

5  
6  
7 **Subject: MISCONDUCT IN RESEARCH AND CREATIVE ACTIVITY**

8  
9 **I. Introduction**

10  
11 A. Institutions applying for and awarded grants and contracts from the U.S. Department of  
12 Health and Human Services (HHS), particularly, its operational division, the U.S. Public Health  
13 Service (PHS), are required to provide, annually, assurance that the institution has established  
14 and will follow an administrative process for (a) reviewing, (b) investigating, and (c) reporting  
15 allegations of "misconduct in science" in connection with PHS- sponsored biomedical and  
16 behavioral research conducted under the auspices of the institution. (Federal Register, Vol. 54.  
17 No. 151, pp. 32446-32451.) The Assurance will be submitted on forms provided by the PHS  
18 together with such aggregate of information on allegations, inquiries, and investigations as the  
19 Secretary of HHS may require.

20  
21 B. Since misconduct in the professional activities of faculty and staff are neither frequent nor,  
22 when occurring, limited to persons in the sciences, it is appropriate that a policy governing the  
23 institutional response to misconduct in research, whether under Federal initiative or not, treat all  
24 faculty members and staff members equally and fairly. Accordingly, this policy concerns  
25 misconduct in research and creative activity in all academic disciplines, misconduct in science  
26 being a subset within which activities sponsored by the Federal government must be treated in  
27 ways defined by the sponsoring agency. All references to "misconduct in research" in this  
28 document include "creative activity" and include the Federal definitions, processes, and  
29 requirements concerning "misconduct in science."  
30

31 **II. Definition of Misconduct in Research**

32  
33 A. Misconduct in research is defined as fabrication, falsification, plagiarism, or other practices  
34 that seriously deviate from those that are commonly accepted within the academic community  
35 for proposing, conducting, or reporting research and creative activity. Misconduct does not  
36 include honest error or honest differences in interpretations of data.  
37

38 **III. Responsibility**

39  
40 A. The Director of University Research (DoR) shall be responsible for (1) filing the required  
41 University assurances with agencies funding research, (2) maintenance of a complete and up-to-  
42 date set of agency regulations regarding misconduct in research (3) preparation of regular  
43 periodic reports and submission of both periodic and special reports, and (4) taking immediate  
44 and appropriate action, as defined below, upon receipt of allegations of misconduct in research  
45 by employees of the University or the California State University, Long Beach Foundation.  
46

47 B. The Director of Research and other University and Foundation personnel have specific  
48 responsibilities as detailed below.  
49

50 **IV. Allegations of Misconduct in Research**

51  
52 A. Any person who alleges to the University or to the Foundation misconduct in research by an  
53 employee of the University or the Foundation must submit the allegation in writing to the DoR.  
54 Anonymous or unsigned allegations cannot be accepted. The personal privacy of those making  
55 allegations will be maintained within the constraints of due process in the conduct of inquiries  
56 and investigations, as described below. Upon receipt of written allegations, the DoR shall inform

57 the Provost.

58  
59 B. Upon receipt of a direct written allegation of misconduct in research or when first notified in  
60 writing by the Office of Scientific Integrity (OSI), the DoR shall immediately inform the Provost  
61 and Vice President for Academic Affairs (Provost) and the Assistant Vice President and Dean of  
62 Graduate Studies (AVP-DGS) of the allegation.

63  
64 C. With the advice of the Provost and/or the AVP-DGS the DoR shall (1) if the allegation involves  
65 research funded under the U.S. Public Health Service, inform the OSI that a formal allegation  
66 has been received and, (2) inform the Chair of the Scholarly and Creative Activities Committee  
67 (Chair-SCAC) that an allegation has been received and that an Inquiry must be established, and  
68 (3) inform the person or persons against whom the allegation has been made, except in the  
69 circumstances of additional criminal allegations where proper civil authority requests a delay of  
70 this information, in which case the activities of an Inquiry Panel will commence only after the  
71 Panel has informed the person or persons of the allegation.

72  
73 D. Accusations or communications concerning allegations from any source which are not received  
74 in writing shall not be considered to be "allegations" under the terms of this policy. Upon receipt  
75 of such information the DoR shall take reasonable means to determine whether a written  
76 allegation will be registered. When no written allegation or notice of allegation is received within  
77 a reasonable period of a verbal accusation (two weeks), the DoR shall take no further action  
78 under this policy.

79  
80 **V. Matters of Evidence and Due Process**

81  
82 A. If there is an allegation that includes an allegation of criminal acts in addition to "misconduct  
83 in research" as defined above, the Provost shall inform the President, the Director of Public  
84 Safety, and, if the misconduct was alleged to have occurred in a project or program sponsored  
85 by the U.S. Public Health Service, the Office of Scientific Integrity (OSI) of the National Institutes  
86 of Health.

