

## University Resources Council approved Minutes – Sep 20, 2022 (1<sup>st</sup> meeting)

<https://csulb.zoom.us/j/86774152015>

Meeting ID: 867 7415 2015

### Members in Attendance (18)

Alejandra Romo; Maga-Jui, Marape; Abby Bradecich; Yoojin Lee; Pamela Xandre; Dave Whitney; Will Murray; Hema Ramachandra; Cyndi Farrington.

ASM: Carrie Hernandez; Terie Bostic; Chanel Acker

Marianne Hata; Noah Kelly; Kara Perkins

Praveen Soni (Chair), Heather Barker (Vice Chair), Pitiporn Asvapathanagul (Secretary)

### Guests in Attendance (4)

Simon Kim; Jade Sche; Colleen Dunagan; Dean Anne D’Zmura of the COTA

1:02PM	<b>Meeting Called to Order</b>	<b>Praveen</b>
1:03PM	<b>1. Approval of the agenda – Meeting of September 20, 2022</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The meeting agenda was moved/seconded and approved with an amendment of adding “1<sup>st</sup> reading” under the 6<sup>th</sup> item</li> </ul>	<b>(First) Heather (Second) Cyndi</b>  <b>Approved</b>
1:05PM	<b>2. Approval of the minutes – Meeting of May 3, 2022</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The minutes of May 3, 2022 was moved/seconded and approved without changes.</li> </ul>	<b>(First) Heather (Second) Pitiporn</b>  <b>Approved</b>
1:06PM	<b>3. Introductions, all around</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• All attended URC members provided a brief introduction.</li> </ul>	<b>All attended members</b>
1:16PM	<b>4. Proposal: Policy on Export Controls by AVP Simon Kim – First Reading Waiver around 1:15 pm (One document attached)</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• CSULB was under the audit process and the audition report indicated the protocol of the Export Control was missing.</li> <li>• Jason Wang initially developed the Policy and Jade Sche has refined the draft of the policy.</li> <li>• Three main export regulations:                             <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ the International Traffic in Arms Regulations (ITAR) administered and enforced by the Department of State’s Directorate of Defense Trade Controls,</li> <li>○ the Export Administration Regulations (EAR) administered and enforced by the Department of Commerce’s Bureau of Industry and Security, and</li> <li>○ economic and trade sanctions commonly known as OFAC regulations administered and enforced by the Department of Treasury’s Office of Foreign Assets Control.</li> </ul> </li> <li>• Export, Deemed Export, Foreign National, Export Controls and Restricted Items Definitions are clearly elaborate in the</li> </ul>	<b>AVP Simon Kim and Jade Sche</b>

	<p>policies. Two emphasized criteria are Deem Export and Foreign National.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• This policy applies to all CSULB students, employees and 3<sup>rd</sup> party involving CSULB activities.</li> <li>• Fundamental Research, Educational Information and Public Domain are excluded.</li> <li>• CITI Training can help employees to be trained with the Export Control policy and once was available under SSO.</li> <li>• Travel with the laptop with control information must be complaint with this policy too.</li> <li>• Dr. Kim: Committee mainly consists of COE and CNSM personnel.</li> <li>• Kara: This policy also covers the conflicts or concerns in the past (Pitiporn’s question).</li> <li>• Heather moved to waive the first reading and Pitiporn seconded.</li> <li>• Dave moved to approve the #1 and Heather seconded and all approved.</li> <li>• Heather noted more regulations and policies would needs more resources such as new staff.</li> </ul>	
<p><b>1:48PM</b></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Presentation on the Office of Research and Sponsored Programs and Research funding (RSCA, Mini Grants, Summer Stipends etc.)</li> <li>• Number of proposals submitted during 2021-2022 was 280, which was lower compared to AY 2020-2021 of 301. However, the number of 280 was at the annual average of CSULB submission.</li> <li>• The AY 2021-2022 submitted proposal requested ~\$104M, which was lower than \$128.6 M requested during AY 2020-2021.</li> <li>• 209 PIs involved in proposal submission during 2021-2022 and it was comparable to the years prior.</li> <li>• Among 280 proposals submitted last year, 186 were funded and had 119 participating, with a total amount of ~\$66M.</li> <li>• AY 2021-2022 funded of ~\$66M was substantively higher than prior years, which \$29.5M research spending and \$4.5M of F&amp;A.</li> <li>• Will: What contributed to a significant large funded of \$66M during AY 2021-2022. Dr. Kim <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Dr. Kim responded because of a combination of new grants accepted.</li> </ul> </li> <li>• Internal grants and awards during AY 2021-2022, \$150k for FRA-EDI, \$8.8k for open-access publishing award, \$188k for summer student research asst., \$89k for ORED Internal/Multidisciplinary grants and \$188k for mini grant and summer stipends.</li> </ul>	<p><b>AVP Simon Kim</b></p>

