EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE MEETING: Minutes
Tuesday, October 14, 2025, 2:00 pm – 4:00 pm
Academic Senate Conference Room (AS 125)
Or on Zoom: https://csulb.zoom.us/j/86796238597 (Meeting ID: 867 9623 8597)

N. Hultgren, B. Katz, C. Warren, P. Ayala, A. Nayak, J. Santhiveeran, B. Trimble, S. Asgari, N. Schürer, C. Scott-Hayward, S. Collins, C. Ryan, S. Apel, D. Green, P. Hung, K. Scissum Gunn, A. Kinsey, J. Forbes

1. Call to Order- 2:01

2. Approval of Agenda- moved by BK, seconded and approved.

3. Approval of Minutes- moved by AN, seconded and approved.
    3.1. Meeting of October 7, 2025

4. Special Orders
    4.1. Report: Provost Karyn Scissum Gunn- KSG reports on planning indoor space for students during inclement weather, about 12 locations identified for students. Due to construction, student study space needs to be identified. The Horn center extended hours was requested. CPaCE building has space available. Great Shakeout coming up on Thursday, there will be a simulation on university owned devices, described as a phone takeover. NS asks how long the takeover will be for devices; SAP states it is a notification. CW asks about misconceptions in the Lecturer conversion method. CSH says this is working well in her department and their creation of a lecturer conversion committee and bylaws helped. AN added the rollout has lacked clarity such as a terminal degree is necessary. AN says the rollout was problematic with the timeline, as well as the specific qualifications. SC says the lecturer reclassification was a collaboration between CFA and FA. Rollouts have been problematic with certain colleges and not others. How the communication is done at the college and departmental level is an issue. JS says the same standards need to be applied to TT as well as Lecturer reclassification, asked about service credit possible for converted lecturers, which KSG replied is a case by case basis.. BT asks about the funding aspect, will FA/AA help to fund these positions.
    4.2. Report: AVP, Enrollment Services Donna Green- not present, see linked report.
    4.3. Report: VP Scott Apel- SAP presents on CFO meeting last week, a special session of the legislature will not be called for budget cuts, which is good news. Borrowing 145M from the state still up in the air. Legislation for a general obligation bond for the CSU and UC for academic buildings is not looking like it will happen. Federal shutdown, CSU has people in DC working on this. Campuses with research have lost funding for this, currently fronting the funds for the research to continue. SNAP and Cal Fresh will lose funding soon. Financial aid is forwarded funded, so no impact now. CW asks about safety with ongoing construction, such as barriers in parking lots diverting motorcycles to walking paths.

5. Unfinished Business
    1. Setting the agenda for the Academic Senate meeting of October 23, 2025-NH presents draft agenda to EC for approval. SC presents the proposed resolution for a

Resolution in Support of Restoring Counselor Faculty Outreach. NH asks SC how time sensitive this is, does it need to be presented on 10.23.25. KSG asks if outreach is any activity outside of the office, or counseling specifically. Outreach is considered intervention or prevention. BK states that previous conflict between CAPS and Administrators may be an issue. SAP suggests having a discussion first among those affected. NS also presents feedback on the resolution, including that the students would be very interested in this. Hearing from SA first is a suggestion. NH asks EC is this should be postponed, CW motion to delay until all relevant information is received. PA thinks it should not be on agenda at this time. NH believes it should be on the agenda with the possibility of it being removed. SC says a meet and confer was requested in August. Decision resulted in keeping on the agenda with the option to remove if Student Affairs perspective not received. NS feels IPAC report on written communication should be presented before working on the GWAR policy to be effective. NH asks PFH how long the IPAC presentation would be. PFH suggests Sharlene Sayegh make the presentation rather than Adam Kahn, around 15 minutes would be needed for this. Vote on draft agenda, yes 6, approved by EC.

