

EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE MEETING
Minutes

Tuesday, May 02, 2:00 – 4:00 pm
Academic Senate Conference Room (AS 125)

Or on Zoom: <https://csulb.zoom.us/j/87997222094> (Meeting ID: 879 9722 2094)

P. Hung, N. Schürer, R. Fischer, M. Aliasgari, A. Colburn, N. Meyer-Adams, A. Nayak, E. Klink, D. Hamm, A. Russo, J. Cormack, A. Kinsey

Additional Guests: D. Paskin

Absent: K. Janousek, P. Soni, J. Yewhalashet, J. Hamilton, S. Apel, K. Scissum Gunn

1. Call to Order – 2:00pm
2. Approval of Agenda – Moved by NS, seconded and approved.
3. Approval of Minutes: Meeting of April 25, 2023 – Moved by NS, seconded and approved.
4. Special Orders
 - 4.1. Report: Provost Scissum Gunn
 - J. Cormack presents in KSG’s absence. JC reports on searches: CED – search is complete; COTA – final candidate was on camps yesterday; UL – interviews this week and into next week; AVPFA – being finalized. RTP activity: 72 tenure and promotion files received, over 40 retention files, and most of the files are in the process of being reviewed by KSG. Graduation ceremonies update – May 11-21 stages will be set up for grads and their families; cultural ceremony attendance encouraged; volunteers still being solicited. “Amy Paulsen is amazing!!!” – from MA and JC.
 - QUESTIONS: MA comments about trash (e.g. confetti) after some of the events. Asks whether or not such things are allowed. JC says she’ll ask the sustainability group, understands the environmental concern. NS asks JC about status of President’s Awards for Outstanding Faculty Achievement. He had asked Chris Fowler about this previously. JC says she’ll follow up – says the awards will be announced later this week or next week.
5. New Business
 - 5.1. [Time Certain 2:10 pm] CEPC Chair Report
 - PFH introduces Danny Paskin and thanks him and CEPC for the hard work and his leadership.
 - DP shares some highlights of his report. 22 curricular item reviews. Not as many policy reviews this year. Pretty much up to speed on the material that

has been referred to them by EC. Last week received two new policies, and they will begin work on them in the Fall. CEPC is looking forward to applying the changes to their Charge that was approved this year by Senate.

- QUESTIONS: NS comment and question - There is more and more discussion about the policies coming out the councils, and there are rumors that some colleges are not being consulted, thus leading to more questions and amendments once the policies come to Senate. Is there anything we can do about that? DP responds that council members are encouraged to bring the policies back to their colleges for feedback. They also reach out to departments/colleges that might be directly impacted. Unless there is a change to how we review these policies once they hit the Senate floor (e.g. ad seriatim approach), not sure what can be done differently.
 - NS asks how people on the council feel when they have put in a lot of effort and hard work on a policy and then the policy is significantly amended or scrutinized in Senate. DP says that he has not received much feedback about this, either good or bad. DP understands that these are two very different bodies with smart people and strong voices that sometimes raise issues and points that were not even considered by the other group.
 - MA asks about involvement of members on councils and committees, specifically when people don't show up and participate on a regular basis. Asks specifically if DP has seen this on CEPC. What are the trends? DP says most people show up for most meetings, and when they don't show up, they send explanatory emails to DP. There has not been a consistent issue of absences. Participation at the meeting has also been pretty good.
 - PFH and DP thank Ann Kinsey for her help with communication.
- 5.2. [Time Certain 2:30 pm] FPPC Chair Report
- AC reports – FPPC passed the RTP policy in the Fall. In the Spring, spent some meetings discussing potential revisions to SPOT forms and the process. Also worked on the Student Grievance Policy, and collecting materials regarding administrator reviews. The organizational meeting for next year will be this Friday – AC is not sure who will be the chair, vice chair, or secretary.
 - QUESTIONS: MA and PFH thank AC and FPPC for their hard work. MA asks about SPOT – Policy 17-05 says that every five years SPOT should be evaluated. When was it last evaluated? AC says it has probably been longer than five years, and that is why they looked at it, but nothing will probably happen until ATS gets everything worked out. AC would like to see one standard SPOT item/question, but would then like to see college differences or allow faculty members to have discretion about the items they would like to see. This would help the faculty member in a formative manner when they prepare RTP write-ups. RF asks if other universities use that approach. AC says yes, and our current items come from the Univ. of Iowa's Assessing the Classroom Environment (ACE).