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Additional of \$1.7M was allocated for RSCA (faculty small grant and assigned time).</li> <li>• U.S. News and World report-College Ranking: CSULB is #137 in National University out of 443; #3 in top performers of Social Mobility; #64 in Top Public School.</li> <li>• Heather: Were all R2 schools included? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Dr. Kim responded because of a combination of new grants accepted.</li> </ul> </li> <li>• Pitiporn: What criteria were used to come up with this ranking? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ The ranking criteria are available on the US News website (Dr. Kim).</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	
<b>2:05PM</b>	<p>5. Proposal (<b>First Reading</b>): Elevation of the current Bachelor of Arts in Art, Option in Art Education degree to the standalone, state-supported Bachelor of Arts in Art Education</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Heather moved and Yoojin second for the item #6 review.</li> <li>• COTA proposes to elevate the current BA with Option in Art Education degree to a new standalone BA in Art Education due to restrictions of EO1071. (Asso. Dean Dunagan)</li> <li>• The enrollment in a semester of BA with Option in Art from 2018-2022 are 78-89 students per year. (Asso. Dean Dunagan)</li> <li>• The degree requires two new courses in Art history and an EDI course. (Asso. Dean Dunagan)</li> <li>• Prof. Laurie Gatlin will present the proposal during the next meeting.</li> </ul>	<b>Asso. Dean Colleen Dunagan and Dean Anne D’Zmura of the COTA</b>
	<p>6. Budget Update on State funding to the CSU and to the CSULB for the 2022-2023 year</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Tabled for the next meeting</li> </ul>	<b>Kara Perkins, AVP for Budget Administration</b>
	<p>7. CSU Board of Trustees budget request for 2023-2024 to the Governor</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Tabled for the next meeting</li> </ul>	<b>AVP Kara Perkins, AVP for Budget Administration</b>
<b>2.14PM</b>	<p>8. Discussion: Meeting mode for URC meetings for 2022 – 2023, Time Certain 2:45 pm</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The Academic Senate requested URC members to determine the meeting platform that will be used during Fall 2022 and Spring 2023.</li> <li>• 13 of the URC members voted for virtual URC meeting due to accessibility and time save (Abby; Pamela; Maga-Jui; Chanel; Noah; Dave; Hema; Alejandra; Yoojin; Cyndi; Carrie; Praveen; Pitiporn)</li> <li>• Marianne and Will preferred both meeting platforms.</li> <li>• Heather requested for inputs from Praveen to compare advantages and limitations of zoom URC meeting. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Praveen: Zoom gives better attendance, but lack of personal interaction.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	<b>Praveen</b>

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Pamela suggested having one face to face meeting to have face to face interaction with other URC members.</li> <li>• Will requested for activating chat function on URC meeting zoom link.</li> <li>• Chair (Praveen) will convey one URC meeting in the future to allow personal interaction among URC members.</li> </ul>	
<b>2:24pm</b>	<b>9. Adjourn</b>	