

EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE MEETING: Minutes

Tuesday, December 9, 2025, 2:00 pm – 4:00 pm

Academic Senate Conference Room (AS 125)

Or on Zoom: <https://csulb.zoom.us/j/86796238597> (Meeting ID: 867 9623 8597)

N. Hultgren, B. Katz, C. Warren, P. Ayala, A. Nayak, J. Santhiveeran, B. Trimble, S. Asgari, N. Schürer, C. Scott-Hayward, C. Ryan, S. Apel, D. Green, P. Hung, K. Scissum Gunn, A. Kinsey, J. Forbes, K. Janousek

1. Call to Order
2. Approval of Agenda - moved by BK, as amended, seconded and approved.
3. Approval of Minutes - moved by BK, seconded and approved.
 1. [Meeting of December 2, 2025](#)
4. Special Orders None.
5. Unfinished Business
 1. Debrief of Academic Senate Meeting of Thursday, December 4, 2025-EC discusses the past meeting. Some discussion about challenges to what some might call shared governance, including normal shared governance procedures. One major debate was concerning class size for WI courses, and the URC study already conducted. NS asks if he needs to request information about resources from URC. PFH says current language is 18-24, NS asks for 20. Cost at 20, cost at 25 will be requested. NS feels that URC making a report would be helpful. NH states this will delay the policy. NS thinks we should not ask for more information from URC so no delay occurs.
6. New Business
 1. Selection of a Member of the Committee on Honorary Degrees according to [PS 97-04](#), from [applications we have received](#) – NH asks EC to select a member for this committee. NH states the committee has already met. CW states the committee has already ranked the candidates. EC votes to select R. Marcus to be on the committee. D. Paskin was selected as an alternate.
 2. PS 22-13 query re: 8.9.1 – CB is concerned about this section, do all interviewees need to be asked the exact same questions. Interviews should be tailored to the audience EC states. The questions must be consistent and asked the same way for each group or audience.

3. Annual Report from the University Awards Committee – NH presents the report to EC. EC discusses the policy also.
4. [Time Certain 2:30 pm] Request to extend the appointment of the Interim Dean of CHHS

Guest: Patricia Pérez, AVP, Faculty Affairs – PP visits EC to request the extension of the appt. of Interim Dean Reynolds-Fisher. GRF was appointed on Jan. 2, 2025, she asks for an extension to May 31st, 2026. The new Dean should be in place by that time. **EC votes unanimously to extend the appointment.**

5. [Time Certain 3:00 pm] Discussion and feedback on [CSULB draft AI Guidelines](#)

Guest: Shariq Ahmed, AVP, Academic Technology Services – SA presents draft AI guidelines to EC. Faculty, students and staff are covered in this document. PFH asks what is the next steps, how will this be shared. NS asks about #4, as to permitted, restricted and prohibited uses. Also #5 academic use, he feels faculty must clearly define their AI policy. NS feels that #12 is strange, linking AI to Beach 2030. He asks how it expands access and increases trust. CSH has many problems with this document and should not be used in its current form. PA states that #12 should be removed. BK states there should be a default for faculty who do not expressly state a view. Sections 1 and 12 are problematic in his opinion. AN thanks Shariq for writing this document, she feels the translation section is a problem. SAD also thanks him for his effort, she feels this is a great draft, but the level of details and specificity is lacking. She also says it should be discipline specific. NH reiterates these are guidelines, not policy. KJ says the phrasing could cause problems for the GAC. JF states that some professors will only accept word docs not pdfs to watch the timelapse (version history) of a document. She feels watching doc files is an invasion of privacy. JS suggests they have overreached with this document. NS pointed out students that are high performers are most likely upset about AI use, and how the data is used by the AI or its company. NH mentioned a statement for faculty at the start of the semester. NS suggested a syllabus policy creation tool that could be customized to LB State. BK also reiterated privacy concerns with what is uploaded by students.

6. [Time Certain 3:30 pm] [Joint Associate Degree Pilot Program between CSULB and LBCC](#) - Guest: Dhushy Sathianathan, Vice Provost for Academic Planning, Meghan Griffith-Pina. Joint Associate Degree Pilot Program between CSULB and LBCC. Addresses the “some college, no degree” problem. About 25% of each cohort at CSULB. Under this plan, every student who matriculates at a CSU campus would have a defined pathway to earn an associate degree from a partner CCC, should they be unable to complete a bachelor’s degree. Questions were asked about long term outcomes, which is primarily focused on student success. NS suggests acronym “SECND”, Some Earned College No Degree, and concerned about

articulation agreements which could be misused. Several recommended a degree at CSULB, not a joint degree. BK warns of a lowering of the bar for specific classes if we are bound to CC guidelines. NH asked about the different tracks, and how combined. Dushy replied tracks would help organize units into areas.

7. Announcements and Information

2. [FSSE follow-up email from Sharlene Sayegh](#)
3. [ASI Resolution 2026-01: ASI Senate Policy Agenda](#)

8. Reminders

1. First Partial AS Exec Meeting of 2026, 13 January 2026, from 2:00 pm to 2:45 pm, zoom, to set the agenda for the 1/22/26 Senate meeting
2. First full AS Exec Meeting of 2026, 20 January 2026, from 2:00 pm to 4:00 pm, in AS-125 and on zoom
3. Ninth Academic Senate Meeting of the Academic Year, Thursday, January 22, 2026, from 2:00 pm to 4:00 pm in PSY-150 and on Zoom

9. Adjournment - 4:00