

EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE MEETING: Minutes

Tuesday, April 14, 2026, 2:00 pm – 4:00 pm

Zoom: <https://csulb.zoom.us/j/86796238597> (Meeting ID: 867 9623 8597)

N. Hultgren, B. Katz, C. Warren, P. Ayala, N. Meyer-Adams, A. Nayak, B. Trimble, S. Asgari, N. Schürer, C. Scott-Hayward, S. Collins, C. Ryan, S. Apel, D. Green, P. Hung, K. Scissum Gunn, A. Kinsey, J. Forbes

1. Call to Order - 2:00pm
2. Approval of Agenda- moved by BK, seconded and approved.
3. Approval of Minutes- moved by AN, seconded and approved.
 1. [Meeting of April 7, 2026](#)
4. Special Orders
 1. Report: Provost Karyn Scissum Gunn – KSG reported that Day at the Beach had the most attendance to date and was a very successful overall. University Achievement awards are tomorrow at Anatol, 23 members of the campus community will be honored. BT added there was a great turnout for SOA, and it was a great event for them. Some students mentioned that other campuses had their event on the same day, hopefully this can be avoided in the future. NS asked about the faculty member removed as faculty advisor from a student group, not clear what policy or procedure was followed, and that many faculty have expressed concern due to the lack of due process. KSG reported there is a separate type of guidelines to oversee this type of service.
 2. Report: VP Scott Apel- SAP reported first on the May budget revise, waiting until after Tax Day for revenue projections. Revenues are up from last year, projecting \$40B above expected revenue. Three new platforms are being rolled out: CSU Buy, the Concur implementation, and a common Human Resources platform (all of which staff may be impacted by). The rollout will be in Fall 26 for most users. CHRS is expected to go live in 2027. SA asked about issues related to scalability based on the pilot with smaller campuses. SAP reported bugs in the system were identified and should be fixed by implementation time. NS reported mixed reports across the system that the new systems may push work on the faculty increasing workload. SAP reported he has heard some of this but it should be simply entry into a different system. CSH added faculty may not have approval for their own fiscal reports, but payments are happening quicker than previously. AK offered the training does offer a “submitted on behalf of” which means staff may still be able to submit on behalf of faculty. AN said the training for CHRS and

PageUp is lacking. BT asked about small vendors and CSU Buy. SAP replied that one advantage of the new program means not every campus has to vet every vendor. BK added that he is often lacking information that would be needed from administrative assistants to complete.

5. Unfinished Business

1. Review of Patent Policy draft and Intellectual Property and Copyright Policy draft, continued - NH stated these are still with the University Council; the Provost reported we should hear on these policies soon.
2. Update on AS Exec Interviews with the CIE Executive Director Finalists- schedule coming soon, most will be held the first half hour of EC. AVP of ORED schedule also coming soon.
3. Update on parking concern faced by employee couples –a meeting with the impacted faculty member was held and the issue seems to be resolved. A fee schedule for citations will be requested to be put on website soon.
4. Recent communications from ORED, including on the revision of PS 16-01: Misconduct in RSCA – NH presented an update on this revision, still at FPPC.

6. New Business

1. [Review of draft revisions of PS 11-09: Grade Appeal Policy from CEPC](#) – NH presented the draft policy from CEPC. Timeline section in appendix will be removed when presented to senate for approval. The grade appeal form will not be part of the policy. Who will be responsible for the grade appeal form is a question asked by EC which needs to be addressed in the policy. PA asks about the form, who is in charge, who will make changes still unclear.
2. [Review of draft resolution: Institutional Support and Advocacy for Campus Community Members Impacted by Federal Immigration Policies](#) – NH reported to the EC that if it is not in first reading on April 23, it will not be passed this semester. CW asked if the majority vote was tight, and if issues were raised. SC said it was a vast majority with no real dissent. CSH presented her issues with the resolution and asked if this was presented to student organizations; yes, SQE has provided feedback. CSH has serious objections to the resolution in regards to the resolve statement on recruitment by immigration officers for job opportunities. NH offered that there wasn't much of a precedent for amending resolutions on the floor, although rarely, after SC suggested it could be amended on the senate floor. NS asked if his revisions were addressed, where he cut the length of the resolution in a significant manner, and added editing of resolutions generally happens before the senate meeting. NH suggested that CFA make changes and that EC would include the resolution on the agenda when they vote. NH suggested that CFA make changes and that EC would include the resolution on the agenda when they vote. KSG asks about the timeline and the motivation for it