1. Request for formal presentation of IPAC Summer Assessment on Written Communication- PA suggest presenting at EC first.
2. Counselor Faculty Outreach Resolution (from CFA)

2. GEEC Recertification Discussion- NH asks EC about how to move forward on this. Do department chairs need to know that their information be put into an AI chatbot. NS suggest leading with the AI prompt rather than having it in the middle. BK suggests an “opt out” from MW. SAG feels the email would clarify information that chairs should have already received. NH will move forward with his email to department chairs.
    1. Communication for Chair Washburn
    2. Communication for Department Chairs

6. New Business
    1. Review of items from FPPC
        1. SPOT Task Force Charge
        2. Revision of PS 95-02: NCAA Faculty Representative, Selection and Term of Office
            1. Christine Scott-Hayward Questions about FPPC Revision
    2. [Time Certain 3:00 pm] Continued Planning of the Academic Senate Retreat- Ideas presented by NH. Scope of the retreat and the discussion of policy, AI on campus in general, or wholly focused on AI learning in teaching. SAG thinks too broad is bad, suggests focusing learning and teaching with AI. Brainstorming of what we would like to see in a policy. A recorder at each table, with the notes possibly going to the council that will draft the policy. BT says all our focus is teaching even staff. NH asks about possibly having Alexis Pavenick from UL as a speaker. AP may present usable vocabulary in her opening. JF suggests both parts be used in the retreat, with an overview presented. JS suggests a panel to present how AI is used in teaching. SAP asks if we should send a survey in advance. CW suggests an ice breaker asking attendees how they use AI. BK suggest themes that need to be addressed in a policy. NS is concerned that the premise under all of this is that AI is awesome, there needs to be a strong representation of people on

campus who have used AI and have made a conscious choice not to use it in learning., a position being erased in this conversation. NH asks for EC members to look for existing AI policies from other universities. He asks each member to find two polices and not to duplicate those already submitted. PFH asks if Robert Moushon is being considered as a possible presenter. His research is about AI and the ethical use of it as a tool. PFH asked if we will only be focusing on AI in teaching or in committee work also. NH will convene with BK and CW to discuss further. NH will present a mockup for next week.

3. [Time Certain 3:30 pm] Proposal: Naming Transition of the Walter Pyramid to the LBS Financial Pyramid
   Guests: Dan Montoya, VP, University Relations and Development; Bobby Smitheran, Executive Director of Athletics- DM and BS report on the naming proposal for the Pyramid. Most students are on partial scholarship which would require an additional 7M in funds. Revenue sharing in NCAA institutions are presented. Big west does not have financial agreements with broadcast partners. Deficit has been eliminated since BS began his term. Focus on the holistic development of student athletes. Focus is on scholarship, not revenue sharing. CSULB places athletes in the summer Olympics. The Pyramid is a competition venue and a training center for all athletes. 30-year-old facility with significant deferred maintenance issues. This is our greatest asset, in consultation with the Walter family, an outside company (ADC) created a 12-year agreement for 8.5M payment from LBS financial pyramid. Our student athletes have an 85% graduation rate. Infrastructure needs of the pyramid, improvements to the facility, scholarships, recruiting elite talent, self-sustaining activities. CM states that the Walter family was open to this idea. Alternative recognition opportunities have been offered to them. Fundraising efforts will continue, last year 2M was raised. BK offered appreciation for a non-profit as the choice but presents concerns about the process of changing to all scholarships for teams. NS commented on student athlete support and cautioned that if all students received this, then all students would be very successful. NS asked what alternative recognition will the Walters receive, and how much is ADC being compensated. Options include a hall of fame or an award named after the Walters, or possibly renaming the locker room in the basketball area or weightroom. CW motioned to approve renaming, seconded; Vote on proposal, approved unanimously.
4. Annual Reports Received
   1. Lesbian, Gay, Bisexual, Trans, Queer, Intersex, Asexual + Campus Climate Committee
   2. Faculty Center
   3. University Library
5. Appendices for PS 22-13

7. Announcements and Information

7.1 Forwarded response from Faculty Trustee to query about releasing faculty information to the Federal Government

8. Reminders

8.1 Fifth Academic Senate Meeting of the Academic Year, Thursday, October 23, 2025, from 2:00 pm to 4:00 pm in PSY-150 and on Zoom

8.2 Academic Senate Retreat, Thursday, October 30, 2025, 2:00 pm to 5:00 pm at the Alumni Center

9. Adjournment- 4:00