

MINUTES
Curriculum and Educational Policies Council
California State University, Long Beach
Agenda – 2020-21 – Meeting 13
Wednesday, May 12, 2:10-4 PM
Via Zoom

Members present: Danny Paskin (Chair) Craig Macaulay (Vice Chair), Gary Griswold (Secretary), Jody Cormack, Richard Rosenberg, Jeff Bentley, Panadda Marayong, Josh Chesler, Henry O'Lawrence, Babette Benken, Leilani Madrigal, Robert Moushon, Kelli Sanderson, Tom Tredway, Laura Forrest, Perla Ayala, Caleb Jones, Tracy Gilmore, Jeet Joshee, Betsy Cooper, Donna Green, Pamela Lewis, Rene Treviño, Sandra Arevalo, Jermie Arnold, Isaac Julian, Jesus Gonzalez, Greg Figueroa.

Guests: Meghan Griffiths Admissions and Records, Tim Mozia, CPIE

Chair Paskin called the meeting to order at 2:15.

1. MSP to approve the agenda
2. MSP to approve Minutes from April 28, 2021.
3. Outgoing council members Richard Rosenberg and Gary Griswold were acknowledged.
4. Announcements Paskin reported that the Academic Senate is working on the Educational Leave Policy and that the GE policy was finalized and approved.
5. GE Supplement #91 was examined.
 - a. Discussion ensued on how Writing Intensive courses are certified.
 - b. MSP to approve GE Supplement #91.
6. Continuation of Second Reading, New proposed Policy on Withdrawals
 - a. The addition of Paskin's proposed preamble was approved.
 - b. Cormack and Green spoke to their proposed addition to add an Administrative Withdrawal.
 - c. Discussion ensued as to the administrative symbol to be used for the Withdrawal: WA or any other new symbol cannot be used unless approved by the CO. Green said a note referencing would suffice.
 - d. MSP to approve the draft of this new policy and send to the Academic Senate.
7. Second Reading, New proposed Policy on College Credit for Prior Experiential Learning and Instruction in Non-College Settings.
 - a. Paskin indicated that Griswold and Trevino submitted copyediting revisions.
 - b. Paskin proceeded through the draft ad seriatim.

1. Discussion ensued regarding what GE courses could be credited here and who would do it. Section 1.2
2. Cormack said there was already policy on what courses are articulated with for veterans, and we would most likely follow those guidelines (GEAC).
3. Cormack pointed out that in this reading the Council will be able to provide information to the proposers of this policy to improve it for the continuation of the reading next academic year.
4. Concerns were expressed that the process for approving credit and who does it is rather vague.
5. It was suggested to add “or designee” to the 2-person department committee requirement so that if there is only one faculty member who has the expertise to determine equivalency.
6. Section 1 and Section 2 need to be structured the same way and use consistent phrasing.
7. 1.3: Same comments apply as 1.2.
8. 1.4.1: how will the academic record indicate all of what’s described here? Green suggested a transcript note might suffice.
9. 2.0: Is 24 units the right maximum? Too high?
10. Cormack: The policy needs to more carefully address the barriers to students completing their degrees
11. It was suggested that looking at other CSU policies might provide useful language.

8. The meeting adjourned at 3:54 pm.

These minutes have not been approved. Respectfully submitted, Gary Griswold, outgoing scribe.