Problems

LO5. Solve the following.

(a) Provide the instructions of a URM program that computes the predicate function f(x, y) =(x > y). For example, f(5,3) = 1, while f(3,3) = f(4,7) = 0. Solution.

1:J(1,2,6)2: J(1,3,6) 3: J(2,3,8) 4: S(3) 5: J(1,1,2)6: Z(1) 7: J(1,1,10) 8: Z(1) 9: S(1)

(b) Describe the role each register plays in computing f(x, y). **Solution.** R_1 holds x, R_2 holds y, and R_3 counts upwards from 0. Assuming $x \neq y$, if R_3 first equals R_2 , then x > y. Otherwise, x < y.

LO6. Solve the following problems.

1. .

G (

(a) Provide the URM program P whose Gödel number equals

$$2^{26} + 2^{48} + 2^{96} + 2^{113} - 1.$$
Show all work.
Solution.
 $(26 - 2)/4 = 6$.
 $(2 - 2)/4 = 6$.
 $(2 - 2)/4 = 6$.
 $(2 - 2)/4 = 6$.
 $(2 - 2)/4 = 6$.
 $(2 - 2)/4 = 6$.
 $(2 - 2)/4 = 6$.
 $(2 - 2)/4 = 6$.
 $(2 - 2)/4 = 6$.
 $(2 - 2)/4 = 6$.
 $(2 - 2)/4 = 6$.
 $(2 - 2)/4 = 6$.
 $(2 - 2)/4 = 6$.
 $(2 - 2)/4 = 6$.
 $(2 - 2)/4 = 6$.
 $(2 - 2)/4 = 6$.
 $(2 - 2)/4 = 7$.
 $(2 - 2)/4 = 7$.
 $(2 - 1)/4 = 7$.
 $(2 - 1)/4 = 7$.
 $(2 - 1)/4 = 7$.
 $(2 - 1)/4 = 7$.
 $(2 - 1)/4 = 7$.
 $(2 - 1)/4 = 7$.
 $(2 - 1)/4 = 7$.
 $(2 - 1)/4 = 7$.
 $(2 - 1)/4 = 7$.
 $(2 - 1)/4 = 7$.
 $(2 - 1)/4 = 7$.
 $(2 - 1)/4 = 7$.
 $(2 - 1)/4 = 7$.
 $(2 - 1)/4 = 7$.
 $(2 - 1)/4 = 7$.
 $(2 - 1)/4 = 7$.
 $(2 - 1)/4 = 7$.
 $(2 - 1)/4 = 7$.
 $(2 - 1)/4 = 7$.
 $(2 - 1)/4 = 7$.
 $(2 - 1)/4 = 7$.
 $(2 - 1)/4 = 7$.
 $(2 - 1)/4 = 7$.
 $(2 - 1)/4 = 7$.
 $(2 - 1)/4 = 7$.
 $(2 - 1)/4 = 7$.
 $(2 - 1)/4 = 7$.
 $(2 - 1)/4 = 7$.
 $(2 - 1)/4 = 7$.
 $(2 - 1)/4 = 7$.
 $(2 - 1)/4 = 7$.
 $(2 - 1)/4 = 7$.
 $(2 - 1)/4 = 7$.
 $(2 - 1)/4 = 7$.
 $(2 - 1)/4 = 7$.
 $(2 - 1)/4 = 7$.
 $(2 - 1)/4 = 7$.
 $(2 - 1)/4 = 7$.
 $(2 - 1)/4 = 7$.
 $(2 - 1)/4 = 7$.
 $(2 - 1)/4 = 7$.
 $(2 - 1)/4 = 7$.
 $(2 - 1)/4 = 7$.
 $(2 - 1)/4 = 7$.
 $(2 - 1)/4 = 7$.
 $(2 - 1)/4 = 7$.
 $(2 - 1)/4 = 7$.
 $(2 - 1)/4 = 7$.
 $(2 - 1)/4 = 7$.
 $(2 - 1)/4 = 7$.
 $(2 - 1)/4 = 7$.
 $(2 - 1)/4 = 7$.
 $(2 - 1)/4 = 7$.
 $(2 - 1)/4 = 7$.
 $(2 - 1)/4 = 7$.
 $(2 - 1)/4 = 7$.
 $(2 - 1)/4 = 7$.
 $(2 - 1)/4 = 7$.
 $(2 - 1)/4 = 7$.
 $(2 - 1)/4 = 7$.
 $(2 - 1)/4 = 7$.
 $(2 - 1)/4 = 7$.
 $(2 - 1)/4 = 7$.
 $(2 - 1)/4 = 7$.
 $(2 - 1)/4 = 7$.
 $(2 - 1)/4 = 7$.
 $(2 - 1)/4 = 7$.
 $(2 - 1)/4 = 7$.
 $(2 - 1)/4 = 7$.
 $(2 - 1)/4 = 7$.
 $(2 - 1)/4 = 7$.
 $(2 - 1)/4 = 7$.
 $(2 - 1)/4 = 7$.
 $(2 - 1)/4 = 7$.
 $(2 - 1)/4 = 7$.
 $(2 - 1)/4 = 7$.
 $(2 - 1)/4 = 7$.
 $(2 - 1)/4 = 7$.
 $(2 - 1)/4 = 7$.
 $(2 - 1)/4 = 7$.
 $(2 - 1)/4 = 7$.
 $(2 - 1)/4 = 7$.
 $(2 - 1)/4 = 7$.
 $(2 - 1)/4 = 7$.
 $(2 - 1)/4 = 7$.
 $(2 - 1)/4 = 7$.
 $(2 - 1)/4 = 7$.
 $(2 - 1)/4 = 7$.
 $(2 - 1)/4 = 7$.
 $(2 - 1)/4 = 7$.
 $(2 - 1)/4 = 7$.
 $(2 - 1)/4 =$