87  
88 B. In the case of allegations of criminal acts in addition to misconduct in research, an Inquiry  
89 shall be conducted **only with respect to the allegations of misconduct in research** and all  
90 reasonable care will be taken to coordinate the activities of the Inquiry Panel and the civil  
91 authorities.

92  
93 C. An Inquiry or subsequently an Investigation shall not be terminated by an external authority  
94 except by the order of the Chancellor or a court having jurisdiction in the criminal matter.

95  
96 D. Persons against whom allegations of misconduct in research have been made are (1) to be  
97 provided a complete summary of the allegations, and a copy of this policy statement, and (2) at  
98 that time informed that an Inquiry or an Investigation are non- judicial, internal reviews of  
99 matters of fact. (see policy on responses immediately below and in sections VII.E.1., and  
100 VIII.D.1.)

101  
102 E. An Inquiry (or Investigation) Report is a summary of the facts discovered and the consensual  
103 opinion of the Panelists regarding these facts. As such it is a University document and its authors  
104 are protected in the same way as other University employees are protected when they render  
105 formal opinions regarding the competence and fitness for service of other University employees.

106  
107 F. A person or persons against whom an allegation is made shall have **15 regular working**  
108 **days** from the time of notification that an allegation has been received to prepare a formal  
109 written response. This response shall be presented directly to the Inquiry Panel.

110  
111 G. Neither an Inquiry Panel nor an Investigation Panel has the right of unlimited access to  
112 personal property or to require testimony in seeking information concerning an allegation. No

113 University or Foundation employee is required to respond to direct or written questions by  
114 members of Inquiry or Investigation Panels. A Panel may include in its report the fact that  
115 persons decline to respond to direct or written questions.

116  
117 H. All records, equipment, supplies, animals, plants, micro- organisms, chemicals, computer  
118 programs and files, and research notes directly relating to a research project conducted on the  
119 property of the University or the Foundation, or on property rented by the University or  
120 Foundation, or otherwise under the auspices of the University or Foundation are considered to be  
121 the property of the University or of the Foundation. Such artifacts or entities must be made  
122 available to members of Panels for their review, unless it can be shown that such a record, item  
123 of equipment, artifact, or other entity is personal property and was created, purchased, rented,  
124 or otherwise acquired independently from and not employed in any way in the conduct of the  
125 research project.

126  
127 I. In certain circumstances, personal property involved in the conduct of a research project is  
128 loaned to the project during those periods when it is being used, observed, etc. Thus, for  
129 example, a personal wristwatch used to time events in a project may be held by the University  
130 as an element of evidence when the allegation directs attention to the use of the wristwatch as a  
131 part of the alleged misconduct, e.g., stating time measurements in increments more precise than  
132 either the mechanism or the face of the watch permit. On the other hand, private automobile  
133 used to reach the site where the wristwatch was employed is not material evidence unless there  
134 was also an allegation of misconduct related to the automobile itself.

## 135 136 **VI. Matters of Privacy and Reputation**

137  
138 A. In all aspects of the application of this policy the maximum attainable level of confidentiality  
139 and personal privacy will be sought and maintained. All persons involved, including those  
140 alleging misconduct, are enjoined from public statements concerning the allegations during the  
141 conduct of the inquiry or investigation, except as a court of law may require. Violations of this  
142 enjoinder will be made a matter of record in the Inquiry and/or Investigation Report.

143  
144 B. At all times diligent efforts will be taken to maintain or to restore, if need be, the reputations  
145 of those against whom allegations are not confirmed.

146  
147 C. Diligent efforts will be taken to protect the positions and reputations of persons, who in good  
148 faith, make allegations of misconduct in research.

## 149 150 **VII. "Inquiries" into Allegations of Misconduct in Research**

### 151 152 A. Formation of Inquiry Panel

153  
154 1. Within 10 regular working days of receipt of a written allegation and acting together, the  
155 Chair-SCAC and the DoR shall secure the cooperation of and empanel no fewer than two  
156 members of the faculty who have both expertise in the conduct of the relevant academic  
157 discipline or a cognate academic discipline and each of whom has sufficient social and  
158 professional distance from the person or persons against whom the allegation is made to give  
159 reasonable assurance of independence of judgment.

### 160 161 B. Charge to an Inquiry Panel

162  
163 1. The charge of an Inquiry Panel is to determine from available evidence and testimony whether  
164 or not there is sufficient reason to believe that misconduct in research has occurred. The Inquiry  
165 Panel must interview the person or all persons against whom an allegation is made. The Inquiry  
166 Panel must make every reasonable effort to interview all persons making allegations. An Inquiry  
167 Panel is also charged with the preparation of an Inquiry Report, as described below.

