
FACULTY COUNCIL

Agenda

November 2, 2018

11:00-12:30 pm in ET-235

In Attendance: Robert Schug (CCJEM), Sandhya Shimoga (HCA), Fiona Gorman (HSC), Melissa Bittner (KIN), Cathy Deckers (NRSG), Vennila Krishnan (PT), Laurel Richmond (RLS), Ed Garcia (SLP), Jennifer Ostergren (CHHS), Ryan Phong (Student Representative)

Absent: Ed Martin (PPA), Keith Fulthorp (RLS), Jo Brocato (SW), Nancy Meyer-Adams (CHHS), Monica Lounsbury (CHHS)

Guests: Kelli Gill (Athletics), Jeffrey Scott (Athletics)

I. The meeting was called to order at 11:03 pm

II. Approval of the agenda

The agenda was approved (Passes: Unanimous)

III. Approval of the minutes from October 5, 2018

The minutes from the Faculty Council Meeting on October 5, 2018 were approved (Passes: Unanimous)

IV. Reports

a. Notes from the Chairs' Meeting (Vennila)

Ali Puz is the new part-time communications staff person. There was a discussion about the budget and the new tracking system for the Chairs/Directors.

Beach 2030 November 14-15, 2018. Please register [Beach 2030 sign up](#)

LAB-117A 9:00-5:00pm

ET-235 TBD

SSPA-006 TBD

V. New Business

a. Convene a panel for the Dean's review

The policy for the Dean's review was distributed. The Faculty Council is responsible for electing CHHS faculty members and one staff representative. The review needs to be completed by the end of this academic year, so the committee will be formed this semester. There was no discussion about next steps.

- b. Review survey/questionnaire on Qualtrics: how are we implementing CHHS Core Values in our departments/schools, classes, administrative process?

The Faculty Council reviewed the draft questionnaire on Core Values. It was suggested to limit open ended questions and instead ask multiple choice questions in order to receive more quality responses that can be analyzed. It was also suggested that the survey be completed at the department/school level, rather than the individual level. A firm plan for revising the questionnaire was not made.

- c. RTP language changes need to align with college and university. Will be submitted to the first FC meeting in Spring 2019.

The new policy on SPOT evaluations was distributed to Faculty Council members. Because of the changes in SPOT, our department RTP policies need to be revised to fit with the new policy. There was a question about evaluating courses that are a lecture and lab combined and taught by the same instructor, and whether these need to be evaluated separately or as one. Dr. Ostergren noted that she will investigate any exceptions to the SPOT evaluation policy.

The Chair of the College RTP Committee, Dr. Christian Molidor, along with the rest of the RTP committee, drafted a recommendation document on how each department/school can improve their RTP policies. This feedback is based directly on their experiences evaluating candidates last academic year. The Dean has reviewed and approved the document, and it can now be sent to the departments for review and implementation. All changes to RTP policies need to be completed this academic year so as to be implemented for next years' RTP review process. It was noted that some departments are currently in the process of revising their RTP language, and so would like to see the recommendations as soon as possible.

- d. Kelli Gil to attend and brainstorm ideas about engaging students to come to athletic events. (Time Certain 12:00 pm)

There was a discussion about how to bring students to athletic events. Kelli asked if the faculty had any ideas on how to motivate students. Some suggestions included extra credit, true/false questions on tests, tailgating events or other pre-game activities, social media, promotional items such as free food, picking early games in the semester, bring a friend promotions, and pushing social media participation.

VI. Old Business

- a. Lauda Lecture Discussion: theme, goals, potential speakers, target audience, and location. The budget is \$3,000.

The Dean has asked the Faculty Council to help determine a topic for this academic year's Lauda Lecture. Previous topics include fitness, recreation and leisure, exercise as medicine, and aging society. The topic should be about physical, mental, and/or spiritual health. The audience for the lecture is the entire CSULB community, including faculty, staff, students, and community members. The funds are for the venue, refreshments, advertising, and guest speakers. Some suggested topics included social disconnect, anxiety, and mental health. Differences between student and faculty mental health were discussed at length. On this topic, the panel could include both faculty and students, and would discuss the stressors on each side, and focus on understanding similarities and differences. Finding a guest speaker who does research in this area was suggested, as well as using student health services' data on faculty and student mental health.

VII. "Good of the Cause"

Ryan Phong reported on ASI news. The students are currently working on a survey regarding internships. It is a competition between the colleges to see who can get the most student responses, so they will need faculty's help in spreading the word. It was suggested ASI encourage students to log in and use their campus student email so that response rates will be higher. For the college that receives the most responses, ASI will fund a mixer for the students.

The November Meet the Department Event is this afternoon starting at 3:30pm in the BAC. We will be meeting the School of Criminology, Criminal Justice, and Emergency Management.

VIII. The meeting was adjourned at 12:08 pm