passing at the end of the school year. SC reported CFA looked at other campuses who have put forth resolutions on this subject. She feels it helps with morale and that our campus climate. NH requested CFA get the final version it to EC by 10 am Thursday morning, to put it on the agenda for the Senate meeting on the 23rd of April. KSG asked what other campuses have had a resolution like this approved, SC will check. PFH asked who approved the other campus resolutions; SC replied their senate. SAP offered concerned about including anything about specific centers on campus in the statement and feels a separate commendation may be the better way to go. The federal government may investigate centers included in the resolution. KSG asks about the other resolutions, in line 97 in our resolution what is envisioned in the word “support”. Her answer is to have the student potentially attend the course online for a short period of time. KSG’s concern is with PS 17-17 and excused absences. KSG suggests that this resolved (lines 97-100) may be removed. CW echoed the sentiment the resolution is worthwhile and would like to see it passed, and encourages CFA to consider the revisions, perhaps removing all the resolves in lines 90-100. PFH commented that hy-flex class modality is specific per policy. NMA added some programs have regulations that prevent online modalities.

3. [Potential Resolution on new WSCUC Steering Committee](#)
"Guest": Pei-Fang Hung, Vice Provost for Academic Programs – PFH presented the proposed resolution on WSCUC. The purpose is to form membership and the charge of the steering committee. The membership will likely stay the same unless suggestions are made. She would like to form committee sooner rather than later. BK asked why a resolution rather than a charge. NS suggested that the membership designee such as senate chair, change as the senate chair changes yearly. PFH supported changing from a resolution to a charge, NS added it must be a resolution first before a charge. NH asked if this shall be on the agenda for the 23rd? EC agrees to an updated version going on the agenda.
 4. [Setting the agenda for the Academic Senate Meeting of Thursday, April 23, 2026](#)
– NH presented the draft agenda to EC for the 23rd. EC decides not to present the grade appeal policy for first reading at the next AS meeting, NS feels that it should be presented. Grade appeal policy will be presented at the final meeting for a short presentation. Vote on proposed agenda, all approved.
 5. [Senate Retreat Data](#)
 6. Date for final AS Exec meeting of the 2025-26 Academic Year
 7. Dealing with Sabbatical and Difference-in-pay Leaves and the ASCSU Senators
7. Announcements and Information
 8. Reminders
 1. AS Executive Committee Interview with CLA Dean Finalist #3, Tuesday, April 14,

- 2026, 10:00 am to 10:45 am, BH 316 and on Zoom (i.e. hybrid)
 2. AS Executive Committee Interview with CLA Dean Finalist #4, Thursday, April 16, 2026, 10:00 am to 10:45 am, BH 316 and on Zoom (i.e. hybrid)
 3. AS Executive Committee Interview with Graduate Dean Finalist #1, Tuesday, April 21, 2026, 10:00 am to 10:45 am, BH 316 and on Zoom (i.e. hybrid)
 4. AS Executive Committee Interview with Graduate Dean Finalist #2, Thursday, April 23, 2026, 10:00 am to 10:45 am, BH 316 and on Zoom (i.e. hybrid)
 5. Fifteenth Academic Senate Meeting of the Academic Year, Thursday, April 23, 2026, from 2:00 to 4:00 pm in PSY-150 and on Zoom
 6. AS Executive Committee Interview with Graduate Dean Finalist #3, Tuesday, April 28, 2026, 10:00 am to 10:45 am, BH 316 and on Zoom (i.e. hybrid)
 7. AS Executive Committee Interview with Graduate Dean Finalist #4, Thursday, April 30, 2026, 10:00 am to 10:45 am, BH 316 and on Zoom (i.e. hybrid)
 8. Organizational Meeting for the 2026-27 Academic Senate, Thursday, May 7, 2026, 2:00 to 2:45 pm in PSY-150 and on Zoom
 9. Sixteenth Academic Senate Meeting of the Academic Year, Thursday, May 7, 2026, 2:45 to 4:00 pm in PSY 150 and on Zoom
9. Adjournment - 4:02pm