168

169 2. If at any time in the conduct of an Inquiry any member of the Inquiry Panel believes that  
170 there is reasonable evidence of criminal activity, the Panel member shall so inform the DoR who  
171 shall inform the Provost and the President, the Director of Public Safety and, if relevant, within  
172 24 hours of the presentation of acceptable evidence, the OSI.

173  
174

#### 175 C. Inquiry Report

176

177 1. The Inquiry Panel must provide the DoR and the Chair- SCAC a written report of its findings  
178 within **60 regular working days** of receipt of a written allegation. A copy of this report will be  
179 provided to the Provost and the AVP-DGS.

180

181 2. An Inquiry Report shall contain the following:

182

(a) a statement of the allegation;

183

(b) a statement by the Panel of the elements of the allegation which they believe to be  
184 covered by the definition of misconduct in research, above;

185

(c) a statement of the evidence reviewed;

186

(d) a summary of the relevant interviews;

187

(e) a statement of the conclusions of the Panel, including an opinion as to whether there  
188 is sufficient reason to conduct a formal Investigation.

189

190 3. An Investigation into allegations of misconduct in research shall be carried out when sufficient  
191 evidence is observed by the Inquiry Panel

192

(a) to provide prima facie support for the allegation of misconduct in research, and

193

(b) to suggest that

194

(1) the alleged misconduct is not limited to nor completely defined by the  
195 allegation or the evidence already discovered, or

196

(2) where the allegation cannot be confirmed or disconfirmed with the immediately  
197 accessible evidence, or

198

(3) when the possible extent or severity of violations raises questions of either civil  
199 or criminal offense or violation of CSU or University regulations.

200

201 4. The DoR and the Chair-SCAC shall review the Inquiry Report. If in their opinion the Inquiry  
202 Panel has not satisfactorily reviewed the allegation and the circumstances surrounding it, or that  
203 the Inquiry Report does not reflect a satisfactory review, they shall direct the Panel to continue  
204 the Inquiry and/or to resubmit the Report. In such a case the **60 regular working day**  
205 **limitation shall be extended by 30 additional regular working days** and, if relevant, a  
206 written explanation of the nature of the extension submitted to the OSI.

207

208 5. When the DoR and the Chair-SCAC believe that a satisfactory inquiry and satisfactory Inquiry  
209 Report has been received, they shall inform the Provost and AVP-DGS as to its conclusions.

210

211 6. If the DoR and the Chair-SCAC disagree as to any matters relative to the conduct of  
212 proceedings under this policy, they shall meet with the Provost and with the Chair of the  
213 Academic Senate to discuss and resolve the disagreement.

214

#### 215 D. Response to an Inquiry Report

216

217 1. Within ten regular working days after receipt of the Inquiry Report the DoR shall provide the  
218 person or persons against whom the allegation was made a copy of the Report.

219

220 2. The DoR shall provide notice of the right to respond to the written Inquiry Report in writing,  
221 and that to be included with the Inquiry Report, such a response must be presented to the DoR  
222 within **30 regular working days** of receipt of the Report.

223

#### 224 E. Conclusion of an Inquiry

225  
226  
227  
228  
229  
230  
231  
232  
233  
234  
235  
236  
237  
238  
239  
240  
241  
242  
243  
244  
245  
246  
247  
248  
249  
250  
251  
252  
253  
254  
255  
256  
257  
258  
259  
260  
261  
262  
263  
264  
265  
266  
267  
268  
269  
270  
271  
272  
273  
274  
275  
276  
277  
278  
279  
280

1. If the Inquiry Report does not recommend a more formal Investigation, the DoR shall report the findings of the Inquiry Panel to the Provost, AVP-DGS, and, if relevant, the OSI. If the findings of the Inquiry report are determined to represent a complete review of the situation by the Provost (or OSI when involved), the Provost shall determine whether further action is to be taken and so notify the person or persons against whom allegations were made.

2. If an Inquiry Report does not recommend a formal Investigation, a person or persons against whom allegations have been made may request that an Investigation take place. In such a case, the Provost will direct the DoR to carry out the provisions of this document with respect to initiating an Investigation.

## VIII. "Investigations" into Allegations of Misconduct in research

### A. Formation of the Investigation Panel

1. If the Inquiry Report recommends a complete Investigation, the DoR shall notify the Provost, AVP- DGS and, if relevant the OSI (supplying the information required in the relevant PHS guidelines). Within **30 regular working days** of receiving such a recommendation the DoR and the Chair-SCAC shall form an Investigation Panel by augmenting the existing Inquiry Panel, adding as many additional members of the faculty as necessary, normally to not more than a total of nine members, each of whom meets the tests of academic expertise and distance. The Investigation Panel shall elect a Chair and Secretary.

