

Background

- The diet quality (DQ) of Americans falls short by a large margin, in nearly every dietary sub-component (Hiza et al., 2013; Wilson et al., 2015).
- The DQ of young adults (YA) is low, potentially the lowest of all adult sub-groups (Debate et al., 2001; Hiza et al., 2013; Kang et al., 2014; Larson et al., 2013; Lutz et al., 2017; Zamora et al., 2010).
- DQ is associated with risk of all-cause mortality, coronary heart disease, diabetes, stroke, and cancer (Chiuve et al., 2012; Schwingshackl & Hoffmann, 2017).
- Low DQ also puts YA young at risk of weight gain, which is common during this life stage and is a strong predictor of overweight status in future years (Greaney et al., 2009; Guo et al., 2000; Hankinson et al., 2010; Nelson et al., 2008).
- Healthy Eating Index (HEI) score is a valid and reliable measure that operationalizes DQ with a score based on 12 sub-scores (Guenther et al., 2013; Guenther et al., 2014)

Healthy Eating Index- 2010 Scores (Max Score)			
HEI Total Score (100)			
Total Fruit (5)	Total Protein Foods (5)		
Whole Fruit (5)	Seafood & Plant Proteins (5)		
Total Vegetables (5)	Fatty Acids (10)		
Greens & Beans (5)	Refined Grains (10)		
Whole Grains (10)	Sodium (10)		
Dairy (10)	Empty Calories (20)		

- Perceived diet quality (PDQ) is one characteristic researches study in relationship to objective diet quality (ODQ) because a discrepancy between the two may be a barrier to adopting healthy habits (Lechner et al., 1997; Variyam et al., 2001).
- Evidence on what YA perceive to be "healthy" is limited (De Vlieger et al., 2017; Larson et al., 2006).

Study Overview

Purpose: Explore the association between PDQ and ODQ in YA in the US.

Ho1 There will be no significant relationship between mean overall DQ score, as measured by HEI-2010, by level of PDQ (excellent, very good, good, fair, poor).

Ho2 There will be no relationship between mean HEI sub-scores (total fruit, whole fruit, total vegetables, greens and beans, whole grains, dairy (including soy products), total protein foods, seafood and plant proteins, fatty acids, refined grains, sodium, and empty calories) by level of PDQ (excellent, very good, good, fair, poor).

Va Per

Cov (ca cor

* These variables were chosen due to evidence of associations with DQ (Debate et al., 2002; Hiza et al., 2013; Kang et al., 2014; Lotfield et al., 2015; Sijtsma et al., 2012).

Exce Very Good Fair Ethnici Mex Othe Non Non Oth Educat 9-11 HS g Som Coll Refi Family Gender Fema Consta R squar F statis

An Analysis of the Perceived and Objective Diet Quality of Young Adults in the United States

Caitlin Woglom, R.D., Virginia Gray, Ph.D., R.D., Long Wang, Ph.D., M.D., R.D.N., FAND, & Michael Hill, M.A., M.P.A. Department of Family and Consumer Sciences College of Health & Human Services, California State University Long Beach

Methods

Data Set: NHANES 2013-2014 Sub-Sample: Individuals ages 18-30, per study definition of YA, with complete data on the dependent variables

riable (type)	Instrument	Notes
ojective Diet ality ontinuous)	NHANES 24 hour recall data HEI-2010	Total DQ score out of 100 12 sub-components
rceived Diet ality (ordinal)	NHANES Diet Behavior and Nutrition Survey: "In general, how healthy is your overall diet?"	Possible responses: Excellent, Very good, Good, Fair, Poor
ovariates ategorical, ntinuous)	NHANES Demographics Questionnaires	Included: Sex, race/ ethnicity, education, & income

Table 1: Sample Characteristics (N = 1261)

I		× ×
Characteristic	Total Sample (<i>n</i> , % sample)	Mean HEI score (SE)
ender		
Male	620(49.2)	47.2 (.5)
Female	641(50.8)	50.2 (.5)
.ge (Years)		
18-21	495(39.3)	47.6 (.6)
22-25	361(28.6)	48.6 (.7)
26-30	405(32.1)	50.3 (.7)
ace/Ethnicity		
Mexican American	205(16.3)	48.6 (.9)
Other Hispanic	125(9.9)	53.2 (1.3)
Non-Hispanic White	474(37.6)	46.8 (.7)
Non-Hispanic Black	253(20.1)	46.6 (.7)
Non-Hispanic Asian	133(10.5)	55.6 (1.1)
Other Race, Multi-Racial	71(5.6)	48.9 (1.7)
ducation Level		
Less than 9 th grade	24(1.9)	45.8 (2.3)
9^{th} -11 th grade ^a	263(20.9)	45.2 (.8)
HS grad/GED or equiv.	345(27.4)	46.9 (.7)
Some college or AA degree	437(34.7)	48.9 (.6)
College grad or above	191(15.1)	56.7 (1.0)
Refused	1(.1)	
amily Income to Poverty Ratio		
<130%	525(41.6)	46.8 (.6)
130-299%	327(25.9)	48.8 (.8)
300-499%	189(15.0)	50.2 (1.0)
≥500%	134(10.6)	53.4 (1.3)

