



**California State University, Long Beach
Curriculum and Educational Policies Council**

Agenda – AY2025-26 – Meeting 8

Wednesday, January 28th, 2026, 2:00-4:00 PM

Zoom: <https://csulb.zoom.us/j/85806555081>

Meeting ID: 858 0655 5081

Attendance: Kelly Suh, Jasmine Forbes, Hailu Xu, Chloe Pascual, Chris Swarat, Heather Macías, Sophia Benitez, Chris Marino, Danielle Kohfeldt, Rebecca Bustamante, Lisa Fish, Amanda Fisher, Pei-Fang Hung, Emily Atherley, Nat Hansuvadha, Christine Scott-Hayward, Henry O'Lawrence, Ann Kim, Brian Cole, Donna Green, Jose Miguel Palacios, Robert Moushon, Jermie Arnold, Lora Stevens, Mariya Mileva, Laura Forrest, Shamim Mirza, Danny Paskin, Judy Prince, Barbara Kim, Kirsten Sumpter, Craig Macaulay, Jeff Bentley

1. Approval of the agenda - Approved
2. Approval of minutes from December 10th, 2025 meeting - Approved
3. Announcements
 - a. Last Thursday Senate passed the policy portion of GWAR, now working on the committee charge.
4. Discontinuance – MA program in Asian Studies, Japanese Language and Pedagogy (JLP)
Option – Time certain 2:10 – Barbara Kim
 - a. Beginning in 2015 option changed. FERPing faculty and the pandemic led to a suspension in 2020. At 5 years out, department was told they had to make a decision, and so they are discontinuing the MA and focusing on undergraduates.
 - b. No questions.
 - c. Moved to second reading.
 - d. 23 approve, 1 abstention. M/S/P (CEPC is the last stop for uncontested discontinuances.)
5. 2nd Reading – MA in Education, Option in Dual Language Development to a standalone MA in Critical Multilingual Education – Time certain 2:50 (**amended**) – Heather Macías
 - a. Library report looks good.
 - b. 23 approvals, 1 abstentions. M/S/P
6. CoE Renaming – Proposal to rename “College of Engineering” to “Hung Family College of Engineering” – Time certain 2:30 – Dan Montoya, Jinny Rhee, Amin Rezaei



- a. Shamim Mirza and Kelly Suh in attendance. J. Rhee is unable to attend today, but sent remarks (in files for today).
- b. Moved up to 2:20pm
- c. Hung family has been supporting the CoE. Donated \$30 million of which \$6 million has already been paid. Other colleges are encouraged to find donors on this level for naming of colleges.
- d. Comment and question: In the renaming document, in the 2nd big paragraph, edit the \$24.00 to \$24 million. Also, is there a specific amount needed for naming a college?
- e. Question: Could we get a bio for Mr. and Mrs. Hung? Answer: Yes, it is in the files for today.
- f. Policy statement does not give a dollar amount: [Policy Statement - 97-01 Name Change for Academic Units \(Supersedes PS 87-03 and PS 91-04\) - Academic Senate | California State University Long Beach](#)
 - i. Asks about mission and how the proposed naming supports the mission.
- g. Question: What are “coveted graduates?” Answer: in-demand graduates that are coveted by businesses
- h. Comment: Our recommendations are not binding. We make a recommendation, the Senate makes a recommendation, and the Provost ultimately decides.
- i. Question: Who here at the University vets donors for things like this? Answers:
 - i. Probably Development, as they work closely with donors. Probably Dan Montoya, as well as development officers at the college level.
 - ii. This donor has already given \$6 million.
- j. Proposal that our recommendation be conditional on the support of the College of Engineering members approving this.
- k. Comment: None of the parties involved (all of whom were invited) are here for the meeting.
- l. Shamim Mirza: Time constraint is because this has to move up by the middle of February. Unconditional recommendation would be appreciated.
- m. College of Engineering meeting is not until Feb 4.
- n. Shamim will not have to come back here if the College of Engineering faculty vote to approve this. If they approve it, our recommendation will go right into effect. It should not cause any time constraints if College of Engineering faculty acts quickly.



- o. Q: What is formal approval by the College of Engineering beyond the dean's letter? Answer: the College of Engineering curriculum committee and faculty council. In the policy they typically come first, and we don't feel comfortable saying yes before they look at it.
 - p. Vote: 19 in favor 3 oppose 2 abstain. Conditional approval passes.
- 7. Discontinuance – MA in Education (Option in Math) – Time certain 2:40 – Associate Dean Rebecca Bustamante
 - a. Program is currently out of compliance and reactivating it would be prohibitive. Current student demand doesn't support it. Previous attempt at reactivation did not pass college curriculum committee. CNSM now has a Master of Sci in Math Education. There could be confusion between the two master's degrees. All teacher candidates have to complete math methods courses.
 - b. Q: Does Dean Bustamante consider this "uncontested"? Did we need to recommend that the full Senate look at this?
 - c. Decision against waiving first reading so that we can check in with our colleges before voting.
- 8. Grade Appeal Policy Discussion Continuation (See CEPC Canvas for Document Link)
 - a. Comment from Emily Atherley: an executive decision from the chair of a GA committee not to have a meeting. Should we have language that requires a preliminary meeting to ensure equity for students?
 - i. Comment: this should go to the dean of the college.
 - ii. Discussion of whether an email deliberation counts as a meeting.
 - iii. Some people speaking in favor of requiring a meeting, but not stating the format.
 - b. Question: What is the role of the chair? Do they make a decision in their portion of the deliberations? Answer: they are there to try to get the two parties to talk. They don't make a "decision" that is included in the file. They have a mediator role. All parties have to agree in order for the process to stop there. It is mediation, but they can give their perspective and advice to the two parties based on what they see.
 - c. Question: Should we make it explicit that the chair makes recommendations?
 - d. Discussion about process: If the committee sides with the student but faculty resists changing the grade, the dean of the college can make that change. If the committee sides with the faculty and the student doesn't accept that, they can appeal.



- e. Action item: We will start at §3.4 next meeting. Please make comments on the document ahead of time, and please review any comments that you have already made in order to discuss them.
- 9. Adjournment 3:48pm

Next meeting: February 11th, 2026

Additional info:

CEPC website (has schedule, agendas, minutes, etc.) –

<https://www.csulb.edu/academic-senate/curriculum-and-educational-policies-council-cepc>

CEPC Canvas (where all docs will be shared) - <https://csulb.instructure.com/courses/58033>