**CALIFORNIA STATE UNIVERSITY, LONG BEACH**

**UNIVERSITY RESOURCES COUNCIL (URC)**

**March 15, 2011**

**Meeting #10**

**BROTMAN HALL 302**

**1:00PM – 3:00PM**

1. The meeting was called to order at 1:00pmwith the following people present:

Officers: Praveen Soni, Chair, Paul Ratanasiripong, Vice-Chair, Sharon Guthrie, Secretary

Members: Terie Bostic, Paul Buonora, Doug Butler, Lou Caron, Ali Chu, David Dowell, Craig Fleming, DeeDee Green, Doug Harris, Marianne Hata, David Hood, Ann Johnson, Ted Kadowaki,Patricia Kearney, Jin Kim,Mike Losquadro, Bill Moore, Aubry Mintz, Bob Rodgers, Anna Sandoval, Kathy Skara,Maria Slaughter, Rosario Yeung-Linquist, Henry Wu

Excused: David Dowell, Carol Perruso-Brown, Jalal Torabzadeh

Guests: Andrea Taylor, Jim Suguitan, Don Para, King Alexander

1. The agenda of the meeting was approved.
2. The minutes of March 1, 2011 meeting were approved with the addition of Maria Slaughter and Mike Losquadro as excused absences on March 1, 2011.
3. Announcements
	1. Ted Kadowaki: Governor had a deadline of March 10 for obtaining the two-thirds majority in the Assembly and the Senate to place the tax extensions on the June ballot; deadline is now delayed.
4. Special Orders
	1. Report on the Division of University Relations and Development – Vice President Andrea Taylor and Jim Suguitan, ASM for UR&D, and Mike Losquadro; Time Certain 1:10pm
		1. Andrea Taylor gave a PowerPoint presentation of the UR&D mission, divisions, activities, and budget, including the following:
			1. Alumni relations
			2. Annual fund
			3. Public affairs and publications
			4. Development, which involves more than fundraising
				1. Discussed the impact of the results of developmental efforts
				2. Fundraising was $29 million for last year
			5. CSULB is the second highest performer at $29 million in terms of funds raised, following San Diego State University, a consistently top performer
			6. UR&D budget: $5.1 million overall
			7. Measuring success: It costs CSULB 12 cents to raise a dollar, which suggests a high degree of efficiency when compared to other CSU institutions. Only 2% of the money raised is unrestricted.
			8. The future:
				1. Continuing to cultivate volunteer leadership
				2. Developing 49’er Foundation Board. Should be in place at the end of spring. Will have faculty representation.
				3. Increasing comprehensive campaign totals through leadership and principal gifts: $100 million raised during quiet phase; $250 million goal – not yet announced.
				4. Continuing to work toward campaign launch
			9. Discussion of the planning, quiet, and public phases of the campaign ensued: we are currently in a quiet phase
			10. Taylor left URC members with the following Winston Churchill quote:

“ We make a living by what we get; we make a life by what we give.”

* 1. 2011-12 RPP Scenarios and Budget Roadshow – Provost Don Para and AVP Ted Kadowaki; Time Certain 1:45pm
		1. A 3-year planning process is underway
		2. Many unknowns: for example, June initiatives may succeed or fail, Legislature could change the budget, May tax receipts are unknown
		3. Presented two scenarios:
			1. Best case: $500 million state fund reduction to CSU/ $5 million net reduction to CSULB
				1. CSULB share of $500m reduction will be $32 million
				2. Mitigations: student fee increase; budget restoration allocated by Gov. Schwarzenegger in late fall 2010 will be returned; 70% 2010-11non-base one-time restoration funds available tobuffer impact temporarily
				3. May have Spring 2012 admits
				4. Some buffering for 2-3 years due to money saved, e.g., budget restoration funds
				5. Little flexibility for developing anything new
				6. Limited hiring in terms of staff, tenure -track faculty, and limits on other expenditures
				7. No pay increases for faculty and staff for three years
				8. Furlough possibility more unlikely
				9. Replacing tenure-track faculty when there are retirements; this past year we had 28 searches; this next year 8-9 tenure track searches anticipated. Replacement at about two thirds of retirements.
				10. Sabbaticals will continue; assigned time for new faculty will continue for three years; promotions will also continue
				11. Visiting faculty program anticipated to continue
			2. Worse case: $I billion state fund reduction to CSU/$15 million net reduction to CSULB
				1. May be forced to reduce enrollment with no Spring admits
				2. Further increases in tuition
				3. Very limited hiring
				4. Restoration funds would get us through one year only
				5. Additional cuts will have to be made: Furlough becomes a possibility, but must be voted on by the faculty.
			3. Final thoughts: “On a more positive note. . . “
				1. Graduation rates at CSULB are at historically high levels
				2. Very diverse student population
				3. Vibrant student life on campus
				4. Faculty brings distinction
				5. Engaged in the community and internationally
				6. Campus services continue to improve
				7. Beginning to make progress in sustainability
				8. CSULB and 9 other CSU campuses are in the top 20 most efficient universities in the US with an enrollment of greater than 15,000 students.
			4. Para discussed the URC subcommittee report and his reaction to the report, which was positive. One of the outcomes was a study of faculty workload. Para and others in Academic Affairs will be putting together a list of “best practices” as identified by the authors of the report and the data they collected. They will also be utilizing the recommendations of the report in planning RPP cuts.
	2. Federal Education Priorities, State Budget Outlook for Education and likely impacts on CSU budget and student fees-President Alexander; Time Certain 2:15pm
		1. The President stated that we are attempting to return our students who are studying in Japan back to the U.S., and we are attempting to take care of the 159 Japanese students enrolled at CSULB.
		2. The CSU Presidents have been asked to put together the budgets for the two scenarios discussed above. They will be meeting at the Chancellor’s Office (CO) this week.
		3. In Washington, the President is supporting Pell Grants. We do not know if Pell grants will be available this summer.
		4. The problems with accreditation were discussed; if an institution is not accredited, however, it loses its federal aid.
		5. Great concern about not getting the tax extensions on the ballot so that voters have a say on this matter. The statewide ballot may be moved to a later date.
		6. The problems with health care are causing problems throughout society. Pension reform may also need to be examined.
		7. Hoping for approval of the Governor’s budget and the tax extensions.
		8. No chance for a future stimulus package.
		9. The President will return to the next meeting for an update.
1. Old Business
	1. URC Charge and Composition– time certain at the next meeting.
2. New Business: None
3. Open Discussion – Costs and operations of the University(no time permitted)
4. The meeting was adjourned at 2:56pm.

Respectfully submitted,

Sharon R. Guthrie