Results

 Table 2: Spearman Rank
Correlations between PDQ & HEI Scores (N = 1261)

	PDQ
Total HEI score	238***
Total Fruit	141***
Whole Fruit	185***
Total Vegetables	124***
Greens and Beans	131***
Whole Grains	156***
Dairy	011
Total Protein Foods	074**
Seafood & Plant Proteins	090**
Fatty Acids	055
Refined Grains	.047
Sodium	077**
Empty Calories	221***

*p < .05, **p < .01, ***p < .001

^a Includes 12th grade with no diploma.

Table 3: Linear Regression Model to Predict HEI Total Scores Based on PDQ, Ethnicity/Race, Education, Income, and Gender

	Model 1 ^a	Model 2	Model 3	Model 4	Model 5
Perceived Diet Quality ^b					
Excellent	8.817(2.071)***	9.216(2.045)***	8.282(3.060)***	8.038(2.112)***	8.445(2.100)***
Very Good	11.886(1.666)***	11.330(1.661)***	9.923(1.627)***	9.291(1.692)***	9.256(1.681)***
Good	6.340(1.498)***	6.540(1.484)***	5.751(1.450)***	5.535(1.509)***	5.438(1.499)***
Fair	2.279(1.560)	2.606(1.536)	2.262(1.501)***	2.170(1.563)	2.298(1.553)
Ethnicity/Race ^c					
Mexican American		2.987(1.106)**	4.143(1.086)***	4.483(1.155)***	4.320(1.148)***
Other Hispanic		6.502(1.320)***	7.332(1.297)***	7.445(1.155)***	7.265(1.352)***
Non-Hispanic Black		0.482(1.026)	1.425(1.007)	1.540(1.059)	1.293(1.053)
Non-Hispanic Asian		7.601(1.294)***	6.618(1.269)***	6.900(1.315)***	6.730(1.307)***
Other Race or Multi-racial		2.736(1.670)	2.106(1.628)	2.425(1.704)	2.345(1.692)
Education ^d					
9-11 th grade			.732(2.745)	.528(2.790)	0.092(2.773)
HS grad/ GED or equiv.			1.979(2.726)	1.412(2.773)	1.023(2.757)
Some college or AA degree			4.113(2.703)	3.658(2.757)	3.024(2.743)
College grad or above			10.677(2.810)***	9.736(2.910)**	9.187(2.894)**
Refused ^e			17.129(13.041)		
Family Income to Poverty ^f				0.422(0.264)†	0.507(.263) †
Gender					
Female					3.065(0.755)***
Constant	42.909 (1.384)***	40.619(1.488)***	37.268(3.060)***	36.888(3.136)***	35.750(3.128)***
R squared	.066	.105	.156	.155	.167
F statistic	22.303***	16.303***	16.482***	15.239***	15.513***

*p < .05, **p < .01, ***p < .001; +p < 0.1^a Results are reported as *b*(SE). *b* is the unstandardized beta coefficient. SE is the standard error of the estimate; ^b Poor diet quality was the reference category for perceived diet quality; ^c Non-Hispanic White was the reference category for ethnicity/race; ^d Less than 9th grade was the reference category for education; ^e Correlations for the "refused" education variable were missing in the regression model and were deleted from the analysis; ¹ Family income to poverty was entered into the model as a continuous variable

Figure 1: Overview of the Statistical Plan

• Descriptive Statistics

• Spearman Rank Correlation (Ho1 & Ho2) • *Test correlations between PDQ and HEI scores*

• Multiple Linear Regression (Ho1) • Test whether PDQ & covariates predict total HEI score

• Ordered Logit (Ho2)

• Test the effect of HEI component scores on PDQ

Statistical Software Used: SAS, SPSS, STATA

 Table 4: Linear Regression Model to Predict
HEI Total Scores Based on PDQ and Study Covariates

