CECS 419-519, Writing Assignment 7, Due 8:00 am, March 29th, 2024, Dr. Ebert

Directions

Make sure name is on all pages. Order pages (front and back) so that solutions are presented in their original numerical order. Please no staples or folding of corners (your papers won't get lost). A paper clip is OK Show all necessary work and substantiate all claims. Avoid plagiarism.

Problems

1. Consider the following method for constructing a binary encoding of a probability distribution $p_1 \ge p_2 \ge \cdots \ge p_n$. Define q_i by $q_1 = 0$ and, for $i \ge 2$,

$$q_i = p_1 + \dots + p_{i-1}.$$

Let $m_i = \lceil \log(\frac{1}{p_i}) \rceil$. Finally, let codeword c_i be the binary expansion of q_i truncated at the first m_i bits to the right of the binary point. For example, if $q_i = 37/64$ and $p_i = 1/20$, then $m_i = \lceil \log(20) \rceil = 5$ and c_i is the first 5 bits of q_i , that is $c_i = 10010$, since $q_i = 100101$.

(a) Apply the algorithm to the probability distribution $P = \{0.3, 0.3, 0.15, 0.10, 0.10, 0.05\}$. (10 pts)

Solution. The following table gives the desired code.

i	q_i	p_i	m_i	c_i
1	0	0.3	2	00
2	0.3	0.3	2	01
3	0.6	0.15	3	100
4	0.75	0.1	4	1100
5	0.85	0.1	4	1101
6	0.95	0.05	5	11110

(b) Prove that the code obtained is a prefix code. (10 pts)

Solution. Let $i \in \{1, ..., n\}$ be an arbitraray codeword index and c_i denote the *i*th codeword. It suffices to show that c_i is not a prefix of any codeword c_j for which j > i. This is obvious if i = n, so suppose i < n. Now let c_j be the *j*th constructed codeword, where j > i. Let $r = |c_i|$ denote the length of c_i .

Claim: for every codeword c_j , j > i, the first *r*-bits of c_j (when viewed as a binary number) give a value that exceeds c_i (when also viewed as a binary number).

Proof of Claim. If $|c_j| = r = |c_i|$, then the claim is certainly true, since

$$q_j \ge q_i + p_{j-1} > q_i,$$

and, since $\lceil \log \frac{1}{p_{j-1}} \rceil = r$ which implies $p_{j-1} \ge \frac{1}{2^r}$, adding p_{j-1} to q_i makes the r bits of c_j greater than the r bits of c_i .

Now suppose $|c_j| = s > r = |c_i|$. It suffices to assume that c_j is the first codeword whose length exceeds r since, if we can show that its first r bits has a value that exceeds that of c_i , then the first r bits of all subsequent codewords will also have a value that exceeds that of c_i (once the first r bits reaches a value, the value of the first r bits of subsequent codewords cannot decrease since the q values are increasing and the codeword lengths are nondecreasing). So suppose $|c_{j-1}| = r < s = |c_j|$. Then $\lceil \log \frac{1}{p_{j-1}} \rceil = r$ which means that $p_{j-1} \ge \frac{1}{2^r}$. But $q_j = q_{j-1} + p_{j-1}$ and so q_j has a value in the first r bits that exceeds that of the first r bits of c_{j-1} and hence also that of the first r bits of c_i .

To finish the proof, we see that c_i cannot be a prefix of any c_j , j > i, since it would have to agree with c_j in the first r bits, but cannot since c_j exceeds c_i when both are viewed as r-bit numbers (by taking the first r bits of c_j).

(c) Prove that the code obtained has an average codeword length A that satisfies

$$H_2(p_1, \ldots, p_n) \le A \le H_2(p_1, \ldots, p_n) + 1.$$

(10 pts)

Solution. The lower bound for A is due to Shannon's Noisless Coding theorem. For the upper bound, We have that the average length equals

$$A = \sum_{i=1}^{n} p_i \lceil \log \frac{1}{p_i} \rceil \le \sum_{i=1}^{n} p_i (\log \frac{1}{p_i} + \varepsilon_i) \le \sum_{i=1}^{n} p_i (\log \frac{1}{p_i} + 1) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (p_i \log \frac{1}{p_i} + p_i) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} p_i \log \frac{1}{p_i} + \sum_{i=1}^{n} p_i = H(p_1, \dots, p_n) + 1. \quad \Box$$

- 2. Recall the Max Cut decision problem from Writing Assignment 6. A special case of this problem is the Half Max Cut decision problem, where an instance is a graph G, and the problem is to decide if there is a way to color the vertices of G using the colors red and blue and results in there being at least ||V|/2| edges e = (u, v) for which u and v are assigned different colors.
 - (a) Provide a complete description of a polynomial-time mapping reduction from Max Cut to Half Max Cut. Provide a convincing argument for why the reduction works. (20 pts) Solution. We have the following cases.

