1 2 3	Department of Global Studies Reappointment, Tenure, and Promotion (RTP) Policy Effective Fall 2025
4	
5	I. Preamble
6 7 8 9 10	California State University, Long Beach (CSULB) is a diverse, student-centered, globally engaged public university committed to providing highly valued educational opportunities through superior teaching, research, creative activity, and service. In alignment with CSULB's mission, the Department of Global Studies supports faculty who promote global perspectives, foster interdisciplinary inquiry, and advance civic engagement.
11 12 13 14 15 16 17	The Reappointment, Tenure, and Promotion (RTP) policies at the University and College of Liberal Arts (CLA) levels establish criteria for evaluating the work of probationary and tenured faculty. The Department RTP Policy builds upon these standards, offering guidance tailored to the interdisciplinary focus of Global Studies. This policy reflects CSULB's values of educational opportunity, excellence, diversity, integrity, and service by fostering equitable evaluation processes and supporting faculty success across teaching, research, and service.
18	The RTP process evaluates faculty in three key areas:
19 20 21	 Instructional Activities Research, Scholarly, and Creative Activities (RSCA) Service Contributions
22 23 24 25	This policy aligns with CSULB's mission and values by emphasizing fairness, global engagement, and interdisciplinary excellence. Faculty are expected to demonstrate distinction across these areas while contributing to the university's goals of student success, community impact, and global citizenship.
26	II. Guiding Principles
27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34	 Integration with Institutional Frameworks: The <u>University RTP Policy</u> provides the overarching framework for RTP procedures and decisions. The College of Liberal Arts RTP Policy adds specificity for evaluating faculty in the college. The Department RTP Policy aligns with and complies with these policies, offering additional guidance tailored to Global Studies. Where definitions or standards (e.g., "peer-reviewed") exist in these higher-level policies, they are directly referenced to avoid redundancy. Diversity and Inclusion: Faculty contributions must align with CSULB's commitment to
35	diversity, fostering an inclusive and equitable environment for students, colleagues, and

the broader community. Teaching, research, and service activities should reflect a dedication to advancing equity and representation.

• **Global Engagement**: The Department emphasizes the importance of connecting global and local perspectives through interdisciplinary teaching, research, and service. Faculty contributions should advance global understanding and address transnational challenges.

• Interdisciplinarity: The Department values and promotes interdisciplinarity as a cornerstone of its teaching, research, and service activities. Faculty contributions are evaluated based on their ability to integrate knowledge, methods, and approaches from multiple disciplines to address complex global and societal challenges.

• Holistic Evaluation: Evaluations will consider the quality, significance, and impact of faculty contributions across teaching, research, and service, with an emphasis on alignment with institutional and disciplinary standards. This holistic evaluation must ensure that quantitative metrics do not overshadow the broader context and value of faculty work, while recognizing the specific expectations of disciplinary training and practice. The evaluation of research, scholarly, and creative activities of a Global Studies faculty member must take into account and prize a variety of RSCA products and activities. To this end, the Department of Global Studies seeks to balance evaluation of manuscript publication, peer-reviewed journal publication, policy work products, and field ("real world") application.

Consultation and Transparency: Candidates, evaluators, and mentors must consult
university, college, and department RTP policies to ensure consistency, transparency, and
alignment with institutional standards.

III. RTP Areas of Evaluation

III. 1. Instructional Activities

- Faculty are expected to engage students through innovative pedagogies and interdisciplinary
- 66 methods that align with CSULB's mission of fostering student success and global citizenship.
- 67 Mentoring students, particularly in research, internships, and study abroad opportunities, is a
- 68 vital component of instructional excellence.
- Required Evidence: Refer to Section 2.1 of the CLA RTP Policy for required evidence, including
- 70 teaching narratives, syllabi, course evaluations, and other materials demonstrating instructional
- 71 effectiveness.

72 III.2. Research, Scholarly, and Creative Activities (RSCA)

- 73 Required Evidence: The Department adheres to Section 2.2 of the College RTP Policy, with the
- 74 following additions:

80

81

82

83

84

85

86 87

88

89

90

91 92

93

94

95

96

104

- 75 Candidates at all levels are expected to maintain a continuing program of scholarship or creative
- 76 activity that demonstrates intellectual and professional growth. For candidates for
- 77 reappointment, tenure, and/or promotion, this generally is accomplished through meeting the
- 78 following standards—1 and 2—during the period subject to RTP review:
- 1. A publication record that includes one of the following (a, b, c, and d) or justified equivalencies):
 - a. Sole or co-authorship of two (2) articles in academic journals and/or peer-reviewed chapters in edited books published by academic or other quality presses.
 - b. Sole or co-authorship of one (1) monograph published by an academic or other quality press. This counts as having gone above and beyond the RSCA expectations for the review period.
 - c. Sole or co-editorship of one (1) scholarly edited volume or guest editorship of a peer-reviewed journal published by an academic or other quality press, and at least one (1) peer-reviewed journal article or peer-reviewed chapter in edited volumes published by academic or other quality presses.
 - d. Sole or co-authorship of one (1) peer-reviewed article in academic journals and/or peer-reviewed chapters in edited books published by academic or other quality presses, plus significant work in the scholarship of discovery, the scholarship of integration, the scholarship of engagement, the scholarship of application and practice, and/or the scholarship of teaching and learning.
 - 2. In all the above scenarios (a, b, c, and d) candidates will present a minimum of three juried presentations of research findings or scholarly activities at meetings or conventions of significant professional disciplinary or interdisciplinary organizations (or justified equivalencies).
- 97 Co-Authorship Clarifications
- 98 Candidates must clarify in their narrative the nature and extent of their contribution to co-
- authored work. They must elaborate upon the work undertaken separately from their co-author,
- documenting this work whenever possible. They must also elaborate upon the nature of the
- work undertaken jointly, explaining in the simplest terms the division of labor that characterized
- the co-authorship. The Department RTP Committee will evaluate the contribution of the
- 103 candidate in a co-authored work on a case-by-case basis.

