

EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE MEETING: AGENDA

Tuesday, May 5, 2026, 2:00 pm – 4:00 pm

AS-125

And on Zoom: <https://csulb.zoom.us/j/86796238597> (Meeting ID: 867 9623 8597)

N. Hultgren, B. Katz, C. Warren, P. Ayala, N. Meyer-Adams, A. Nayak, B. Trimble, S. Asgari, N. Schürer, C. Scott-Hayward, S. Collins, C. Ryan, S. Apel, D. Green, P. Hung, K. Scissum Gunn, A. Kinsey, J. Forbes

1. Call to Order- 2:00 pm
2. Approval of Agenda - moved by AN, seconded and approved.
3. Approval of Minutes- moved by AN, seconded and approved.
 1. [Meeting of April 28, 2026](#)
4. Special Orders
 1. Report: Provost Karyn Scissum Gunn – KSG reported first that we welcomed President Blanchard to campus as of May 1st. He has engaged in some of the annual events occurring on campus. Files have been submitted for Retention and Promotion, including 124 total candidates, 78 for tenure and promotion, 46 for retention. The Student Success Convening was held last Thursday, at capacity, engaging dialogue to sunset GI 2020 and assessing the metrics of where we have landed. Digital badges available in four of the five categories of the framework. CSH asks about the replacement rate for faculty, and how WTUs might be adjusted based on salary, and how that works regarding faculty pay. PFH reported there will be additional engagement in the future on other frameworks. The provost asked for details to investigate. More details were requested by NS on the student success convening, which the Provost offered, along with an echo of appreciation to NS for his help.
 2. [Report](#): AVP Donna Green reported Highest number of apps for transfer students, 2nd highest for FTF (behind San Diego). PFH asked about timing for Quadrant 4 information, which is April. Cultural graduations are ongoing and going well. BT added the School of Art showcase is happening till next Wednesday.
 3. Report: VP Scott Apel – no report
5. Unfinished Business
 1. Review of Patent Policy draft and Intellectual Property and Copyright Policy draft, continued
6. New Business

1. Reviewing the [agenda](#) for the Academic Senate meeting of Thursday, May 7, 2026 – EC reviewed the agenda and discusses possible amendments to the CFA and WASC resolutions.
2. Reviewing the [agenda](#) for the AS Organizational Meeting of Thursday, May 7, 2026
3. Requests for feedback from faculty who did not receive CBA 20.37 Assigned Time – NH asked EC about a feedback request we received from a faculty member, NH contacted UMGSSC co-chair who indicated that at this time the answer is no, but perhaps in the future we may. EC agreed, there may be a CFA issue, will check.
4. Call for revisions of [existing questions](#) for AVP ORED Interviews – NH reported he and BK are working on revisions of the questions.
5. [Senate Retreat Data](#)
6. Micro-credentials and Senate Curriculum Review Processes in [PS 24-17](#) Guest: Pei-Fang Hung, Vice Provost for Academic Programs - PFH presented the current policy and asks EC about stand alone for certificates vs. CPaCE. Questions were posed about credentials existing stateside or through extension, and as a stand-alone certificate or part of a degree program. AN asked about the micro credential, and whether the units were upper or lower division, both. NMA added it may be a problem for students in the same class to be paying different tuition. NS issued reservations that students will potentially get two degrees or recognition for the same work. KSG responded that not all programs might fit credential pathways and may be discipline specific.
7. [Time Certain: 2:30 pm] Review of IPAC Annual Report – EE and AK presented the IPAC annual report to EC. IPAC approves the MOU's, institutional assessment, and advise programs during their assessment process. They had a productive year. They completed 18 MOU's this year, will have 21 program reviews next year. An incoming self-study coming and an external review in Fall 2026. GEEC reports to IPAC, have not received their report yet. They recertified over 100 GE courses this year. They may make a request to open the charge to revise it. NS asked if IPAC co-chairs should be on the WASC steering committee and the MOU's
Guests: IPAC Co-Chairs Adam Kahn and Erlyana Erlyana
8. [Time Certain: 2:45 pm] Review of [FPPC Annual Report](#) Guest: Barbara LeMaster, Chair, FPPC – BLM presented the linked report to EC. NS asked if there has been trouble with attendance at FPPC meetings, BLM reported that some faculty do not show up regularly. NH asked if CFA has been involved in the new hiring policies put forward.
9. [Time Certain: 3:00 pm] Review of URC Annual Report Guest: Dave Whitney, Chair, URC _DW presented the annual report for URC. URC has a dual role, administrators present fiscal presentations, also budgetary concerns for academic programs presented. 22 presenters this year from administrators. Thirteen program proposals came through, 4 were discontinuances. Prepared a report for

Senate about concerns about the GVAR proposal, Kara Perkins updates the budget for URC regularly. All proposals were put on Canvas this year which helped, and was held in person this year. CSH asked about alternating proposals that happen each year. DW reported he has not changed this, he feels there is limited duplication. NS asked about the fact that in the past the committee has been more of a “rubber stamp”, he asks if that is still the case. DW offered it is a challenge to understand budgets but they try to ask questions for program proposals about resources. PFH commented on the number of program proposals, our campus offers 300 degree programs, and the campus is somewhat saturated. Some departments are revising existing programs rather than submitting new proposals. Budget central information is now online on the University website.

10. [Time Certain: 3:15 pm] Review of [CEPC Annual Report](#) Guest: Craig Macaulay, Chair, CEPC – CM presented the linked report to EC. This year was challenging partly due to the Grade Appeal policy revision. BK asked if we are finished with the Elevation process mandated by EO 1071, PFH reported yes. NH asked about the Grade Appeal form, will this need to be approved by the full Senate, or a smaller group. Academic Programs is their suggestion as to who will create the form. CEPC thought the COB form might be a useful template. NS asked if there is anything to learn from how the GVAR policy was sent forward. CM felt like there was not enough consultation in the process of putting the revisions forward.

7. Announcements and Information

8. Reminders

1. AS Executive Committee Interview with AVP, ORED Finalist #1, Thursday, May 7, 2026, 9:00 am to 9:45 am, BH 316 and on Zoom (i.e. hybrid)
2. Organizational Meeting for the 2026-27 Academic Senate, Thursday, May 7, 2026, 2:00 pm to 2:45 pm in PSY-150 and on Zoom
3. Sixteenth Academic Senate Meeting of the Academic Year, Thursday, May 7, 2026, 2:45 pm to 4:00 pm in PSY 150 and on Zoom
4. AS Executive Committee Interview with AVP, ORED Finalist #2, Tuesday, May 12, 2026, 10:00 am to 10:45 am, BH 316 and on Zoom (i.e. hybrid)
5. AS Executive Committee Interview with AVP, ORED Finalist #3, Thursday, May 14, 2026, 10:00 am to 10:45 am, BH 316 and on Zoom (i.e. hybrid)

9. Adjournment - 4:03 pm