (skateboards, scooters, etc.). President Conoley has asked for enforcement of the policy. Discussion ensued about the number of coasting device/pedestrian collisions that are occurring, and what it costs the university even when injured party chooses not to file a lawsuit.

5. Reminder
 - 5.1. Academic Senate meeting Thursday, March 21, 2019, 2–4 pm, PSY 150
 - 5.1.1. Three new policies are on the agenda. Time certain was granted by NS.
 - 5.2. Academic Senate lecture by Dr. Benjamin Bower, followed by a reception on Thursday, March 14, 2019, 2-4 pm, Anatol Center
 - 5.3. Academic Senate lecture by Jerry Z. Muller) on Thursday, March 28, 2019, 4-6 pm, Anatol Center

6. Special Orders
 - 6.1. Report: Provost Jersky
 - 6.1.1. Provost is pleased at how the GE proposal is going through the AS.
 - 6.1.2. BJ brought up the national news coverage alleging college admissions cheating scam – a conspiracy to get students into top colleges.
 - 6.1.3. CSUN and CSUF over-enrolled by 7-9%. CSULA is over-enrolled by 22%. CSULB and SDSU are controlling this better at only 1% over-enrolled. CSULB has highest budget, followed by CSULB, SDSU, and CSUF. All this is important to remember when RPP comes up. PS says Chancellor’s Office once penalized CSUs that exceeded 2% over-enrollment.
 - 6.1.4. SQE is instituting a campaign called “No Harm – Disarm”.
 - 6.1.5. CSULB was named top producer in the nation of Fulbright Scholars for 2018-2019.

7. New Business
 - 7.1. Academic Senate agenda for Thursday, March 21, 2019
 - 7.1.1. AS Agenda, New business, proposed elevations 7.2 and 7.3 will be moved to the consent calendar.
 - 7.2. Beach 2030: next steps – tabled until next meeting.
 - 7.3. Discussion of University Honors Program review with Interim Director Dr. Deborah Thien: **TIME CERTAIN 3:00 pm**
 - 7.3.1. UHP did a self-study and internal review. The honors program has been on campus since 1960 and we presently have over 900 students involved. UHP is projected to exceed 1,000 using a 5-year growth plan. This means increasing our honors students to 1,600 to meet the threshold for our student population. Discussion ensued about maintaining high standards as we increase the numbers of honors students. Each semester UHP has 30 honors sections. NS asked about the arrangement between UHP and engineering students. JZP asked about what it would take to have a full-time director; do we have enough students? Deborah Thien feels we have enough students now to warrant a full-time director. While the program is nearly 60 years old, NS does not recall a campus discussion about the recently projected growth of UHP. JZP asked where this all this in with Beach 2030. SO feels the merger of UHP and Presidents Scholars has not been clearly articulated to the campus community.

7.3.2. Program culminates in a thesis with oversight from faculty during bi-weekly meetings. UHP partners with colleges throughout the state that have honors programs.

7.3.3. Housing is an important consideration since we presently have 208 beds on campus; housing is a part of the UHP. There is frequently a waiting list for University Honors Program students since CSULB has a modest amount of campus housing for students.

8. Old Business

8.1. Technological change at CSULB

8.2. Future of Advisory Council on Enrollment Management

9. Adjournment – adjourned at 4:01pm