	OR	95% CI
HEI Component Score		
Total Fruit	0.974	0.890, 1.066
Whole Fruit	1.092*	1.007, 1.184
Total Vegetables	1.042	0.945, 1.143
Greens & Beans	1.029	0.964, 1.099
Whole Grains	1.044*	1.003, 1.086
Dairy	1.008	0.965, 1.052
Total Protein Foods	0.975	0.873, 1.090
Seafood & Plant Proteins	1.019	0.964, 1.076
Fatty Acids	0.988	0.946, 1.032
Refined Grains	1.019	0.983, 1.057
Sodium	1.007	0.967, 1.050
Empty Calories	1.053 **	1.022, 1.084
Race ^a		
Mexican American	0.422***	0.303, 0.588
Other Hispanic	0.771	0.518, 1.146
Non-Hispanic Black	0.719*	0.528, 0.979
Non-Hispanic Asian	1.095	0.747, 1.604
Other Race or Multiracial	0.663	0.408, 1.076
Education ^b		
9-11 th grade	1.078	0.500, 2.325
HS grad/ GED or equiv.	1.248	0.580, 2.683
Some college or AA degree	1.153	0.537, 2.474
College grad or above	1.455	0.651, 3.254
Family Income to Poverty ^c	1.018	0.946, 1.097
Gender		
Female	0.932	0.749, 1.161

*p < .05, **p < .01, ***p < .001; †p < 0.1, †p < .1N = 1175^a Non-Hispanic White was the reference category for ethnicity/race.

^b Less than 9th grade was the reference category for education.

^c Family income: poverty was entered into the model as a continuous variable.

Multiple regression analysis showed that excellent, very good, and good PDQ are significant predictors of total HEI score compared to the referent category of poor PDQ, but the full model only predicted 16.7% of the variance in total HEI score. The ordered logit showed that whole fruit, whole grains, and empty calories have a significant effect on the odds of having a higher PDQ (fair, good, very good, excellent) instead of a poor PDQ, but the increase in odds is low.

Diet Quality of Young Adults

Dietary Guidelines for Americans.

Perceived and Objective Diet Quality in Young Adults

- PDQ, but the relationships are weak.
- needed to understand predictors of ODQ.
- explaining these two types of DQ.

Perceived Diet Quality in Young Adults

about their DQ.

Diet Quality and Race/Ethnicity in Young Adults

- redict risk of chronic disease. Journal of Nutrition, 142(6), 1009-1018. doi: 10.3945/jn.111.15722 perceptions. Appetite, 114, 55-63. doi: 10.1016/j.appet.2017.03.021 Debate, R.D., Topping, M., & Sargent, R.G. (2001). Racial and gender differences in weight status and dietary practices among college students Adolescence. 36, 819-833.
- HEI-2010. Journal of the Academy of Nutrition and Dietetics, 113(4), 569-580. doi: 10.1016/ j.jand.2012.12.016
- 10.1038/sj.ijo.0801461 20 years and weight gain. Journal of the American Medical Association, 304(23), 2603–2610. doi: 10.1001/jama.2010.1843 Journal of the Academy of Nutrition and Dietetics, 113(2), 297-306. doi: 10.1016/i.jand.2012.08.011
- college students. *Preventative Medicine*, 64, 121–125. doi: 10.1016/j.ypmed.2014.03.023 by family meal patterns during adolescence. Public Health Nutrition, 16(5), 883-93. doi: 10.1017/S1368980012003539 American Dietetic Association, 106(12), 2001-2007. doi: 10.1016/j.jada.2006.09.008
- intake. Journal of Nutrition Education, 29, 313-320. 10.3402/gha.v5i0.18668 Education and Behavior, 47(2), 181-187. doi: 10.1016/j.jneb.2014.09.003
- 117(3), 396-403. doi: 10.1016/j.jand.2016.09.018 related behavior change. Obesity, 16, 2205-2211. doi: 10.1038/oby.2008.365 18719665
- Academy of Nutrition and Dietetics. Sijtsma, F., Meyer, K., Steffen, L., Shikany, J., Van Horn, L., Harnack, L., ...Jacobs, D. (2012). Longitudinal trends in diet and effects of sex, race, and 580-586. doi: 10.3945/ajcn.111.020719
- Nutrition and Dietetics, 116(2), 302-310. doi: 10.1016/j.jand.2015.09.020 Variyam, J.N., Shim, Y., & Blaylock, J. (2001). Consumer misperceptions of diet quality. Journal of Nutrition Education, 33(6), 314-321.

Acknowledgements

Thank you to my committee members, Dr. Gray, Dr. Wang, & Professor Hill for their time, expertise, & encouragement.



Conclusions

• The mean HEI score for the sample (48.7) was well below the maximum score of 100, showing poor adherence to the

• Most measures of ODQ are significantly correlated with

• YA who rated their diets "very good" had higher total HEI scores than those who rated their diets "excellent."