Case 1: k = ||V|/2|. Then f(G, k) = G.

Case 2: k < ||V|/2|. Then f(G, k) = G', where G' is G with J disjoint triangles, where

$$k + 2J = (|V| + 3J)/2.$$

The idea is that, for every triangle added to G, it allows for a coloring of the vertices of G' in such a way that 2 cut edges can be produced for each triangle (i.e. every 3 additional vertices). This has the effect of boosting the vertex-to-cut-edge ratio towards 1/2. Moreover, solving for J, we get

$$J = |V| - 2k$$

triangles that need to be added.

Case 3: $k > \lfloor |V|/2 \rfloor$. In this case, we may have too many cut edges (more than half the number of vertices). So f(G, k) = G', where G' is G with J additional isolated vertices, where

$$k = (|V| + J)/2,$$

which implies

$$J = 2k - |V|.$$

(b) Apply your reduction to the following instance (G, k) of Max Cut where G is the graph shown below and k = 10. (5 pts)



Solution. Since 10 > |V|/2 = 4, we have f(G, k) = G', where G' is G with J = 2k - |V| = 12 additional isolated vertices. Thus, G will have at least 10 cut edges iff G' has at least 10 cut edges which is one half of its number of vertices 8 + 12 = 20.

3. Given **3SAT** instance

$$\mathcal{C} = \{ (x_1, x_2, \overline{x}_5), (x_1, \overline{x}_3, \overline{x}_4), (x_1, x_4, x_5), (\overline{x}_1, x_2, x_4), \\ (\overline{x}_1, \overline{x}_2, \overline{x}_5), (\overline{x}_1, \overline{x}_2, x_5), (x_2, x_3, x_5), (x_2, \overline{x}_3, x_5), \\ (x_2, \overline{x}_4, \overline{x}_5), (\overline{x}_2, x_3, x_4), (\overline{x}_2, x_3, \overline{x}_5), (\overline{x}_2, \overline{x}_4, x_5), \\ (\overline{x}_2, x_3, \overline{x}_4), (x_3, \overline{x}_4, x_5), (\overline{x}_3, x_4, x_5), (\overline{x}_3, \overline{x}_4, \overline{x}_5) \},$$

answer the following questions.

(a) For the mapping reduction f from **3SAT** to **Subset Sum** presented in lecture, if $f(\mathcal{C}) = (S, t)$, then what is |S| and what is the largest number in S? Explain and show work. (10 pts)

Solution. There are a total of 2n + 2m = 10 + 32 = 42 numbers in S. The largest number is

$$y_1 = 100,001,110,000,000,000,000$$

(b) Is there a subset A of S that sums to t? If yes, provide the members of A (write each number using its identifier that is provided in the reduction). In any case, defend your answer. (10 pts)

Solution. Since assignment $\alpha = (x_1 = 0, x_2 = 1, x_3 = 1, x_4 = 0, x_5 = 1)$ satisfies C, it follows that

 $A = \{z_1, y_2, y_3, z_4, y_5, g_1, h_1, g_2, h_2, g_3, h_3, g_4, g_5, h_5, g_6, g_8, g_9, g_{10}, h_{10}, g_{11}, h_{11}, g_{12}, g_{13}, g_{15}, h_{15}, g_{16}, h_{16}\}$

(c) For the mapping reduction f from **3SAT** to DHP provided in lecture, describe the orientation of the edges (lc₈, c_8) and (rc₈, c_8), that connect Diamond 3 to clause vertex c_8 . Explain. (5 pts)

Solution. $c_8 = (x_2, \overline{x}_3, x_5)$, which means that c_8 can only be satisfied when x_3 is assigned 0. Hence we should only be able to visit c_8 when moving from left to right through the x_3 -diamond. In other words, we should have a directed edge directed from lc_8 and towards c_8 , and also a directed edge from c_8 to rc_8 .

4. Provide the state diagram for a Turing machine that, on input $x \in \{0, 1\}^+$, replaces x with x 1's. Here, we are thinking of x as a binary number. For example, 1001 would be replaced with 111111111, while 00 is replaced with λ (i.e. a tape with all blanks). Simulate your solution using the online Turing machine simulator and provide an in-class demo that includes an explanation of your algorithm. (20 pts)

Solution. Solutions may vary, but the most efficient solution alternately subtracts 1 from x and prints an additional 1 to the right of x (the printed 1's may be separated from x by a # symbol). To subtract 1 from the current value of x, moving from the LSB to the MSB and until the first 1 is encountered, change 0's to 1's. Then change the first-encountered 1 to a 0 (if no such 1 exists, then the machine erases all the 0's and the separating # and halts). Once the 1 is changed to a 0, the machine moves to the far right and prints the next 1 to the right of the # which separates the printed 1's from x.

5. For the Turing machine M with state diagram shown below, draw the computation tree T(M, 001). Is this an accepting computation? Explain. (15 pts)