(b) A universal program P_U is simulating a program that has 286 instructions and whose Gödel number is

$$x = 2^3 + 2^{179} + 2^{192} + 2^{215} + 2^{223} + 2^{275} + \dots + 2^{c_{286}} - 1.$$

If the current configuration of the computation of P_x on some input has encoding

$$\sigma = 2^2 + 2^6 + 2^8 + 2^{15} + 2^{19} - 1,$$

then provide the next configuration of the computation and its encoding.

LO7. Answer the following.

- (a) Describe what it means to be a positive instance of the Self Accept decision problem.Solution. See Section 5 of the Undecidability and the Diagonalization Method lecture.
- (b) In applying the diagonalization method towards proving the undecidability of Self Accept, we defined a computable function g(x) in terms of f(x), where f(x) is the decision function for Self Accept, which we assume to be total computable. Provide the formula for computing g(x) and describe what needs to be established about g(x) in order for the diagonalization method to be successful.

Solution. See Section 5 of the Undecidability and the Diagonalization Method lecture.

(c) Suppose URM program P_{1269} computes $\phi_{1269}(x)$ and that

$$\phi_{1269}(x) = x \mod 2.$$

What is the value of g(1269) and how does this prove that $g \neq \phi(1269)$? Defend your answer

Solution. Since $\phi_{1269}(1269) = 1269 \mod 2 = 1$, it follows that $g(1269) = \uparrow \neq \phi_{1269}(1269)$, and so $g \neq \phi_{1269}$.

LO8. An instance of the decision problem Thousand-Plus Range is a Gödel number x, and the problem is to decide if function ϕ_x has a non-empty range and whose range members have a value of at least 1000. Consider the function

$$g(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \phi_x \text{ has a thousand-plus range} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

- (a) Evaluate g(x) for each of the following Gödel number's x. Note: 2 out of 3 correct is considered passing. Justify your answers.
 - i. $x = e_1$, where e_1 is the Gödel number of the program that computes the function $\phi_{e_1}(y) = 4000y^2 + 300y$. Solution. $g(e_1) = 0$ since $\phi_{e_1}(0) = 0 < 1000$.
 - ii. $x = e_2$, where e_2 is the Gödel number of the program that computes the function $\phi_{e_2}(y) = 1459$.

Solution. $g(e_2) = 1$ since $\phi_{e_2}(y) = 1459 > 1000$, for all $y \ge 0$.

iii. $x = e_3$, where e_3 is the Gödel number of the program that computes the function $\phi_{e_3}(y) = 2^{2^{4(y+1)}}$. Solution. $g(e_3) = 1$ since $\phi_{e_3}(y)$ is an increasing function, and

$$\phi_{e_3}(0) = 2^{2^4} = 2^{16} > 2^{10} = 1024 > 1000.$$

(b) Prove that g(x) is not URM computable. In other words, there is no URM program that, on input x, always halts and either outputs 1 or 0, depending on whether or not ϕ_x has a thousand-plus range. Do this by writing a program P that uses g and makes use of the **self** programming construct. Then use a proof by cases to show that P creates a contradiction.

Solution. Program P is shown below.

Input y. If g(self) = 1, then return 0. Else //g(self) = 0Return 1000.

Let e denote the Gödel number of P.

Case 1: g(e) = 1. Then P has the thousand-plus range property but outputs 0 for every input, a contradiction.

Case 2: g(e) = 0. Then P does not have the thousand-plus range property but outputs 1000 for every input, a contradiction.

Therefore, Thousand-Plus Range must be undecidable since assuming otherwise leads to a contradiction.

LO9. Solve the following.

(a) Provide the state diagram for a DFA M that accepts all binary words having an even number of 0's *and* does *not* contain the subword 101. Hint: make sure that, for each state of M, you are able to describe the current status of *both* properties in relation to being in that state.

