

Topology Day 14

Outline

- Compactness

Motivating Question | From calculus we know every function $f: [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ attains its max. What topological property about $[a, b]$ makes this true?

Def | Given a top. space X , an open cover of X is a collection of open subsets $\{U_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in J}$ s.t. $X = \bigcup_{\alpha \in J} U_\alpha$.

Def | X is compact if for every open cover $\{U_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in J}$ of X there exists a finite subcover, i.e. $U_{\alpha_1}, U_{\alpha_2}, \dots, U_{\alpha_n}$ s.t. $X \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^n U_{\alpha_i}$.

Compactness is a topological property

Examples

— Is \mathbb{R} compact?

No, look at $\{(-n, n)\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^+}$

— Is $(-1, 1)$ compact?

No, it is homeomorphic to \mathbb{R} .

— Is $X = \{0\} \cup \{\frac{1}{n} \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}^+\}$ compact with the sub space topology in \mathbb{R} s compact?

Yes! Let $\{U_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in J}$ be an open cover of X .

$0 \in U_\beta$ for some β .

Since $\{\frac{1}{n}\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^+}$ converges to 0, U_β contains all but finitely many elements of $\{\frac{1}{n}\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^+}$.

Let $U_{\alpha_1}, \dots, U_{\alpha_m}$ be the elements of the cover that contain these finitely many elements of $\{\frac{1}{n}\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^+}$.

Hence $X \subset U_\beta \cup \left(\bigcup_{i=1}^m U_{\alpha_i}\right)$. \square

Is $[a, b] \subset \mathbb{R}$ s compact?

Yes, proof next time.

Lemma | Let X be a top. space. $Y \subset X$
with the subspace topology is compact iff
for every collection of open sets in X $\{U_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in J}$
s.t. $Y \subset \bigcup_{\alpha \in J} U_\alpha$ there is a finite sub collection
whose union contains Y .

Pf | Exercise Munkres 26.1.

Thm I | A closed subspace Y of a compact space X
is compact.

Pf | Let $\{U_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in J}$ be a collection of open sets in
 X s.t. $Y \subset \bigcup_{\alpha \in J} U_\alpha$. Then $\{U_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in J}$ together
with $X - Y$ is an open cover of X . Since
 X is compact this open cover has a finite
sub cover $X - Y$ (maybe), $U_{\alpha_1}, U_{\alpha_2}, \dots, U_{\alpha_n}$.
Hence $Y \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^n U_{\alpha_i}$ and by the lemma
 Y is compact. \square

Thm 2 | If X is Hausdorff and $Y \subset X$ is compact then Y is a closed subset of X .

Pf | WTS $X - Y$ is open. Let $x_0 \in X - Y$

Since X is Hausdorff, $\forall y \in Y \exists U_y$ a nbh of y and V_y a nbh of x s.t.

$$U_y \cap V_y = \emptyset.$$

Since $Y \subset \bigcup_{y \in Y} U_y$, then, by the lemma,

there is a finite sub collection U_1, \dots, U_n

$$\text{s.t. } Y \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^n U_i.$$

Note $\bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} V_i$ is open, since the finite intersection

of open is open. Also, since $V_i \cap U_i = \emptyset$

$$\text{then } \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} V_i \cap \left(\bigcup_{i=1}^n U_i \right) = \emptyset.$$

Since $Y \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^n U_i$, then $\bigcap_{i=1}^n V_i$ is an

open nbh of x_0 that is disjoint from Y .

Since x_0 was arbitrary, then $X - Y$ is open and Y is closed. \square