III.3. Service Contributions

105 106 107	engagement. Faculty are expected to participate in shared governance, engage in community outreach, and contribute to professional organizations.
108 109 110	Required Evidence: Refer to Section 2.3 of the CLA RTP Policy for required evidence, including service narratives and documentation of committee work, community engagement, or professional leadership roles.
111	IV. Responsibilities and Procedures
112	IV.1. Department Evaluator Responsibilities
113	Evaluators at the Department level are responsible for the following:
114	Providing Constructive and Transparent Assessments:
115	 Evaluators shall provide thoughtful, constructive, and non-redundant
116	assessments.
116 117	 Evaluations should highlight areas of superior performance and identify deficiencies, ensuring candidates receive clear, actionable expectations for
118	improvement and success in reappointment cases.
119	 Recommendations must be supported by written
120	evaluations. Where applicable, minority reports may be included.
121	evaluations. Where applicable, minority reports may be included.
122	2. Adhering to RTP File Requirements:
123	 Evaluations and recommendations must be based exclusively on the candidate's
124	RTP file.
125	 If additional information is required, it must be shared across all prior levels of
126	review to ensure transparency and adherence to the Collective Bargaining
127	Agreement.
128	
129	3. Meeting Deadlines:
130	 Reviews must adhere to established deadlines. Files delayed at one level of
131	review shall automatically advance to the next stage.
132	
133	4. Interdisciplinary and Multidisciplinary Evaluations:
134	 Evaluators must consider the interdisciplinary nature of faculty work, ensuring
135	appropriate external or interdisciplinary reviews are conducted where necessary.
136	IV.2. Candidate Responsibilities
137 138 139	The candidate is responsible for all aspects of assembling the RTP file and ensuring that it meets the requirements of RTP Policies and Procedures at each level and within the established deadlines. Specific responsibilities include:

o The candidate must ensure the RTP file includes all required evidence as

1. Assembling the RTP File:

140

141

143 o Candidates should clearly organize and reference their evidence to facilitate 144 evaluation. 145 146 2. Requesting Assistance: 147 o It is the candidate's responsibility to request assistance from the Department 148 Chair or designee in interpreting RTP Policies and Procedures as necessary. 149 150 3. Responding to Feedback: 151 Candidates must address evaluation feedback received during the review process 152 and integrate it into the next evaluation cycle, as appropriate. 153 IV.3. Candidate Responses and Rebuttals 154 As stipulated in the Collective Bargaining Agreement (CBA), candidates shall have ten calendar 155 days to respond to and/or rebut a review at any level of evaluation. 156 IV.4. Candidate Withdrawal 157 In cases of early decisions and in cases not involving tenure or reappointment, candidates may withdraw from the 158 RTP process at any time prior to a final decision by providing written notice to all levels of 159 review. 160 IV.5. Department Responsibilities 161 1. Department Chair Responsibilities: 162 In accordance with Section 3.4 of the University RTP Policy, the Department Chair 163 shall provide all faculty—including newly hired faculty upon appointment—with 164 copies of RTP Policies. 165 At least once a year, the Department Chair shall meet with each probationary 166 faculty member and candidate for tenure or promotion to provide mentoring, 167 discuss performance, and assist with the presentation of the RTP file. 164 165 2. Department RTP Committee: 166 a. Constitution of the RTP Committee: 167 The Department's RTP Committee is composed exclusively of tenured faculty members. Members of the Department RTP Committee who participate in promotion decisions 168 must hold a higher rank than the candidate being evaluated. 169 170 o Given the interdisciplinary nature of the Department of Global Studies, the RTP 171 Committee may include members from other departments. The Department Chair, in consultation with the candidate, will construct the RTP Committee to reflect the 172 173 candidate's field of inquiry. The Committee will then be subject to a vote by secret ballot. 174 All tenured and tenure-track members of the department are eligible to vote. If the 175 faculty does not approve the Committee, a new committee will be formed through the

same consultative process, followed by another vote.

- 177 o For joint appointments, the RTP Committee shall adhere to the current CLA (Section 3.2) and University policy.
- b. Department RTP Committee Procedures:
- The RTP Committee shall evaluate the quality of research, scholarly, and creative activities, as outlined in the Evaluation Criteria section of this document.
- The Department Chair, Department RTP Committee, or candidate may request an external review. In such cases, the RTP Committee shall follow the current Academic Senate policy for External Evaluation of Research, Scholarly, and Creative Activities.

185 V. Amendments

- Proposal and Ratification: Amendments to this document may be proposed by any Department
- 187 faculty member. Ratification requires a majority vote of tenured and probationary faculty, along
- with approval by the CLA Faculty Council, Dean, and Provost.
- 189 Effective Date: Amendments take effect in the academic year following ratification.



CALIFORNIA STATE UNIVERSITY, LONG BEACH

OFFICE OF FACULTY AFFAIRS

Department RTP Policy Document Approval

Effective Date: Fall 2025 Department of Global Studies Approved by the College Faculty Faculty Council Chair Council (Enter date below): Name & Signature: Date: Christopher Karadjov March 28, 2025 August 14, 2025 Approved by the College Dean College Dean (Enter date below): Name & Signature: Date Daniel O'Connor 08/15/2025 08/15/2025 Associate Vice President, Faculty Affairs Final Review by Faculty Affairs (Enter date below): Name & Signature: Date: 8/21/25 Patricia Perez 08/01/2025

08/27/25

Date:

Provost Signature: