

EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE MEETING

Minutes

Tuesday, April 26, 2022

2:00 – 4:00 pm

<https://csulb.zoom.us/j/84180497879>

Zoom password: 404852

N. Hultgren, P. Hung, D. Perrone, M. Aliasgari, C. Kang, N. Meyer-Adams, K. Zentgraf, K. Janousek, E. Klink, P. Soni, D. Hamm, A. Russo, S. Apel, J. Cormack, A. Kinsey

Absent: K. Scissum Gunn, J. Gonzalez, J. Hamilton

1. Call to Order- 2:02
2. Approval of Agenda- motion by C. Kang, seconded and approved as amended, will remove 6.1.
3. Approval of Minutes: Meeting of April 19, 2022- motion by M. Aliasgari, seconded and approved as amended.
4. Announcements and Information- NH announces that we are still working on speakers for next EC meeting. One will be the Wheel Path on Campus. Pamela Lewis and Dean Bennett are two additional. Dean Bennett would like to revisit course modality definitions. P. Soni missed last EC meeting, he is concerned about the temporary exception memo, feels it read as if only certain students would receive the exception as opposed to all F19 cohort students, and he would like a second memo sent. NH asks EC if another memo needs to be sent. PS suggests not another memo but in the implementation phase that all students be targeted. JC states that this will be implemented to *all* F19 students who are not enrolled in another University and left in good academic standing. Revision to policy 09-06, it has been suggested that there should be an insertion to the beginning of the policy stating the reason for this change. EC is ok with leaving it as is.
5. Reminders
 - 5.1. Next Academic Senate Meeting of 2022: Thursday, May 5 from 2:30 to 4:00 pm (organizational meeting from 2:00 to 2:30 pm)- next meeting includes ORG meeting where EXEC is elected as well as NC.
 - 5.2. 2022 Legacy Lecture and Academic Senate Reception: Thursday, May 12, 2022, from 2:30 to 5:30 pm- should be a mostly in person event with zoom available for her colleagues at the CO.
6. Reminders
 - 6.1. Report: Provost Scissum Gunn

7. New Business

7.1. Setting the agenda for the May 5 Academic Senate meeting- NH asks EC about whether to include the CFA and President at this meeting. EC says to have them with a brief presentation. NH asks for advice on times certain. Decided that will have 3:50 time certain for the CPACE Psych Degree.

7.2. [TIME CERTAIN 3:00 PM] IRB slate of recommendations to begin in 2022-23

Guests: Director of

Research Integrity and Compliance Jason Wang- JW presents the slate of recommended board members for IRB. He asked EC to approve his recommendations. Discussion ensues with questions asked. NMA states that IRB has been problematic recently with approvals severely delayed. EC asks if there are term limits for IRB members. NH says no term limit indicated in policy. Most want additional information to ensure that IRB membership meets minimum standards/qualifications as outlined in the policy.

8. Old Business

8.1. [TIME CERTAIN 3:30 PM] Academic Integrity and Grade Appeals in the College of Engineering

Guest: Dean of the College of Engineering Jinny Rhee- JR reports on her ongoing issues with the current college cheating policy, in her opinion the Dean is powerless in this process. Update is they are continuing to receive student complaints. The committee is only allowed to look at a very narrow area. Mental anguish and the threat of a lawsuit have come forward as well as a suicide threat. The college is allowing students to enroll in courses where the prerequisite is in question with a successful appeal or completion of the course. JR asks what may be done under the current policy. JR asks about section 6.4 about what the Provost shall decide. EC agreed that the Provost shall follow policy, and may agree or disagree with the decision of the appeals committees. EC asks the provost to consider the ramifications of disagreeing with appeals and changing grades. Final grades are dictated by the final grades policy which can only be changed with the recommendation of the instructor, department chair, and associate dean or via grade appeals. NH asks JR if some students have admitted to plagiarism. JR states this will apply only to those going through the grade appeal process. Fall 21 there were 70 allegations of cheating. 13 filed appeals at department level; 4 or 5 have appealed at the next level according to MA. JR asks if the Provost can take other factors in to mind when rendering her decision. EC advises that the Provost must follow policy. EC advises that the college change their plagiarism policy as it is stricter than that of the University by limiting faculty discretion. JR goes on the record saying the University Grade Appeal policy is flawed and needs to be revised, she feels the scope is too narrow.

8.2 Review of amendments in proposed revision of PS 17-08: Academic Administrators: Policies and Procedures for the Selection, Appointment, and Review

Commented [NH1]: My notes are different here...I didn't recall us establishing a time certain for 17-08 because it would follow directly after Deborah's report.

of- NH asks EC to go over proposed amendments to be reviewed at the AS meeting. MA and DP will try to tackle some of MA's amendments to reduce debate on the senate floor.

8.3 Proposed Revisions of CEPC Charge – tabled.

8.4 Referring to council: suggested revisions from Continuation Review Committees on PS 14-04 Academic Centers and Institutes (ACI Policy) – tabled.

8.5 Academic Senate and Data Fellows – tabled.

8.6 Potential resolution related to academic freedom and academic freedom in pedagogy and curriculum – tabled.

9. Adjournment- 4:14