

**CECS 329, Learning Outcome Assessment 11, April 27th, Spring 2023,  
Dr. Ebert**

**NO NOTES, BOOKS, ELECTRONIC DEVICES, OR INTERPERSONAL COMMUNICATION ALLOWED. Submit solutions to at most 2 LO problems on separate sheets of paper.**

## Problems

LO7. Answer and solve the following.

- (a) When simulating a program  $P_x$  on input  $y$  via a universal program  $P_U$ , which of the following is a valid reason for why  $P_U$  must know the maximum register index used by  $P_x$ ?
- i. The decoding of any instruction of  $P_x$  directly depends on the maximum register index.
  - ii. The location of input  $y$  within a configuration directly depends on the maximum register index.
  - iii. **X** The location of the program counter within a configuration directly depends on the maximum register index.
  - iv. None of the above are valid reasons for why  $P_U$  must know the maximum register index used by  $P_x$ .
- (b) A universal program  $P_U$  is simulating a program that has 116 instructions and whose Gödel number is

$$x = 2^{15} + 2^{46} + 2^{87} + 2^{109} + 2^{c_5} + \dots + 2^{c_{116}} - 1.$$

If the current configuration of the computation of  $P_x$  on some input has encoding

$$\sigma = 2^5 + 2^{15} + 2^{17} + 2^{21} + 2^{24} - 1,$$

then provide the next configuration of the computation *and* its  $\tau$  encoding.

### Solution.

Current configuration:  $\tau^{-1}(\sigma) = (5, 9, 1, 3, 2)$ , Program counter equals 2, and  $\beta(I_2) = 46 - 15 - 1 = 30$  which implies a **Transfer** instruction, since  $30 \bmod 4 = 2$ . Moreover,  $28/4 = 7$ , which yields  $I_2 = T(4, 1)$ . Finally, the next configuration is

$$(3, 9, 1, 3, 3),$$

and

$$\sigma_{\text{next}} = \tau(3, 9, 1, 3, 3) = 2^3 + 2^{13} + 2^{15} + 2^{19} + 2^{23} - 1.$$

LO8. Do the following.

- (a) In one or more complete sentences, describe what is asserted by the Church-Turing Thesis.

**Solution.** Any [functional] deterministic step-by-step procedure that can be executed by a human using pencil and paper can be represented by a URM program.

- (b) Consider the function

$$g(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } P_x(x) \downarrow \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

In other words,  $g(x) = 1$  iff the program having Gödel number  $x$  halts when its own Gödel number  $x$  serves as input, and it outputs 0 otherwise. We want to prove that  $g(x)$  is undecidable, meaning there is no URM program that computes  $g$ . To do this, let's assume that  $g(x)$  is computable by some URM program  $G$ . Then define the function  $f(x)$  as follows. Define function  $f(x)$  as

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } g(x) = 0 \\ \uparrow & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Provide an informal description of the steps you would take to compute  $f(x)$  using pencil and paper. Why does this imply that  $f(x)$  is URM computable?

**Solution.** On input  $x$ , first simulate program  $G$  on input  $x$  to obtain  $g(x)$ . If the output is 0, then return 1. Otherwise loop forever.

- (c) Let  $e$  denote the Gödel number of the URM program  $F$  that computes  $f(x)$  from part b. In other words  $F = P_e$ . Show that a contradiction arises when we try to compute  $f(e)$  using  $F$ . Hint: consider the two cases when i)  $f(e) = 1$  and ii)  $f(e) = \uparrow$ , meaning that  $f(e)$  is undefined.

**Solution.** If  $f(e) = 1$ , then  $g(e) = 0$  which means  $F(e) = P_e(e) \uparrow$ , in which case  $f(e)$  would be undefined, a contradiction. On the other hand, if  $f(e)$  is undefined, then  $F(e) = P_e(e) \uparrow$ , which means that  $g(x) = 1$ , in which case  $F(e) = P_e(e) \downarrow$ , a contradiction. Therefore, since the URM-computability of  $F$  depends on that of  $g$ , we must conclude that  $g$  is *not* URM computable.

