Tree Team

Oscar Valdez Jackie Bui Brendan Schultheis

-RR River Ridge Ranch & Institute



Objective: Develop a *remote* model for measuring tree carbon on ranchlands Drone image for RRR





Create an individual tree map using image processing software



Produce a tree canopy and height map from imagery



Merge field and drone data to mode



Measure DBH, Height and Canopy in the field with GPS

Species specific equations for tree biomass

John F. Karlik^a, David C. Chojnacky^{b,*}

^a University of California Cooperative Extension, 1031 S. Mt. Vernon, Bakersfield, CA 93307, USA

^b Virginia Tech, Forest Resources and Environmental Conservation Department, 144 Rees Place, Falls Church, VA 22046, USA



Relationship between DBH and Biomass for Blue Oak trees (derived from harvesting of 14 blue oaks in southern Sierra Nevada Traditional way to determine biomass of a tree (Carbon is about 48.5% of biomass for oaks)

Use measurements of tree height and DBH to calculate biomass using allometric equations

Diameter at breast height

(DBH)





Poletimber tree

(5.0-8.9 inches dbh

Sawtimber tree

 $(\geq 9.0 \text{ inches dbh})$

Allometric Equations based on decades of research in forestry





We can estimate canopy dimensions from drone imagery Measure tree canopy and height from tree map (drone data)



Research Question: What dimensions are best to use by species?



Took measurements of:

- Tree height
- Canopy perimeter.
- Canopy area
- Canopy area * Height

Buckeye

Tree Team Objectives

- Task 1: Drone derived tree canopy and height measurements for RRR
 - Canopy map produced by graduate student, Kenya Creer
 - Digital elevation model produced by Scott Winslow
- Task 2: Tree classification and canopy map
 - Imagery produced by Scott Winslow
- Task 3: Field-based data on tree height, DBH and canopy dimensions
 - Derive species based equations linking tree height and canopy to DBH
 - Use existing allometric equations linking DBH to biomass/carbon
 - Merge field and drone data to test accuracy of carbon model

Task 1

Using Drone Imagery and Geospatial Information Systems to determine the above ground biomass of trees on the ranch*

Why?

Simplified, Biomass = carbon sequestration

*Using a global equation

Why Use GIS?

- Labor
- Time
- Terrain
- Weather
- Wildlife







In order to calculate how much carbon is stored above ground on the ranch,

we began with a global formula.

EXP(-1.3304 + 1.44*LN(CA*HEIGHT)) = AGB



What do we have to work with?

- A Data set with thousands of tree polygons*
- Not much data attached to them

FID	Shape	Class_name
0	Polygon	Trees
1	Polygon	Trees
2	Polygon	Trees
3	Polygon	Trees
4	Polygon	Trees

Mapped Trees on RRR



Developed by graduate student, Kenya Creer

Acquiring Data

Drone flies assigned path

And takes

Pictures as it flies.

We're talking a lot

Of pictures.





Calculating 3D data with Photogrammetry



Output: DSM and DTM



Output: DSM and DTM



Digital Surface Model



Heights found above ground









Finding Area

Used a GIS tool to calculate the geometry of the polygons and it gave us the areas values for each tree polygon

					Now we have all these values			
		FID	Area	AREA	MIN	мах	MEDIAN	РСТ99
		0	9.957477	9.89	0	0.099731	0.035034	0.094922
	100	1	93.018076	93.04	0	1.710999	0.448425	1.516399
		2	56.58894	56.58	0	1.586304	0.725098	1.502468
		3	53.990752	53.98	0	3.077332	0.063446	1.175629

Grand Total

8308337 Kilograms*

Based on global formula

Problems

-Over segmentation

(Software assigns 2 polygons to one tree)





Problems

Species specificity



*Global formula is based on all hardwood trees



Buckeye

Blue Oak

Questions?

Task 2: Tree classification and canopy map

-We need a map of all the trees by species and the canopy area of each tree

-There are three ways we can do this:

- 1. We can go out and walk the canopy for every tree on the ranch
- We can manually draw polygon for every tree on the ranch using our UAV imagery.
- 3. We can use automated Machine Learning methods to classify trees and their canopy map (I choose this one)

Mask RCNN - Convolutional Neural Network





Training Samples (What is a Tree?)









RRR High Resolution





Preliminary Results



Limitations

- Not all trees are mapped
- Some False Positives
- My Knowledge (different parameters better outcomes?)

Positives



- Mask RCNN is detecting tree species and canopy with accuracy (Fewer over segmented trees)
- Going forward what can we do to improve the model so it can detect even more trees?

Questions?

Task 3: Field-based data on tree height, DBH and canopy dimensions

- Collected DBH, height, canopy perimeter and canopy area for roughly 260 trees
- Calculated the actual AGB with species-specific allometric equations
- Ran regression models to determine the best way to estimate AGB (without having to collect field data)

Regression: Canopy dimensions to AGB

	Actual AGB	AGB Estimate 1 (CA*H>AGB)	AGB Estimate 2 (CP>AGB)	AGB Estimate 3 (DBH>AGB)	
	270168.2	225951.0	220849.8	20	66551.7
Margin of					
Error		16.4%	18.3%		1.3%

Canopy Perimeter \rightarrow AGB for Blue Oak



Regression: Canopy Dimensions to DBH

	Actual AGB	AGB Estimate 4 (CA*H>DBH>AGB)	AGB Estimate 5 (CP>DBH>AGB)	AGB Estimate 6 (combination of 4 & 5)
	270168.2	224855.6	236786.7	241224.5
Margin of Error		16.8%	12.4%	10.7%

Canopy perimeter \rightarrow DBH for all trees



DBH Regressions



 $CA^*H \rightarrow DBH$ for Aesculus californica



CA*H \rightarrow DBH for Quercus agrifolia



Species-Specific Model Drone Based Estimates of AGB



AGB 3 (CP-->AGB) AGB 2 (combination) AGB 1 (CP-->DBH-->AGB) Actual AGB

- 90 of the remotely sensed polygons overlapped with our tree polygons collected in the field
- Calculated the AGB of the subset using the field data and compared it to the RS data
 - \circ I made two datasets: one where I fixed for oversegmentation, and one with the raw RS data
- I used species-specific formulas I generated relating Canopy Perimeter and Canopy Area multiplied by Height to DBH
- Plugged in the predicted DBH values to allometric equations

Conclusion

We're on the right track! Our AGB model with the drone data subset only underestimates by roughly 10%. If we can generate better polygons, then perhaps we can get an even better estimate.

We find that it is important to develop species-specific equations if you want accurate results for a localized region.

Future work should aim to improve the algorithm so that it can map all trees at the species level while eliminating the over segmentation issue.

We'd also like to test our model on other local ranches, and see how slight geographic differences may affect it