CECS 329, Learning Outcome Assessment 9, April 13th, Spring 2023, Dr. Ebert

Problems

LO5. Let x be a natural number, and let reverse(x) denote the number whose binary representation is that of x's written backwards. For example, $(12)_2 = 1100$ and so reverse(12) = 3, since

$$(1100)^r = 0011 = (2+1)_2 = (3)_2.$$

bit (xii) = (x/si) mod 2

As another example, $(26)_2 = 11010$ and so reverse(x) = 11, since $(11)_2 = 01011$, Show that reverse(x) is primitive recursive. You may use any PR functions from the General Models of Computation lecture examples and exercises, including the function bit(x,i) which equals the i th bit of x when x is written as a binary number, and len(x) which gives the length of x when written as a binary number. Hint: you do not have to provide a recursive definition.

Solution.

$$reverse(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{\text{len}(x)-1} 2^{\text{bit}(x,\text{len}(x)-i-1)}.$$

LO6. Do the following.

(a) Compute the Gödel number for program P = J(1,2,3), S(5), Z(3), T(5,2). Write your answer as a sum of powers of two minus 1. Solution.

$$\beta(J(1,2,3)) = 4\xi(0,1,2) + 3 = 4\pi(\pi(0,1),2) + 3 = 4\pi(2,2) + 3 = 4(19) + 3 = 79.$$

$$\beta(S(5)) = 4(4) + 1 = 17.$$

$$\beta(Z(3)) = 4(2) = 8.$$

$$\beta(T(5,2)) = 4\pi(4,1) + 2 = 4(47) + 2 = 190.$$

$$\gamma(P) = \tau(79,17,8,190) = 2^{79} + 2^{97} + 2^{106} + 2^{297} - 1.$$

(b) Provide the URM program P whose Gödel number equals

$$2^{39} + 2^{230} + 2^{240} + 2^{269} - 1$$
.

Solution. $\gamma^{-1}(x) = J(2,1,3), T(5,2), S(3), Z(8).$

LO7. Answer and solve the following.

- (a) When simulating a program P_x on input y via a universal program P_U , it is essential that P_U have access to each of the following values during the entire simulation of $P_x(y)$, except for
 - i. Xinput y.
 - ii. the number of instructions s of P_x .
 - iii. the index pci for where to locate the program counter in a configuration of $P_x(y)$.
 - iv. All of the above are essential values that P_U must access during the entire computation.
- (b) A universal program P_U is simulating a program that has 137 instructions and whose Gödel number is

$$x = 2^8 + 2^{22} + 2^{726} + 2^{751} + 2^{c_5} + \dots + 2^{c_{137}} - 1.$$

If the current configuration of the computation of P_x on some input has encoding

$$\sigma = 2^5 + 2^7 + 2^{13} + 2^{16} + 2^{20} - 1$$
.

then provide the next configuration of the computation and its τ encoding. Hint: 176 = 16×11 .

Solution.

Current configuration: $\tau^{-1}(\sigma) = (5, 1, 5, 2, 8)$ Program counter equals 3, and $\beta(I_3) = 726 - 22 - 1 = 703$ which implies a jump instruction, since 703 mod 4 = 125 Moreover, 700/4 = 175.

$$175 + 1 = 176 = 16 \times 11 = 2^{4}(2(5) + 1).$$

Moreover,

$$4+1=5=2^{0}(2(2)+1)$$

and so $I_3 = J(1,3,6)$. Finally, since $R_1 = R_3$, the next configuration is

and

$$\sigma_{\text{next}} = \tau(5, 1, 5, 2, 6) = 2^5 + 2^7 + 2^{13} + 2^{16} + 2^{23} - 1.$$

LO8. Do the following.

(a) In one or more complete sentences, describe what is asserted by the Church-Turing Thesis. **Solution.** Any [functional] deterministic step-by-step procedure that can be followed by a human using pencil and paper can be represented by a URM program.

2

(b) Consider the function

$$g(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \phi_x \text{ is total} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

In other words, g(x) = 1 iff program P_x halts on all of its inputs. We want to prove that g(x) is undecidable, meaning there is no URM program that computes g. To do this, let's assume that g(x) is computable by some URM program G. Then define the function f(x)as follows.

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} P_x(x) + 1 & \text{if } g(x) = 1\\ 0 & \text{if } g(x) = 0 \end{cases}$$

Use part a and an informal description of how you would go about computing f(x) to establish that f(x) is URM computable.

Solution. Simulate program G on input x to obtain g(x). If the output is 0, then return 0. If the output is 1, then simulate program P_x on input x and return 1 more than what P_x outputs.

(c) Let e denote the Gödel number of the URM program F that computes f(x) from part b. In other words $F = P_e$. Show that a contradiction arises when we try to compute $F(e) = P_e(e)$.

Solution. Note that F is total so, by definition $f(e) = F(e) = P_e(e)$. But by the rule defined above for f, we have $f(e) = P_e(e) + 1$, a contradiction.

LO9. An instance of the decision problem Zero is a Gödel number x, and the problem is to decide if function ϕ_x equals the zero function, i.e. the function that outputs 0 on every input. Consider the function

$$g(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \phi_x(y) = 0 \text{ for all inputs y} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

- (a) Evaluate g(x) for each of the following Gödel number's x. Note: 2 out of 3 correct is considered passing. (9) = 0 (9) = 0 (10) = 0 (10) = 0 (11) = 0 (12) = 0 (12) = 0 (12) = 0 (12) = 0 (12) = 0 (12) = 0 (12) = 0 (12) = 0 (12) = 0 (12) = 0 (13) = 0 (13) = 0 (13) = 0 (13) = 0 (14) = 0 (15) = 0 (15) = 0 (15) = 0 (16) = 0 (16) = 0 (16) = 0 (17) =

 - ii. $x = e_2$, where e_2 is the Gödel number of the program P = T(1, 2), Z(1), Z(2), J(1, 2, 1).
 - iii. $x = e_3$, where e_3 is the Gödel number of the program that computes g(x).

Hint: T(m,n) means copy the value of R_m to R_n .

(b) Prove that g(x) is not URM computable. In other words, there is no URM program that, on input x, always halts and either outputs 1 or 0, depending on whether or not ϕ_x equals the zero function. Do this by writing a program P that uses q and makes use of the self programming concept. Then show how P creates a contradiction.