• DQ in YA may differ by PDQ, sex, race/ethnicity, income, and education level. However, these variables do not have much predictive ability on HEI score. More research is

• Overall, there are significant relationships between PDQ and ODQ, but other variables play a substantial role in

YA may not give much consideration to their adherence to food group recommendations when making judgments

The model did not predict significantly different total HEI scores for Non-Hispanic Blacks and Whites, which is discordant with past findings (Debate et al., 2002; Kang et al., 2014; Sijtsma, et al., 2012; Zamora et al., 2010). • Mexican Americans may be less likely to rate their DQ higher (versus lower) than Non-Hispanic Whites, even though they have significantly higher total HEI scores.

References

Chiuve, S.E., Fung, T.T., Rimm, E.B., Hu, F.B., McCullough, M.L., Wang, M., Stampfer, M.J., Willet, W.C. (2012). Alternative dietary indices both strong De Vlieger, N.M., Collins, C., & Bucher, T. (2017). What is a nutritious snack? Level of processing and macronutrient content influences young adults'

Greaney, M.L., Less, F.D., White, A.A., Dayton, S.F., Riebe, D., Blissmer, B., & Greene, G.W. (2009). College students' barriers and enablers for healthful weight management: a qualitative study. Journal of Nutrition Education and Behavior, 41(4), 281–286. doi: 10.1016/j.jneb.2008.04.354 Guenther, P.M., Casavale, K.O., Reedy, J., Kirkpatrick, S.I., Hiza, H.A., Kuczynski, K.J., ...Krebs-Smith, S.M. (2013). Update of the Healthy Eating Index Guenther, P., Kirkpatrick, S., Reedy, J., Krebs-Smith, S., Buckman, D., Dodd, K.,...Carroll, R. (2014). The Healthy Eating Index-2010 is a valid and reliable measure of diet quality according to the 2010 Dietary Guidelines for Americans. The Journal of Nutrition, 144(3), 399-407. doi: 10.3945/jn.113.183079 Guo, S.S., Huang, C., Maynard, L.M., Demerath, E., Towne, B., Chumlea, W.C., & Siervogel, R.M. (2000). Body mass index during childhood, adolescence and young adulthood in relation to adult overweight and adiposity: The Fels Longitudinal Study. International Journal of Obesity, 24(12), 1628-1635. doi:

Hankinson, A.L., Daviglus, M.L., Bouchard, C., Carnethon, M., Lewis, C.E., Schreiner, P.J., & Sidney, S. (2010). Maintaining a high physical activity level over Hiza, H.A., Casavale, K.O., Guenther, P.M., Davis, C.A. (2013). Diet guality of Americans differs by age, sex, race/ethnicity, income, and education level. Kang, J., Ciecierski, C.C., Malin, E.L., Carroll, A.J., Gidea, M., Craft, L.L., ... Hitsman, B. (2014). A latent class analysis of cancer risk behaviors among U.S Larson, N., Fulkerson, J., Story, M., & Neumark-Sztainer, D. (2013). Shared meals among young adults are associated with better diet quality and predicted Larson, N.I., Perry, C.L., Story, M., & Neumark-Sztainer, D. (2006). Food preparation by young adults is associated with better diet guality. Journal of the Lechner, L. Brug, J., & De Vries, H. (1997). Misconceptions of fruit and vegetable consumption: Differences between objective and subjective estimation or Loftfield, E., Yi, S., Immerwahr, S., & Eisenhower, D. (2015). Construct validity of a single-item, self-rated question of diet quality. Journal of Nutrition

Lutz, L.J., Gaffney-Stomberg, E., Williams, K.W., Mcgraw, S.M., Niro, P.J., Karl, J.P., Cable, S.J., ... Mcclung, J.P. (2017). Adherence to the Dietary Guideline: for Americans is associated with psychological resilience in young adults: A cross-sectional study. Journal of the Academy of Nutrition and Dietetics, Nelson, M.C., Story, M., Larson N.I, Neumark-Sztainer, D., & Lytle, L.A. (2008). Emerging adulthood and college-aged youth: An overlooked age for weigh Schwingshackl, L., Bogensberger, B., Hoffmann, G. (2017). Diet quality as assessed by the Healthy Eating Index, the Alternate Healthy Eating Index, the Dietary Approaches to Stop Hypertension Score, and health outcomes: a updated systematic review and meta-analysis of cohort studies. Journal of the

education on dietary quality score change: The Coronary Artery Risk Development in Young Adults study. American Journal of Clinical Nutrition, 95(3), Wilson, M.M., Reedy, J., & Krebs-Smith, S.M. (2016). American diet quality: Where it is, where it is heading, and what it could be. Journal of the Academy of

Zamora, D., Gordon-Larsen, P., Jacobs, D.R., & Popkin, B.M. (2010). Diet quality and weight gain among Black and White young adults: The Coronary Artery Risk Development in Young Adults (CARDIA) study (1985-2005). American Journal of Clinical Nutrition, 92(4), 784-793. doi: 10.3945/ajcn.2010.29161