

## EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE MEETING

### Minutes

Tuesday, April 5, 2022

2:00 – 4:00 pm

<https://csulb.zoom.us/j/84180497879>

Zoom password: 404852

N. Hultgren, P. Hung, D. Perrone, M. Aliasgari, C. Kang, K. Zentgraf, K. Janousek, E. Klink, P. Soni, D. Hamm, A. Russo, J. Gonzalez, S. Apel, K. Scissum Gunn, J. Cormack, A. Kinsey

Absent: N. Meyer-Adams, J. Hamilton,

1. Call to Order- 2:02 pm
2. Approval of Agenda- motioned by P. Hung, seconded and approved.
3. Approval of Minutes: Meeting of March 22, 2022- motioned by P. Hung, seconded and approved.
4. Announcements and Information- NH announces that he, Ann and Maureen met with ATS regarding AS-125 and coordinating all of the devices in the room, as well as a demonstration of an OWL and best practices for its use. They are still trying to identify who will cover the cost. SA suggested ATS, as they have HEERF funds outstanding. Our goal is to have at least one meeting in person/hy-flex before the end of the AY in AS-125. Recent Export controls meeting with S. Kim was discussed as to who to refer the policy to. NH will send email to SK, PS, Tracy Mayfield, and KJ to review the policy before forwarding to URC.
5. Reminders
  - 5.1. Next Academic Senate Meeting of 2022: Thursday, April 7, 2022
  - 5.2. (Almost) All Policy Meeting: Thursday, April 14, 2022
6. Special Orders
  - 6.1. Report: Provost Scissum Gunn- KSG reports on current searches happening. University Library, CLA and, COTA Dean, VP URD and AVP FA. Due to large number of searches, perhaps staggering of searches may be needed. KSG suggests a possible CSU wide search for the AVP FA position (Fleming's last day is May 4). KSG is prioritizing this hire over that of the Deans. Information going out regarding the 4% GSI in Unit 3, with possible inclusion of new faculty from fall 21 being considered. There was a town hall regarding changing the nomenclature for PS 09-06 policy; academic probation to be changed to academic notice/warning. Revisions to this policy will be put forth to CEPC soon. Advisors took part in this town hall and were very helpful. Current plan is for this

to be completed by May 5<sup>th</sup>. Changes are minimal so may be approved quickly. Donna Green and Meghan Griffith will review.

- 6.2. Campus Repopulation Committee Update: Vice Provost Cormack- JC reports that her next re-pop meeting may be the last as we transition to an endemic environment. Screenings and masks are likely to continue. Uni is waiting to assess changes at least 2-weeks post Spring Break.

## 7. New Business

- 7.1. Setting the agenda for the Academic Senate meeting of Thursday, April 14, 2022 – NH suggests spending perhaps one hour on 17-08 then move to emeritus, then honors, then assessment. There will be one item on CC, and we will elect the ACIP representative. NH asks EC to read through these policies in advance to anticipate any issues that may come up.
- 7.2. Suggested revisions from Continuation Review Committees on PS 14-04: Academic Centers and Institutes (ACI policy)- NH asks EC if Simon Kim should attend to discuss changes to the policy due to recent review committees. EC agrees, as they would like to know his priorities and concerns.
- 7.3. Revisions to CEPC Charge
- 7.4. [TIME CERTAIN 3:00] Re-Enrollment Pilot Procedures (with Jody)

Guests: Associate Vice President for Enrollment Services Donna Green; Associate Vice President for Undergraduate Studies Kerry Johnson- JC, DG and KJ report on re-enrollment pilot procedures being proposed. Five new equity priorities from the CO, 1<sup>st</sup>: re-engage and re-enroll undergraduate students. Priority one, advance re-enrollment campaigns to include underrepresented groups of students; students of color, Pell Grant included. Two key policies would need to be suspended to do this. The Educational Leave policy and Title V policy, provide educational leaves for the target student population and establish their catalog rights. It limits ed leave to four semesters. This will be a temporary exception to address the fall 19 cohort of students, and allow late add of ed leave to avoid having them reapply to the University. This will address 357 students that will be targeted through postcards and phone calls. Another equity priority is to eliminate administrative barriers. This is a pilot for this particular cohort, but if successful may be extended. NH suggests an interpretive memo in lieu of a temporary suspension of the policy, perhaps “temporary exception” to policy.

- 7.5. [TIME CERTAIN 3:30] Plagiarism in the College of Engineering

Guest: Jinny Rhee, Dean, College of Engineering- JR reports on plagiarism reported by CECEM. Engineering code of conduct is important in her field. Rhee states- Grade appeal process is flawed - need interpretation of final step. Cheating policy needs revision/addendum. Current crisis in COE - soliciting suggestions from faculty. At CSULB, appeals are more faculty centric without much Dean input. She states that she feels most appeal cases at CSULB are not in favor of the student. Faculty has primary oversight with no administrative input at all. At SJSU, Deans, ADs, and the provost have the power to overturn the ruling. Current policy says current ruling does not weigh in if the penalty for cheating was unfair or if there are unintended

consequences to the students or possible harm to students or faculty – which she would like to have included in the policy. She would also like to ensure that a reasonable expectation to prevent cheating is included. KSG feels the policy can stand review and appreciates Dean Rhee’s observance of administrator action in appeals. In this case the AIC process was completed and then the GAC process happens and is in process currently. One issue is in COE, which has their own zero tolerance policy on cheating and plagiarism that is more punitive than the University’s policy. Dean Rhee will visit Exec again to revisit this issue.

8. Old Business

8.1. Review of the agenda and procedure for the Academic Senate meeting of Thursday, April 7, 2022 – NH discusses the possibility of “call to question” being used more liberally to forward the policy progress. One issue is that call to question requires 2/3 vote, which can take time. Rather, will try to enforce 3 pro/3 con comments as the limit.

8.2. Sticking points in proposed revision of PS 17-08: Academic Administrators, Policies and Procedures for the Selection, Appointment, and Review of

8.3. Academic Senate and Data Fellows (continuing conversation from weeks ago) – Tabled

8.4 Potential resolution related to academic freedom and academic freedom in pedagogy and curriculum – Tabled

9. Adjournment- 4:09 pm