- LO9. An instance of the decision problem **One-to-One** is a Gödel number  $x$ , and the problem is to decide if function  $\phi_x$  is a one-to-one function, meaning that, for every  $z$  in the range of  $\phi_x$ , there is *exactly one*  $y$  for which  $\phi_x(y) = z$ . Consider the function

$$g(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \phi_x \text{ is one-to-one} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

- (a) Evaluate  $g(x)$  for each of the following Gödel number's  $x$ . Note: 2 out of 3 correct is considered passing. **Justify your answers.**

- i.  $x = e_1$ , where  $e_1$  is the Gödel number of the program that computes the function  $\phi_{e_1}(y) = \text{sgn}(y)$ . Hint: recall that  $\text{sgn}(y)$  equals 1 if  $y > 0$ , and equals 0 otherwise.

**Solution.**  $g(e_1) = 0$  since, e.g.  $\text{sgn}(2) = \text{sgn}(3) = 1$  which means there is more than one input that produces the output 1.

- ii.  $x = e_2$ , where  $e_2$  is the Gödel number of the program that computes the function  $\phi_{e_2}(y) = y^2$ .

**Solution.**  $g(e_2) = 1$  since the output of  $y^2$  is produced by the unique natural number input  $y$ .

iii.  $x = e_3$ , where  $e_3$  is the Gödel number of the program that computes  $g(x)$  (assuming that  $g(x)$  is URM computable).

**Solution.**  $g(e_3) = 0$  since (similar to part a)  $g$  has infinitely many inputs, but only two outputs.

(b) Prove that  $g(x)$  is not URM computable. In other words, there is no URM program that, on input  $x$ , always halts and either outputs 1 or 0, depending on whether or not  $\phi_x$  is a one-to-one function. Do this by writing a program  $P$  that uses  $g$  and makes use of the self programming concept. Then show how  $P$  creates a contradiction.

**Solution.** Consider the following program  $P$ .

Input  $y \in \mathcal{N}$ .

If  $g(\text{self}) = 1$ , Return 0.

Return  $y$ .

Let  $e$  denote  $P$ 's Gödel number. If  $g(e) = 1$ , then it means  $P$  is one-to-one, but in this case the program returns 0 for each input  $y$ , which is a contradiction since the range of  $P$  is finite.

If  $g(e) = 0$ , then it means  $P$  is not one-to-one, but in this case the program returns  $y$  on each input  $y$  and  $y$  is the unique input that produces output  $y$ .

Therefore,  $g$  cannot be computable and hence the **One to One** decision problem is undecidable.

*A valid map reduction*

LO10. Answer the following.

(a) Provide the definition of what it means to be a mapping reduction from decision problem  $A$  to decision problem  $B$ .

*means an odd maps to odd and so f is not.*

(b) Is  $f(n) = n^2 + 3n + 5$  a valid mapping reduction from the **Even** decision problem to the **Odd** decision problem? Justify your answer.

*Bwt  $f(\text{odd}) = \text{odd} + \text{odd} + \text{odd} = \text{odd}$*   
 $f(2k) = (2k)^2 + 3(2k) + 5 = 4k^2 + 6k + 5 =$

LO11. Recall the mapping reduction  $f(C) = (G, k)$ , where  $f$  maps an instance of 3SAT to an instance of the **Clique** decision problem. Given 3SAT instance

$C = \{ \overset{C_1}{(\bar{x}_1, x_2, x_5)}, \overset{C_2}{(\bar{x}_2, x_3, \bar{x}_4)}, \overset{C_3}{(x_1, x_2, x_4)}, \overset{C_4}{(\bar{x}_1, \bar{x}_3, \bar{x}_5)} \}$   
 $\alpha = (1, 0, 1, 1, 0)$

$2(2k^2 + 3k) + 5$   
*is odd*

answer the following questions about  $f(C)$ . Hint: to answer these questions you do *not* need to draw  $G$ .

(a) How many vertices does  $G$  have? Justify your answer.  $(4)(3) = 12$

(b) How many edges does  $G$  have? Hint: six different group pairs must be considered.

(c) Is  $(G, k)$  a positive instance of **Clique**? If **yes**, what size clique must it have? Justify your answer.

*4*  
 $1-2 : 8$      $1-3 : 9$      $1-4 : 7$   
 $2-3 : 7$      $2-4 : 8$      $3-4 : 8$   
 Total edges =  $8 + 9 + 8 + 6 + 8 + 8 = 47$