

ACADEMIC SENATE OF CALIFORNIA STATE UNIVERSITY, LONG BEACH

Graduate Studies Advisory Committee

Annual Report AY 2021-22

Prepared by: Dina Perrone, Chair

Membership

Elected Members: Vesna Terzic (COE); Suzanne Wechsler/ (CLA); Virginia Gray (CHHS); Kevin Sinchak (CNSM); Ying Liu (COB); Jyotsna Pattnaik/Erin Biolchino (CED); Colleen Dunagan (COTA)

Student Representatives chosen by ASI: Shelbi Felter (ASI); Connie Ho (ASI)

Staff Members designated by Dean of Graduate Studies: Mary Anne Rose (CED) and Rhianna Williams (COB)

Academic Senate Designee: Pei-Fang Hung (AS Vice Chair)

Associate Deans or College Designees: Laura Portnoi (CED); Sarah Schrank (CLA); Tiffanye Vargas (CHHS); Babette Benken (CNSM); Rod Smith (COB); Feike Leij (COE); Johannes Stosch (COTA);

Academic Affairs Representatives: Bryan Rodriguez (Director GSRC); Jody Cormack (Vice Provost); Dina Perrone (Interim Director of Graduate Studies); Jacqueline Canales (Enrollment Services); Eugenia Kim (CIE); Mahmoud Albawaneh/Gary Coyne/Anthony Tchen (IR&A)

Officers: Dina Perrone, Chair

Introduction

The Graduate Studies Advisory Committee that met the third Thursday of every month starting on September 16, 2021 through April 21, 2022.

In AY 21-22, the GSAC committee:

- 1. Drafted the new Policy on Master's Degrees
- 2. Crafted Guidelines for Double-Numbered Courses
- 3. Requested colleges to elect alternates

Based on these actions, recommendations for the AY 22-23 GSAC to facilitate GSAC's processes, foster the success of graduate students, and improve the quality of graduate programs are provided.

The Policy on Master's Degrees

- 1. The committee sought to improve progress to degree, reduce requests for exceptions, enhance policy clarity, and advance quality of graduate programs by drafting an updated Policy on Master's Degrees.
- 2. The draft combines PS 14-01 Requirements for Master's Degrees, 18-03 Policy on Graduate Studies 700 (GS700), 12-12 Graduation Writing Assessment Requirement (GWAR) Policy.
- 3. GSAC primarily acted as a committee of the whole to combine, review, and edit the current policy on master's degrees into a new policy.
- 4. Subcommittees were only created to tidy the language in the new policy.
- 5. GSAC members sought feedback from programs in their colleges to ensure that other graduate programs supported the changes, deletions, and additions to the policy.
- 6. The final draft was recommended and shared with Dr. Jody Cormack to forward to CEPC on the committee's behalf.

Recommendations for the AY 2022-23 GSAC

On Process

- 1. The charge of this committee did not include a historian, yet one is needed.
- 2. At the first meeting of the semester,
 - a. Request a volunteer to act as historian, who may assist the chair, take minutes, and edit working drafts.
 - b. Create a GSAC Graduate Student Appeals Subcommittee to review appeal cases.
- 3. Ensure each college has elected an alternate
- 4. Draft an appeal protocol/procedures for use by the current and future GSAC Graduate Student Appeals Subcommittee

On Substance

- 1. Consider:
 - a. What is the meaning of a graduate degree?
 - b. What does it mean to have a graduate degree from CSULB?
- 2. Engage with graduate student data from IR&A and the graduate student entrance, exit, and alumni surveys to improve graduate programs and the graduate student experience.
- 3. Create a policy on doctoral degrees
- 4. Discuss the future of writing requirements at the graduate level
- 5. Debate requiring new admits to accept/deny offers of admissions
- 6. Discuss ways to best support graduate students
- 7. Brainstorm on recruitment and retention efforts