EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE MEETING Minutes

Tuesday, April 30, 2019 2:00 – 4:00 pm Academic Senate Conference Room (AS 125)

N. Schürer, J. Pandya, J. Jarvis, C. Brazier, E. Guzik, N. Hultgren, N. Meyer-Adams, K. Janousek, P. Soni, E. Lopez, K. Bonetati, S. Olson, J. Hamilton, S. Apel, B. Jersky, J. Cormack, A. Kinsey

- 1. Call to Order called to order at 2:05
- 2. Approval of Agenda Moved, seconded, and approved
- 3. Approval of Minutes: Meeting of April 23, 2019 Moved, seconded, and approved as amended.
- 4. Announcements and Information
 - 4.1. Alumni awards next Thursday; EC table is full.
 - 4.2. Next week NH to attend Student Fee Advisory meeting.
 - 4.3. Thursday May 9th There is a 9:00am ATI meeting. An attendee is needed from EC.
 - 4.4. NS asked about lecturer faculty membership in Councils and when elections should occur. Consensus is that the elections take place in August. NS also mentioned that there is currently no candidates for EC secretary for the 2019-2020 term.
- 5. Reminder
 - 5.1. Academic Senate meeting Thursday, May 2, 2019, 2:00–4:00 pm, PSY 150
 - 5.2. Alumni Awards Thursday, May 9, 2019, 5:30 pm, Hyatt Regency Long Beach
- 6. Special Orders
 - 6.1. Report: Provost Jersky

6.1.1. BJ reported on TT searches currently taking place and going well. 60 TT searches were approved.

6.1.2. CED has decided to move out of a National Accreditation Organization (CAPE) due to selectivity standard that is too high, most of the CSU's are also against this. CED will remain in the State Accreditation organization.

6.1.3. Service information for the faculty. We don't have an accurate recording system at this time, but Provost will work on that for the future.

6.1.4. BJ reported on a new Writing Center and a Writing Center director has been selected and will be announced soon; Faculty Center director coming soon.

6.1.5. The mascot search moving forward with news forthcoming.

6.1.6. Measure coming to vote for capital funding for the University GEO Bond, may be on ballot in March 2020. If it comes to a vote the University will solicit participation. Augmentation in enrollment possibly forthcoming as well.

7. New Business

7.1. Selection of Representatives for Institutional Review Board: Dr. Jason Wang, Director for Research Integrity and Compliance, ORSP—**TIME CERTAIN 2:30 pm**-

7.1.1. JW on Institutional Review Board, protection of human subjects. Presented overview of IRB, membership requirement has expertise and experience in protection of human subjects.

7.1.2. Diversity of membership also requested. 11 faculty required, 6 tenured, 3 scientific expertise, 3 in non-scientific disciplines. 7 positions of IRB will be vacant as of fall 19.

7.1.3. Overview of last nine months; IRB 264 new projects were reviewed, 157 amendments, 60 continuing, totaling 481 proposals. CHHS, CLA were top producers with 84 and 82 proposals respectively. 48 different departments made proposals with the top two departments; Psychology with 28 and Kinesiology with 26.

7.1.4. Currently reviewing nominations for the eleven positions needed to be filled. The nominations have been approved by Screening team, Provost and now by Academic Senate Executive Committee. Full voting members receive 3 units of assigned time, alternates (non-voting) receive no compensation. Voting member term is 3 years, non-voting is one year.

7.1.5. EC voted on MSA of slate of nominees. Approved

- 7.2. ACIP representative selection Richard Marcus will serve out the remainder of the term for this year. He is concerned that there is no nominee for the fall for this position. NS asks if EC should appoint someone of if it should go through NC. SO suggests sending out a call for membership. Will send out solicitation for ACIP representative fall 19.
- 7.3. Academic Senate study on RSCA

7.3.1. NS states that there may be a problem with RSCA with the number of people eligible/fundable, the number who apply, the number of viable applications, the number awarded, and number of units awarded. BJ suggested the AS could do a study on this regarding across the university how many faculty are receiving RSCA and then the Provost will take that into account with RSCA funding available.

7.3.2. JP suggests this be combined with the question about service asked earlier. KJ stated that the Union is interested in this question also and faculty want to know why they are not funded by certain individuals. CB stated that due to the new policy there may be a large difference in awards historically due to the possibility of now being awarded six units versus three. NS asks how RSCA funds are allocated in the University, the formula is based on FTES, with the Provost's approval. NS is concerned about the number of faculty receiving funding (with fundable proposals) due to the fact that now six-unit RSCAs are now available. He thinks this may be of interest to those allocating the funding for RSCA. JC states that assessment of the new policy can be accomplished by requesting data from the colleges regarding funding for RSCAs. NS asks if others are interested in this and researching this question? PS suggests NS write a proposal for information.

- 8. Old Business
 - 8.1. Beach 2030—next steps- JZP has draft proposal with edits. Will be emailed to EC members.
 - 8.2. Technological change at CSULB
 - 8.3. Future of Advisory Council on Enrollment Management
 - 8.4. Nepotism policy, Take #3
- 9. Adjournment adjourned at 3:55 pm