California State University, Long Beach	Policy Statement
	10-10
	May 19, 2010
Policy for External Evaluation of Research, Scholar	ly, and Creative Activities
(This Policy Statement supersedes 86-0	(77)
This revised policy was recommended by the Academic Se and approved by the President on May 7, 2	-
In the event of a conflict between any provisions of this policy and the appli ollective bargaining agreement shall govern.	icable collective bargaining agreement, the
.0 GUIDING PRINCIPLES	
1.1 The University Reappointment, Tenure, and Promotion (RTP) Policy (09-1 requested early tenure and/or early promotion to have their research, schol externally.	
1.2 According to the Collective Bargaining Agreement: the candidate for tend external evaluation. Additionally, under special circumstances a request for any level of review by any party to the review. The request must be approve candidate.	or external evaluation may be initiated at
.0 CRITERIA FOR EXTERNAL EVALUATORS	
2.1 External evaluators shall be scholars/practitioners who have recognized ex scholarship, and/or creative activities.	xpertise in the candidate's area of research,
2.2 External evaluators should not have a close association (personal or profe teachers, mentors, project collaborators, dissertation advisor, or post-doctors)	
2.3 CSULB faculty members may not serve as external evaluators in this proc	Cess.
3.0 MATERIALS FOR EXTERNAL EVALUATION	
3.1 The candidate shall submit the entire research, scholarly, and/or creative Faculty Affairs which shall forward the file to each external evaluator.	work section of the RTP file to the Office of
4.0 EXTERNAL EVALUATION REPORT	
4.1 Each external evaluator shall be asked to provide a report on the quality a submitted materials to the discipline or profession. The external evaluator is the candidate's RTP action under consideration.	-
4.2 The AVP for Faculty Affairs will inform the external evaluators that their rep file and a copy provided to the candidate.	ports will be included in the candidate's RTP

4.3 Reports from external evaluators shall be treated as additional evidence and considered along with all other evidence in the file by each level of review.
 54

5.0 EXTERNAL EVALUATION PROCESS

- 5.1 Normally, the external evaluation process will be initiated by the candidate, the department RTP committee, and/or the department chair.
- 5.2 Normally, the external evaluation process will be initiated in the spring semester prior to the fall semester when the RTP file is due.
- 5.3 Normally, the deadline for the external evaluator to submit a report should be no later than the deadline for the candidate to submit the RTP file to the department RTP committee.
- 5.4 The candidate shall nominate three (3) professionals in the field, identifying their relationship or connection if
 appropriate. The Department RTP Committee in consultation with the Department Chair shall also nominate three (3)
 professionals in the field.
- 5.5 The lists of names will be provided to the AVP for Faculty Affairs who will contact potential external evaluators, making
 a reasonable effort to secure one or more external evaluators from each list. If necessary, the AVP will ask for
 additional names.
- 5.6 There should be a minimum of two (2) and a maximum of four (4) external evaluators per candidate review.
- 5.7 The AVP for Faculty Affairs will be the primary contact with external evaluators, including forwarding the candidate
 materials for evaluation and setting the timeline for completion of the report.

78 79

55

56 57

58

59 60

61

62

80

81 EFFECTIVE: Fall 2010