### B. Charge to an Investigation Panel

1. The charge to an Investigation Panel is to:

(a) review the Report of the Inquiry Panel, particularly the recommendation for a formal Investigation;

(b) conduct a complete examination of the circumstances relating to the allegations of misconduct in research, including an examination of the records of the scientific project/study/etc., hereinafter referred to as "Project," including the Project grant proposal, budget, administrative records, personnel records, scientific records, and published materials. The Investigation Panel may consult with Provost, Vice President for Administration and Finance, Vice President for Student Services, or the Vice President for Development concerning information relevant to the Project and the allegations which is not available from the Project records or Project-related testimony. Provision of information is subject to the published regulations of the CSU, the University, and the Foundation; and

(c) provide a complete report, as required by current OSI regulations.

2. An Investigation Panel shall have access to and examine all documentation, including but not necessarily limited to relevant research data and proposals, publications, correspondence, and memoranda of telephone calls. Whenever possible, the Investigation Panel shall conduct interviews of all individuals involved either in making allegations or against whom allegations are made, as well as individuals who might have information regarding key aspects of the allegations. Complete summaries of interviews shall be prepared and included as part of the Investigation Report.

3. If at any time in the conduct of an Investigation any member of the Investigation Panel believes that there is reasonable evidence of criminal activity, the Panel member shall so inform the DoR who shall inform the Provost and/or the AVP-DGS. If in the judgment of the Provost or AVP-DGS there is reasonable evidence to support the opinion, the DoR shall notify OSI (if relevant) and campus security. Such a report must be made within 24 hours of the presentation of the opinion and evidence by the Investigation Panel.

281 4. If any member of the Panel believes or in the course of an investigation comes to believe that  
282 other members of the Panel have a conflict of interest with respect to the Investigation, the  
283 member shall address this concern to the DoR. The DoR will confer with the Chair- SCAC, the  
284 Provost, and the AVP-DGS. If in the opinion of the Provost, there is reasonable evidence for such  
285 a concern, the member(s) will be asked to respond to the concern and, if upon hearing the  
286 explanation the Provost believes that the work of the Investigation Panel would be harmed or  
287 subsequently impugned, the member(s) will be thanked for their service and replaced.  
288

289 5. When Investigation Panel members require special expertise not represented in the Panel, the  
290 Chair of the Panel shall communicate this need to the DoR. The DoR shall solicit the assistance of  
291 such an individual as an unpaid consultant to the Panel.  
292

293 6. The Secretary of the Investigation Panel shall insure that all documents reviewed, interview  
294 summaries, and findings made are readily available during the conduct of the Investigation and  
295 are subsequently delivered to the DoR. All such documents shall be in a condition to be made  
296 available to the DoR and to the OSI as required. The Office of the DoR shall support the  
297 photocopy, document preparation, and reasonable travel requirements of the Investigation  
298 Panel.  
299

### 300 C. Investigation Report

301  
302 1. An Investigation should be completed within **90 regular working days** of its initiation. Upon  
303 receipt of an Investigation Report, the DoR shall report its findings to the Provost and provide a  
304 copy of the Report to the person or persons investigated.  
305

### 306 D. Response to an Investigation Report

307  
308 1. Upon receipt of an Investigation Report the DoR shall advise the person or persons against  
309 whom the allegation was made that, in order to have their written response appended to the  
310 Report, they must submit same within 30 regular working days. The inclusion of such an  
311 appendix to the Report does not affect the conclusions of the Report, nor does it represent the  
312 single means by which a response may be registered. If relevant, when directed by the Provost  
313 the DoR shall provide the OSI with the Investigation Report.  
314

## 315 **IX. Corrective Action**

316  
317 The nature of non-disciplinary, corrective action taken as a result of the conclusion of an Inquiry  
318 or Investigation will depend on the individual characteristics of the situation. The Provost shall  
319 direct the relevant Dean or Deans, the relevant Foundation manager, or the DoR to effect  
320 changes in the conduct of the scientific project with the object of eliminating both the  
321 appearance and fact of misconduct. (See also Subsequent Disciplinary Action, below.)  
322

## 323 **X. Subsequent Disciplinary Action**

324  
325 When the findings of an Inquiry Report (which does not require a subsequent Investigation) or  
326 the findings of an Investigation Report implicate an employee of the University or of the  
327 University Foundation under the definition of misconduct in research, the responsible Vice  
328 President shall initiate disciplinary proceedings against such a person in accordance with the  
329 provisions of relevant CSU and campus regulations and Memorandum of Understanding with  
330 faculty and staff bargain units. Where evidence of criminal activity has been disclosed the  
331 appropriate Vice President, the Provost, the President, and CSU General Counsel will be  
332 informed; the civil authorities will have been informed at the time that the evidence was  
333 disclosed as described above.  
334  
335  
336

337 **EFFECTIVE: Immediately**  
338