

EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE MEETING

Minutes

Tuesday, April 12, 2022

2:00 – 4:00 pm

<https://csulb.zoom.us/j/84180497879>

Zoom password: 404852

N. Hultgren, P. Hung, D. Perrone, M. Aliasgari, C. Kang, N. Meyer-Adams, K. Zentgraf, K. Janousek, E. Klink, P. Soni, D. Hamm, A. Russo, J. Gonzalez, J. Hamilton, S. Apel, K. Scissum Gunn, J. Cormack, A. Kinsey

Absent: M. Aliasgari, J. Hamilton

1. Call to Order- 2:02
2. Approval of Agenda- moved by Dina P., seconded and approved.
3. Approval of Minutes: Meeting of April 5, 2022 - moved by P. Hung, seconded and approved as amended.
4. Announcements and Information- NH announces that this Thursday's meeting is still planned as all-policy. Doing work in advance has been helpful in moving the policy along. Hoping to finish the Administrator policy on Thursday. NH will send a draft of The Temporary Exception to Educational Leave Policy memo for EC review by next week. VP KJ is moving forward given the Senate Exec approval. Beach 2030 town hall coming up on 4-28-22.
5. Reminders
 - 5.1. Next Academic Senate Meeting of 2022: Thursday, April 14, 2022- EC decides to amend the agenda at the start of the meeting.
 - 5.2. 2022 Legacy Lecture and Academic Senate Reception: Thursday, May 12, 2022, from 2:00 to 5:00 pm
6. Special Orders
 - 6.1. Report: Provost Scissum Gunn- KSG reports on the University Achievement Awards to be held tomorrow from 11:30-1:30 pm at the Pointe. Twenty-one awardees will be honored at the event. SA and KSG remark on a confidential document sent to EC recently. This document must be vetted by the Union before dissemination. A meet and confer will need to happen before this can be approved. NMA asks KSG if there will be any TT lines added for next year due to the large number of recent resignations; the Social Work program will no longer pass accreditation is a result of the losses to their

faculty. KSG says no change at this time. After May revise, number may be adjusted if funds are available.

- 6.2. Campus Repopulation Committee Update: Vice Provost Cormack- JC reports that no current changes at this time. There is a slight rise in COVID cases, our campus will shift if necessary. Face masking and green checks are still required. JC deserves an award for her repop work.

7. New Business

- 7.1. Setting the agenda for the Academic Senate meeting of Thursday, April 21, 2022 – draft agenda for this meeting in folder. Will contain policies not finished this week as well as two other items; the first reading of the policy on probation and disqualification. A CPaCE degree in psychology will also be put forward. Dance Science degree will be held until Fall. Statements for 22-23 officers will be solicited on the 28th.

Commented [NH1]: solicited on the 21st and due on the 28th.

- 7.2. Academic Senate Meeting and Retreat schedule options for 2022-23- EC decides on tentative schedule for AS 22-23 meetings with the retreat to be in the fall and the legacy lecture in the spring. The new senator orientation will be on Aug. 25th.

- 7.3. Proposed Revisions to CEPC Charge-EC discusses proposed changes to charge. Advisors would like to have staff members added to the roster. CEPC suggested additions: One curriculum-aligned staff member, one staff advisor, and the Director of Academic Programs (three members total). Some members of EC want to ensure that curriculum remains at the purview of the faculty, and the charge of CEPC refers to content rather than process. PS suggests that the staff members be non-voting members. But, currently the charge does not indicate who does and does not vote, which is unlike other senate charges. When the charge is opened it should indicate who are voting and non-voting members. DP highlights that curriculum changes will impact the colleges differently.

- 7.4. [TIME CERTAIN 3:00 PM] FPPC update on pp. 1-8 of revisions to the RTP Policy (PS 09-10)

Guest: Al Colburn, Chair, Faculty Personnel Policies Council- AC reports on the revisions that FPPC has made to the RTP policy. A campus town hall happened in the 20-21 AY. Desired policy changes were presented. The data were coded and shared with FPPC. Top 5 changes that were proposed: 1) more clarity and transparency about expectations and procedures, 2) recognition that cultural taxation place extra burdens on some faculty, 3) expanded RSCA definitions in recognition of newer forms of scholarship, 4) increased attention to advising and mentoring, 5) increased visibility for possibility of differential workloads. So far, they have expanded the discussion about the policy's purpose and campus values. They added statements stating that "primary purpose of RTP policy is providing guidance to colleges and depts"; "the reviews and processes must be clear, fair and transparent;" "We value diversity, equity and inclusion; college and dept policies should also;" "Diverse forms of RSCA are worthwhile;" "Service is vital, differential loads are allowed." Some other sections that were revised include:

Instruction: De-emphasizing SPOT forms while adhering to CBA and campus policies. Rather, evaluation based on professional learning and practices fostering student learning (summative assessment) and reflection plus adaptation (formative assessment). Must discuss all three criteria.

RSCA: expanded definition to include not only traditional RSCA (scholarship of discovery) but also, scholarship of integration, scholarship of application or engagement, and scholarship of teaching and learning.

Service: required including some participation in shared governance. Includes additional discussion valuing mentoring/advising, cultural taxation, balanced service. Clarification about criteria and what candidates should put in narratives; activity, its importance, candidate's impact, and (sometimes) how the service uses candidate's professional expertise.

NH asks AC if FPPC would be willing to work on revising the Emeritus Policy, he says yes with the following caveats; this will slow down progress on the RTP policy and they would like to know what specific changes EC has in mind to the document.

8. Old Business

8.1. [TIME CERTAIN 3:30 PM] Suggested revisions from Continuation Review Committees on PS 14-04: Academic Centers and Institutes (ACI policy)

Guest: Simon Kim, Associate Vice President, Office of Research and Economic

Development- SK reports on this policy and suggested revisions. Feedback from committee members was received and has made revisions. 6.3.4 lists the following criteria including; exhibit D lists the information required to request to extend the term of an ACI. There is a disconnect between the review criteria and the policy. He recommends changing the information requested or change the criteria so they are aligned. The second item is the review committee membership in section 6.2.2 including 6.2.2.3 which addresses ACI directors whose centers are currently under review. SK would like this section removed from the policy due to it adding to the problem of recruiting ACI directors to serve on the committee. Third item is the revised requirements for reauthorization. There are steps for 5- and 10-year reauthorization in exhibit E. SK believes this step is redundant and perhaps unnecessary. Fourth item is 6.3.3 which is the ACI review committee and their review for request for continuation. There was a question if the responsible Dean should review before going to the appropriate committee. Questions and comments ensued. Possible edits by October 2022 would be optimal.

8.2. Review of the agenda and procedure for the Academic Senate meeting of Thursday, April 14, 2022 – NH, PFH and DP will continue the procedure done last week regarding amendments in advance. EC discusses the Emeritus policy and whether to give back to FPPC or continue with the policy at AS. EK is concerned about the policy and how our campus treats our emeritus faculty. EK suggests tabling the policy at this time. JC suggests a sub committee to work on this policy in consultation with FPPC. There will be a motion made to table this policy at Thursday's meeting.

- 8.3. Sticking points in proposed revision of PS 17-08: Academic Administrators, Policies and Procedures for the Selection, Appointment, and Review of – Tabled
- 8.4. Academic Senate and Data Fellows (continuing conversation from weeks ago) - Tabled
- 8.5 Potential resolution related to academic freedom and academic freedom in pedagogy and curriculum - Tabled

9. Adjournment- 4 pm