- NS asks similar question to the one posed to DP and CEPC about attendance and participation. AC says there is representation from all colleges, and therefore they should be able to report back to individual colleges about policy changes. NS follows up – Is it fair to say that some individuals who are claiming policies are moving too fast are [misinformed]? AC says, that as Chair, he made every possible effort to share information with everyone he could. DH follows NS question and notes that it may be a lack of communication within individual colleges that lead to lack of information dissemination. AC says he thinks that may be the case.
- PFH asks the question about how FPPC members feel when all of their hard work on policies results in the senate taking a lot of time and making a lot of changes and amendments. AC says that sometimes FPPC will keep language simple with the thought, “let Senate work it out.”
- MA notes that FPPC is the only council that requires members to be full professors. Asks if we should consider revising that requirement. AC believes the council would be fine allowing associate professors, but not assistant professors (for their own protection and due to their lack of institutional knowledge). Also, it should be someone who has been here a little while so that they know people within their college, and also know about what is going on in their college.

5.3. AY 23-24 Academic Senate Meeting Schedule

- PFH and AK share two potential calendars. Differences are about the first meeting date (Aug 17 or 24 for new senator orientation – support expressed for Aug. 24). Other question about Oct. 5 or 12. And Nov. 16 or 30th (Support for Nov. 30th). Question about retreat date (Oct. 19th or 26th - will depend on venue availability).

5.4. Committee on Athletics proposal for meeting times

- PFH received a request from them to change to six meetings, instead of eight meetings. **EC supports allowing them to reduce their calendar to six meetings.**

5.5. Updates re: Community Engagement Committee

- PFH shares some updates – stemming from filming incident (in bathrooms and showers) on campus. PFH asked Chief Brockie why information hadn't been shared earlier. The answer was because there was an ongoing investigation. An arrest was made, and all photos and videos were confiscated, and nothing was posted or released.

6. Old Business

6.1. Cozen/Title IX Implementation Team

- PFH says there will be another meeting of the senate chairs to discuss this, because it is confusing and the information has not been clear. There were issues with the April meeting re: space for an in person meeting.

7. Announcements and Information

7.1. GE Informational Seminar, May 2, 2023 3:00 pm. Register

at: https://calstate.zoom.us/webinar/register/WN_bCeiv9JhTv-88b1pDXr7rQ

- JC shares with PFH that everything so far is just a review. They spent most of the time just explaining what GE is (reported in at 3:55pm).

8. Reminders

8.1. Next Academic Senate Meeting: 5/04/2023, 2-4 pm

- PFH presents the “game plan” of moving forward with the RTP policy if passed. If the policy is passed on 5-4-23 we can host a public hearing early Fall ‘23, then secret ballot to all faculty Fall ‘23. If the policy is NOT finished, then need to make a “motion to substitute” and then continue with the policy.

8.2. COTA Dean Finalist Interview D: 5/02/2023, 11:15 am-12:00 pm, AS-125

8.3. Lib Dean Finalist A – Wednesday, May 3 @ 11:00-11:45am in BH-316 or BH-302

8.4. Finalist B – Thursday, May 4 @ 11:00-11:45am in BH-316 or BH-302

8.5. Finalist C – Wednesday, May 10 @ 11:00-11:45am in BH-316 or BH-302

8.6. Finalist D – Thursday, May 11 @ 11:00-11:45 in BH-316 or BH-302

- EC changes questions to better reflect the library versus a college.

9. Adjournment – 4:00pm